

Wiley

Research Exchange Release Notes

Last updated: April 7, 2026

March -2026: Reflect the correct activity and roles in the manuscript activity logs.....	2
March -2026: Submission volume per Author displayed within Author Identity Verification	5
March -2026: Expanded Editor Selection for Pre-peer, Peer, and Post-peer Review Activities	8
March -2026: Conflict of Interest: Reviewer Name Matching.....	8
March -2026: Customizable Signature at the User Profile Level	11
March -2026: User Interface Update: Editorial workflow and editor information is made available in the manuscript view	15
March -2026: User Interface Update: Update terminology and naming conventions, Optional text box for comments.....	16
March -2026: User Interface Update: Update terminology and naming conventions, Optional text box for comments.....	16
Feb-2026: Unified Dashboard for all Review users.....	18
Feb-2026: Display Screening Report results in Review for all versions	20
Feb-2026: Editorial Conversation Tool, Phase 2.2.1 - Display of conversation participants, some tagging capabilities	22
Feb-2026: Unified Dashboard for all Review Users.....	26
Feb-2026: User Interface Update Special Issue Filter.....	28
Feb-2026: Configurable Workload Capacity for Screening Teams	29
Feb-2026: Allow Editors to Change Issue Type at Acceptance	35
Jan-2026: Improvements to Editor Assignment Flexibility for Special Issues	42
Dec-2025: Editors can record their availability in app	44
.....	45
.....	47
Dec-2025: Implement Role-Based Escalation Filters.....	49
Dec-2025: Materials Check – Version History Enhancements	54
Dec-2025: Full Access Editor Capability	55
Nov-2025: Editorial Conversation Tool, Phase 2.1	57
Nov-2025: Reviewer Role-Based Visibility Restrictions	57
Nov-2025: Queue Invited Reviewers (Pilot Journals Only)	58
Nov-2025: Show Keywords in the UI for Journals that have PKG for Editor Suggestion Enabled	60
Nov-2025: Receive transfer 'message for destination editor'	61
Nov-2025: Add Manuscript Stage & Link to Screening Escalation Email	65

Nov-2025: Bidirectional Linking.....	66
Nov-2025: Updates to Dashboard Cards and Manuscript Details Page	67
Nov-2025: User Manages Email Notifications for Conversations.....	76
Nov-2025: Extra Dashboard Filters for Editors	80
Nov-2025: [Dashboard filters] Implement checker team and individual assignment filters	86
Nov-2025: Rescind Rejection.....	94
Oct-2025: Export to non-Wiley Targets	102
Oct-2025: Show Cards for Editor Suggestions with Relevant Information for Successful Assignment	113
Oct-2025: Editors can Choose the Number of Reports they Expect on the Current Version to be Notified to Make a Decision	114
Sept-2025: "Transferred" Tag in All Screening Dashboards.....	119
Sept-2025: Re-invite Declined Editor	120
Sept-2025: Attach Files at Return to Checker.....	123
Sept-2025: Attach Files at Escalation	126
Sept-2025: Submission Similarity Check Enhancement	129
Sept-2025: Editorial Conversation Tool.....	133
Sept-2025: Commission Search	135
Sept-2025: Total Publication History Keywords for Reviewers	138
Sept-2025: In-App Feedback on Reviewer Suggestions	140
Sept-2025: Commission Reminder Update (Long-Overdue Reminders)	146
Sept-2025: Commission Due Date Extension Flow.....	149
Sept-2025: Ability to Attach Files at "Return to Draft" Decision.....	154
Sept-2025: Linking Papers.....	156
Sept-2025: Configure at Journal Level if Reviewers Get Access to the Entire Paper When They Get Invited.....	164
Aug-2025: Attach a file for the author when requesting files at material checks	165
Aug-2025: Transparent Peer Review	167
Aug-2025: Inform the reviewer that a manuscript has been accepted	170
Aug-2025: Specialized Reviewers.....	171
Aug-2025: Re-invite Declined Reviewer.....	172
Jul-2025: Scope Match tool enhancement.....	175

Jul-2025: Triage and Lead Guest Editors can be reassigned to manuscripts.....	176
Jul-2025: Academic and Guest Editor comments automatically included in the decision letter.....	176
Jul-2025: Suggested reviewers can be filtered by keywords	176
Jul-2025: Reviewer label identifying active board members	177
Jul-2025: Editors can upload files with their decision letter.....	178
Jul-2025: Transparent Peer Review Label	178
Jun-2025: Silent Request for updated Files.....	179
Jun-2025: Journal name filter added to editor dashboard.....	180
Jun-2025: Continuous Medical Education (CME) credit supported for reviewers.....	181
Jun-2025: New filters on the author invitation log in Commission	182
Jun-2025: Decision-making editors can decide on Table of Contents.....	183
Jun-2025: Decision-making editors can edit reviewer comments in decision email.....	184
May-2025: New email templates for re-invited reviewers.....	185
May-2025: Author-led manuscript linking	186
May-2025: Authorship changes are now identified.....	186
Apr-2025: Bulk Invitations can be sent for commissions	187
Apr-2025: Commission invitation due dates can be set for each individual.....	189
Apr-2025: Enhanced information for reviewers found via search	189
Mar-2025: Decision-making Editors can now make any decision after a recommendation	190
Mar-2025: Enhanced 'Activity Logs and Emails' section	190
Mar-2025: Enhanced Reviewer Suggestion information and metrics.....	191
Mar-2025: Reviewers can submit reports after the submission deadline has passed	192
Feb-2025: Automatically list previous reviewers on revised manuscripts	192
Feb-2025: Reviewer PDF	193
Feb-2025: Editors can edit review comments in the decision letter preview	194
Feb-2025: Editors can preview decision emails before sending.....	194
Jan-2025: Enhanced Activity Log shows all emails sent during the lifecycle of a manuscript.....	195
Dec-2024: Reviewers can upload files as part of their review	195
Dec-2024: Editors can view Reviewer email addresses in the Invitation Log	196
Nov-2024: Extend Individual Reviewer Report Deadlines	198
Nov-2024: Triage Editors can assign themselves as the Handling Editor.....	200

Sep-2024: Return to Draft & Refuse to Consider Reasons.....201

Sep-2024: Automatic Screening for Author Names in Double Anonymous Manuscripts.....202

Aug-2024: Editorial Oversight.....203

March -2026: Reflect the correct activity and roles in the manuscript activity logs

Available from	26-March-2026
Impacted Module	Research Exchange Review
Impacted Roles	Editors, Editorial Assistants, Admins, Reviewers
Overview	The manuscript activity log now more accurately reflects the specific editorial workflow step and the correct editor role associated with each action. This enhancement removes ambiguity in multi-step processes—such as multi-tier triage or post-peer-review stages—and is needed to allow for custom roles

Key Features

- **Exact workflow sub-steps displayed**

Activity cards now show the *specific* manuscript flow step (e.g., **Pre-peer review 1**, **Pre-peer review 2**, ..., **Post-Peer-Review 1**, etc.), instead of repeating generic labels.

- **Correct role labels shown in activity logs**

Each activity card now displays the **full editorial role label** (e.g., *Chief Editor*, *Associate Editor*) instead of the abbreviated internal code previously shown.

This includes the Full Access Editorial role, previously shown as ME, and now will be displayed as *Managing Editor*

- **Improved filtering by editor role**

Roles that appear in multiple workflow stages now appear **only once** in the filter list.

Selecting a role shows all actions taken by that editor across triage, peer review, and post-peer-review stages.

UI Changes


- Activity log cards now display:
- Full manuscript flow step name (e.g., *pre-peer review1*, *peer review*, *post-peer-review 2*).
- Full editor role label instead of abbreviations.

Updated filter design

- Role filters are deduplicated and show user-friendly labels.
- Selecting a role filter to all activities performed by that editor across all workflow stages.

UI Changes

- Activity log cards now display:
 - Full manuscript flow step name (e.g., *pre-peer review1*, *peer review*, *post-peer-review 2*).
 - Full editor role label instead of abbreviations.



Updated filter design

- Role filters are deduplicated and show user-friendly labels.
- Selecting a role filter to all activities performed by that editor across all workflow stages.

March -2026: Submission volume per Author displayed within Author

Identity Verification

Available from	26-March-2026
Impacted Module	Research Exchange Screening
Impacted Roles	Screeners (Wiley tenant only)
Overview	Screeners can now see how many manuscripts each author on a manuscript has submitted to Wiley journals over the last 12 months. This signal helps screeners identify authors

submitting at unusually high volumes, which may indicate spam behavior or low-quality bulk submissions.

Key Features

On the Author Identity Verification screen, two counts are displayed for each author in the author list:

- *Submissions to this journal (last 12 months)*
- *Submissions across all Wiley journals in Research Exchange (last 12 months)*

Counts are counted using email address, are unique per manuscript (revised or return-to-draft resubmissions are not double counted) and are ringfenced for the Wiley tenant.

UI Updates

Author Verification screen

#Submissions LTM ("last twelve months") added

#1 Author, Submitting

First Name: Daniel **Last Name:** McDowell

Email: daniel.mcdowellr@ub.edu

Country: Spain

Affiliation: Universitat de Barcelona

ORCID ID: 4x1y-xyxy-0024-xyxy

#Submissions LTM 

Current journal: 2 **All journals:** 15

Hover over the icon to display tool tip/explanation

#1 Author, Submitting

First Name: Daniel

Email: daniel.mcc

Country: Spain

Affiliation: Unive

ORCID ID: 0000-

#Submissions LTM 

Current journal: 2

Number of submissions over the last 12 months where this author's email address appears in the author list.

- Current journal: submissions to this journal
- All journals: submissions to this publisher

All journals: 15

Full N

ie

0.

GO

ie

3.

Danie

4422.

Not Included in this Release


This does not currently flag any submission rate as an alarm.

March -2026: Expanded Editor Selection for Pre-peer, Peer, and Post-peer Review Activities

Available from	23-March-2026
Impacted Module	Research Exchange Review
Impacted Roles	Editorial Assistants, Admins, and Journal Managers
Overview	Currently, when an Editorial Assistant, Administrator, or Journal Manager invites/assigns an editor in Pre-peer review, they are able to use a toggle to select an editor from any editorial role in Pre-peer review step 1. With this release, the toggle is now available during pre-peer review step 2, Peer review and Post-peer review activities.

UI Update

"Show all editorial board members" toggle now appears during Peer review and Post-peer review activities.



Reassign Editor for Pre-Peer Review

Search by editor name or email address ☒ Show all editorial board members ⓘ

Score ⓘ Editor information Editor activity ⓘ

58% **NON-RESPONSIBLE ROLE** Academic Editor AE
Academic Editor
phenomqa+ae@wiley.com
Current assignments: 0

Keywords: Physics; Mathematics

 Triage Editor TE
Chief Editor
phenomqa+te@wiley.com
Current assignments: 1 ASSIGNED

March -2026: Conflict of Interest: Reviewer Name Matching

Available from	17-March-2026
Impacted Module	Research Exchange Review
Impacted Roles	Editors
Overview	Research Exchange Review now flags potential conflicts of interest (COI) when a reviewer's name exactly matches the name of an author on the manuscript under consideration. This enhancement addresses a gap in the existing COI detection logic: previously, reviewers with the same name as an author but a different registered email address could appear in suggestions without any COI signal. Flagged reviewers are not removed from results, but are flagged. Editors retain full visibility and the ability to invite or queue flagged reviewers, with clear warnings surfaced at the point of reviewer selection.


Key Features

- **Name-based COI detection:** A potential COI is flagged when there is an exact, case-insensitive match between a reviewer's name and any author listed on the manuscript. Matches against multiple authors are supported, though this scenario is expected to be rare.
- **Non-filtering behavior:** Flagged reviewers remain visible in all reviewer lists. Exact name matches are common across many regions and may not represent a genuine conflict; editorial judgment is required before acting on a flag.


- **Invitation and queue warnings:** When an editor attempts to invite or queue a reviewer flagged for a potential COI, a warning modal is displayed.
- **Combined COI signals:** Where both a name match *and* an affiliation match are present, the popover and warning modal combine both signals into a single, consolidated display.

UI Updates


COI tag on reviewer cards: A red **CONFLICT OF INTEREST** tag appears on the reviewer card wherever the reviewer is surfaced — 'Suggested Reviewers' tab, 'Search Reviewers', 'Specialized Reviewers', and 'Previous Version Reviewers'.



Hover popover: Hovering over a flagged card displays a popover with the label "Potential Conflict of Interest" and the advisory note: "Note: Name matches are common in some regions."



Warning modal: Inviting a reviewer flagged with CONFLICT OF INTEREST due to having the same name as one of the authors triggers a confirmation modal warning about the potential conflict of interest.



Not in This Release

- In a future enhancement, reviewers whose email address also matches an author on the manuscript will be handled separately and will be tagged as **AUTHOR** when they are surfaced as results in the Search Reviewers tab.
Invitation of a reviewer with the same email as one of the authors is blocked by the system and this will be indicated more clearly in the user interface.
- Results with the same email as one of the authors are already excluded from the Suggested Reviewers tab in the current implementation.

March -2026: Customizable Signature at the User Profile Level

Available from	9-March-2026
Impacted Module	Research Exchange Review
Impacted Roles	Editors — users who are active board members on at least one journal, including special-issue boards.
Overview	Editors on system emails can now customize their signature at their user profile level to give senders more flexibility and control over communicating with transparency about their identity, role on the manuscript, and affiliations.

Key Features

Save custom signature at ReX Review user account level

- Users can navigate to their My Profile section in ReX Review where they will find a new section entitled: “My Custom Email Sign-off and Signature”. The “Create Signature” button will open the “Create and Preview your Signature” modal
- Users can personalize the sign-off as part of their custom email signature
- Users can use a rich text editor to customize their signature, which includes styling elements like bold, italic, underline, and predefined variables for their ‘user role’ and ‘journal title’
- Users can preview their updates live in the “Preview Signature” window, which populates variable values based on a journal selected by the user (useful when the user has multiple editor roles across journals and special issues)
- Users can send a test email to their inbox by clicking the “Send Test Email” button, which uses a dedicated ‘Test Signature’ template for validation before any changes are saved
- The system will automatically use the custom signature in system emails where the respective user is the designated sender (e.g., the peer-review handling editor when sending an ‘Invitation to Review’, the decision-making editor when sending a ‘Decision Letter’), and where the signature element in the email template has been assigned a signature identifier by a system administrator
- The “Sender” is the person signing the notification, not the ‘From’ address seen by the recipient in their inbox (which is the journal office email)
- The custom signature value (including the sign-off) will replace the entire default signature block in the email template, provided that the signature element has been assigned a signature identifier by a system administrator

The signature element in templates is a text block with the following general structure:

Kind regards,

[[SENDER_TITLE]] [[SENDER_GIVENNAME]] [[SENDER_SURNAME]]

[[JOURNAL_NAME]]


Dynamic User Role and Journal Variables

- Editors have the ability to include the variables [[User_Role]] and [[Journal_Title]] in the signature rich text editor, which will be automatically populated based on context.
- Editors are encouraged to use the variables in their custom signatures to ensure accurate, context-specific information in system emails, and to allow the system to automatically adjust values when users hold multiple roles across journals or special issues, or when their assignments change over time (e.g., being removed from a role or assigned a new one).
- System automatically adjusts signature details for users with multiple editorial roles across journals and special issues, as follows:


Editor has role in the Regular Editorial Board of a Journal	Editor has role in a Special Issue Board for that Journal	Issue Type of the Manuscript in the context of the email	[[User_Role]]
YES	NO	Regular Issue	The label of the role in the Regular Board
YES	YES	Any issue	The label of the role in the Regular Board
NO	YES	Special Issue	The label of the role in the Special Issue Board

User Interface Updates

- Entry Point – ‘Account Settings’ page accessed via the ‘My Profile’ option in the user menu at the top-right corner of the screen in ReX Review



- New section on the Account Settings page: **My Custom Email Sign-off and Signature**.
- The button **Create Signature** opens the “Create and Preview your Signature” modal (the button updates to **Edit** after a custom signature has been saved)



My Affiliation
Hindawi (United Kingdom), London [Edit](#)


My Expertise Keywords No keywords assigned [Edit](#)

Unavailable Dates (0) [About Unavailable Dates](#)
[+ Add New Unavailable Dates](#)

My Custom Email Sign-off and Signature [Create Signature](#)

Notification Settings
Choose which emails you want to receive.

- The modal **Create and Preview your Signature** enables customization and live preview of changes before they are saved
Modal components:
- Left panel: **Create Signature**
Form to customize the signature split into: *Custom sign-off* (e.g., “Kind regards”), and *Signature rich text editor* with basic formatting (bold, italic, underline), insert link, and variable placeholders
- Right Panel: **Preview Signature**
Real-time rendering of the custom signature that updates live as the user types, and that populates the values of the variables based on journal selection (journal options drop-down).
Intended to help editors select one of multiple roles on journals/special issues, to visualize how the signature looks like in the respective context



Create and Preview your Signature

Create Signature

Customize sign-off text

Best wishes,

Create your custom email signature text

Professor Sherlock Holmes, PhD
Head of Department of Applied Logic & Forensic Reasoning
University of London
[[User_Role]] of [[Journal_Title]]

Variables [B](#) [I](#) [U](#) [Link](#)

The variables shown in the text box will be automatically populated by the system with the correct values based on your role on the journal. This is especially important for users who hold multiple roles across different journals, as the system will adjust the information accordingly.

Send Test Email

Cancel Save and Close

Preview Signature


Choose a journal to see how your signature will appear in emails for that journal:

Behavioral Interventions


Best wishes,

Professor Sherlock Holmes, PhD
Head of Department of Applied Logic & Forensic Reasoning
University of London
Academic Editor of Behavioral Interventions

- Variables drop-down** - allows editors to include the variables: [[User_Role]], [[Journal_Title]] available from a selection drop-down



- **Journals drop-down** – allows editors to preview the signature for their role on different journals



March -2026: User Interface Update: Editorial workflow and editor information is made available in the manuscript view

Available from	9-March-2026
Impacted Module	Research Exchange Review; 3-tier Journals ONLY

In **3-tier Journals ONLY** a new side panel is now available to display key submission information.

Left-Side Collapsible Menu

- This panel:
- Lists all submission steps according to the editorial workflow at the time of submission
- Clearly indicates the current active step
- Shows which editors are assigned to each activity
- Updates dynamically as the submission moves through the workflow
- Completed steps are marked accordingly
- The next upcoming step becomes highlighted
- Editor invitations/assignments are surfaced as they occur

Floating Submission Header

- As the user scrolls, the submission header (including version details and core information) remains visible
- Provides a quick way for users to switch to the most recent version

Navigating Older Versions

- When viewing an older version, the left-side panel includes a simple mechanism for editors to jump directly to the latest version

March -2026: User Interface Update: Update terminology and naming conventions, Optional text box for comments

Available from	9-March-2026
Impacted Module	Research Exchange Screening
Impacted Roles	Screeners
Overview	The Author Identity Verification 'Suggestion List' has been updated to better target likely author identities.

Key Features


Drawing on wide network analysis with the PKG service, ReX now assigns confidence scores to suggested matches.

- Authors scoring 0.8 or above are tagged with a 'Suggested Match' badge and ranked at the top of the list – this threshold has been validated at 99% accuracy.
- Results are now limited to 10 (from 20).

UI Updates

Author Identity Verification Screen


"Suggested Match" tag



March -2026: User Interface Update: Update terminology and naming conventions, Optional text box for comments

Available from	3-March-2026
Impacted Module	Research Exchange Screening

Suspicious Keywords are now 'Flagged Terms'



The screenshot shows the 'Manuscript Information' page. The 'Language & Wordcount' section indicates 'Word Count: 4024' and 'ENGLISH'. The 'Flagged Terms' section is highlighted in red. Below this, a modal titled 'Refuse to Consider Manuscript' is open, displaying a list of 'Integrity Concerns' and 'Void' reasons. The 'Flagged terms' option is highlighted in yellow.

Integrity Concerns

- ☐ Simultaneous submission to multiple journals
- ☐ Suspected data falsification/fabrication
- ☐ Suspected figure concerns
- ☐ Suspected misconduct or violation
- ☐ High textual similarity/plagiarism with other sources
- ☐ High textual similarity/plagiarism with own sources
- ☐ Problematic phrases
- ☐ Reference concerns
- ☐ Self citation
- ☐ Suspected citation manipulation
- ☐ Suspected machine generated content
- ☐ Concerns related to (lack of) supporting documentation on request
- ☐ Missing or inappropriate declarations statement
- ☐ **Flagged terms**
- ☐ Other ethical concerns
- ☐ Other research concerns

Void

- ☐ Duplicate submission
- ☐ Unreal submission
- ☐ Other void reasons

Sanction List is now 'Publisher Sanctions'

Black List is now 'Ban List'

Reviewer Activity Report

Reviewer: Research Exchange Reviewer Email: researchexchangetraining-reviewer@wiley.com Affiliation: John Wiley & Sons (United Kingdom), Chichester

PUBLISHER SANCTIONS DETAILS BAD DEBT, BAN LIST, WATCHLIST The reviewer is on publisher sanctions	OVERLAPPING REPORTS ▲ 12 5 unique reports out of 12	INVITATIONS AGREED ▲ 68% 297 out of 438 invitations
--	---	---

Under Peer Review Cycle Checks, there is now an optional text box to add comments



The screenshot shows the 'Peer Review Cycle Checks' form. It contains several sections with checkboxes and text boxes for providing feedback and comments.

Reviewer identity confirmed and scientific level confirmed?

☐ Yes ☐ No

Any concerns raised by reviewer reports and recommendations?

☐ Yes ☐ No

Your observations:

Is there a conflict of interest between the Editor and the author?

☐ Yes ☐ No

Your observations:

Is there a conflict of interest between the reviewers and the author?

☐ Yes ☐ No

Details received from author require action?

☐ Yes ☐ No

Your observations:

Details received from Editor require action?

☐ Yes ☐ No

Your observations:

Editorial staff's communication is appropriate?

☐ Yes ☐ No

Your observations:

Other concerns on review process?

☐ Yes ☐ No

Your observations:

Has the identity of any reviewer been revealed to the author during the peer review process?

☐ Yes ☐ No

Does this manuscript require the changes?

☐ Yes ☐ No

Has author included an appropriate conflict of interest statement in the manuscript?

☐ Yes ☐ No

Feb-2026: Unified Dashboard for all Review users

Available from	25-February-2026
Impacted Module	Research Exchange Review
Impacted Roles	Editorial Assistants (EAs), Journal Managers (JMs) (excludes Admin)
Overview	We are introducing a Unified Dashboard for all Review users, consolidating the existing dashboards into a single, streamlined interface. This update simplifies navigation, improves consistency in design, allows multiple roles to enjoy the same feature and reduces technical debt by removing legacy dashboard.

Key Features

- **Single Dashboard for All Roles**

All Review users (except Admins) now share a unified dashboard experience.

- **Expanded Scope Filter**

The Focus filter now includes all relevant user roles: Journal-wide (for Editors with full access editor [FAE] capabilities and Journal Managers), Editor (for all Editors), Editorial Assistant, Reviewer, and Legacy Authors

- The Focus filter will be shown to users with multiple roles (for example, a user with regular Editor and FAE rights will see both "Editor" and "Journal-wide")
- Users with single-role capabilities will not see this Focus filter, as they will only have one viewing experience.

- **Advanced Search Capabilities**

Users with Journal-wide or Editorial Assistant access can also search by:

- Author email
- Editor name or email

- **Role-Specific Filters**

EA/JM-specific filters (e.g., searching by Editor or Author) are integrated into the unified dashboard.

- Ability "Reset filter" individually or to reset all.

User Interface Updates

- Filters are now **nested in the following order**:
 - Journal

- o Focus
 - o Author Search – by email address only
 - o Editor Search – by name or email address
 - o Status
 - o Article Type
 - o Other Properties
- Consistent design language applied across all Review roles for a cleaner, more intuitive experience.

WILEY

Search Richard ▾

Filters [Reset Filters](#)

Journal: All ▾

Scope [?](#)

- ☒ My assigned manuscripts 41
- ☐ All manuscripts 3020

Priority [?](#)

- ☒ Action required 13
- ☐ In progress 4
- ☐ Finalized 24
- ☐ All 17

▼ Action required (1) [?](#)

Status (1)

- ☐ Assign Editor 0
- ☐ Respond to Invite 1
- ☒ Invite Reviewers 3
- ☐ Make Recommendation 0
- ☐ Make Decision 0

Article type

- ☐ Research Article 11
- ☐ Review Article 1
- ☐ Erratum 0
- ☐ Corrigendum 0
- ☐ Retraction 0
- ☐ Letter to the Editor 0
- ☐ Commentary 0

Other properties

- ☐ Commissioned 0
- ☐ Transferred 0
- ☐ APC Edited 0
- ☐ Rescinded 0
- ☐ Transparent Peer Review 0
- ☐ Part of Special Issue 0

Action Required Showing manuscripts: 1–3 of 3

Sort by Last updated [oldest first] ▾

ID 5694305 COMMISSIONED RESPOND TO INVITE V1

A Key Major Guideline for *Journal of Infectious Diseases* and *Journal of Clinical Microbiology* Other Applications:

Richard [?](#) [?](#)

Article Type: Research Article Academic Editor: [Journal of Infectious Diseases](#) Reviewer Reports: 3 invited, 1 agreed.

Journal: [Journal of Infectious Diseases](#) Submitted on: 15.02.2023 (an hour ago)

ID 68347822 INVITE REVIEWERS V1

Support Researcher Training for Analysis of COVID-19 and HIV/AIDS Transmission

Richard [?](#) [?](#)

Article Type: Research Article Academic Editor: [Journal of Infectious Diseases](#) Reviewer Reports: 0 invited

Journal: [Journal of Infectious Diseases](#) Submitted on: 15.02.2023 (an hour ago)

ID 5694305 INVITE REVIEWERS V1

A Key Major Guideline for *Journal of Infectious Diseases* and *Journal of Clinical Microbiology* Other Applications:

Richard [?](#) [?](#)

Article Type: Research Article Academic Editor: [Journal of Infectious Diseases](#) Reviewer Reports: 3 invited, 1 agreed.

Journal: [Journal of Infectious Diseases](#) Submitted on: 15.02.2023 (an hour ago)

ID 5694305 INVITE REVIEWERS V1

A Key Major Guideline for *Journal of Infectious Diseases* and *Journal of Clinical Microbiology* Other Applications:

Richard [?](#) [?](#)

Article Type: Research Article Academic Editor: [Journal of Infectious Diseases](#) Reviewer Reports: 3 invited, 1 agreed, 2 declined, 1 submitted

Journal: [Journal of Infectious Diseases](#) Submitted on: 15.02.2023 (an hour ago)

ID 5694305 INVITE REVIEWERS V1

A Key Major Guideline for *Journal of Infectious Diseases* and *Journal of Clinical Microbiology* Other Applications:

Richard [?](#) [?](#)

Article Type: Research Article Academic Editor: [Journal of Infectious Diseases](#) Reviewer Reports: 3 invited, 1 agreed, 2 declined, 1 submitted

Journal: [Journal of Infectious Diseases](#) Submitted on: 15.02.2023 (an hour ago)

For additional filtering, choose Statuses, Article Types or Other Properties of the available manuscripts on the current page. The available filters change with your selections.

Feb-2026: Display Screening Report results in Review for all versions

Available from	19-February-2026
Impacted Module	Research Exchange Screening and Review
Impacted Roles	Editors, Admins, Editorial Assistants
Overview	Editors can now view screening results for every manuscript version , not just the initial submission. As screening checks are rerun during revisions—and new comments or similarity scores may be added—Review now surfaces version-specific results across the full submission lifecycle. This gives editors complete

context at all stages of the editorial workflow and supports more informed decision-making.

Key Features

Version-Specific Screening Reports

- The Screening Report section now displays results for whichever manuscript version the user is viewing.
- Results include:
 - iThenticate Similarity Score
 - Scope Match results
 - Screening comments (including comments to publisher)
- Formatting and layout match the existing version-1 experience.

Accurate Display of Screening Data

- Screening data is now saved for every version that undergoes screening, triggered by RevisionChecksPassed events.
- Comments, similarity results, and scope outcomes always correspond to the correct manuscript version.

Correct Handling of Versions With No Screening

- If screening was not run on a version (e.g., screening disabled for revisions), the Screening Report section displays a version-appropriate “No results available” message.

Updated iThenticate Disclosure Text

- The Similarity Score section now uses updated explanatory text:

“Similarity scores are powered by iThenticate, which compares the manuscript text against published works. A high score could indicate plagiarism.”

- The section is collapsed by default for cleaner review.

UI Updates

Clear Version-Specific Display

- The Screening Report section dynamically updates based on the version selector. Editors immediately see screening information tied to the version they are viewing.

Consistent Section Behavior

- The Screening Report UI uses the same layout and organization as version 1 for all subsequent versions, ensuring a consistent reading experience.

Visibility Logic

- If a version has no screening results, the UI clearly displays the “no results available” message rather than showing empty placeholders.
- If a version has screening data, all relevant subsections—Similarity Score, Scope Match, Screening Comments—appear exactly as in version 1.

Updated iThenticate Information Panel

- The iThenticate help text has been refreshed and placed beneath the Similarity Score.
- This panel is now collapsed by default, reducing visual clutter and letting editors expand it only when needed.

Accurate Comments Display

- Editors now see screening comments from the correct version, ensuring no cross-version confusion in the comments list.

Feb-2026: Editorial Conversation Tool, Phase 2.2.1 - Display of conversation participants, some tagging capabilities

Available from	19-February-2026
Impacted Module	Research Exchange Review
Impacted Roles	Editorial Assistants (EAs), Journal Managers (JMs) (excludes Admin)
Overview	Assigned editors or editorial board members with observing or full editor access, as well as Editorial Assistants and Journal Managers, can now tag with an @mention each other in a comment on a manuscript and can see who has access to the manuscript.

Key Features

Conversation Tool Enhancements


- Editors can see who has access to the comments, for added transparency
 - They can view who has a role on the manuscript, or can view the manuscript
- Editors can tag other users in comments
 - Using the @ symbol to tag specific members of the editorial board
 - Who can be tagged following release 2.2.1?
 - Other editors with a role assigned on the manuscript
 - Editors on the journal board with Full Access Editor permissions (either actioning taking or observational FAEs)
 - EA
 - JM
 - Editors on the journal board who are not assigned on the manuscript and do not have Full Access Editor permissions **cannot** be tagged in this release, see 'Not in this Release' section below
- Tagged users will:
 - Be notified via email when they have been tagged

- o See a red dot counter on manuscripts with un-read comments
- o New messages will appear with blue shading to signify the new comments from the older comments
- o If a new comment is made while using the application, a toast message will appear
- o All users who have access to the manuscript can now:
 - View a list of users who have access to the manuscript and its comments.
 - The list includes assigned and FAE editors, JM, and EA.
 - Viewer roles (primarily our Editorial Systems Operations team) will be included but should not be engaged in Conversations.
 - This list will NOT include potential responders not assigned to the manuscript (i.e., other Editorial Board members) who cannot be invited to a conversation with this release.

User Interface Updates

Comments Panel: Updated to show participants with access.

- Once a participant with view access has started adding comments to the conversation they are moved to the contributor list
- Members are shown in alphabetical order
- Instead of the email address of the user who is logged in, they are shown the label “You”



Tagging UI: Responsive dropdown for tagging editorial board members.

Comments

VERSION 1 

FS

Farewell Steward

TRIAGE

Jun 16, 12:45

I'm ready to make a final decision on this manuscript. Based on the reviews and our discussions, I'm inclined to accept it. @Janet Jackson, do you concur?

LT

Lila Thompson

Jun 27, 12:31

Reviewers mentioned that the issues were fixed in version 2.

JP

Add a reply here.

Reply >

Type or select someone to mention

 Mentioning someone who isn't already a participant will give them rights to add comments and view manuscript details.

CONTRIBUTORS (5)

Alice Johnson

alice.johnson@email.com

Editor

Bob Smith

bob.smith@email.com

Editor

Charlie Brown

charlie.brown@email.com

Editor

VIEW ACCESS (3)

Dana White

dana.white@email.com

Editor

know @|



Post >

Dashboard: New section for “Manuscripts Requiring My Input.”

Notifications:

Comments Notification Rules				
Journal Role	Manuscript Role	New Comment	Reply on user's comment	Reply on another user's comment
Editor	Assigned	Email Toast Bubble	Email Toast Bubble	Bubble
Editor	Tagged	Bubble	Email Bubble	Bubble
Editor	Observing permissions	Bubble	Bubble	Bubble
EA/JM /Admin	None	Bubble	Bubble	Bubble

Feb-2026: Unified Dashboard for all Review Users

Available from	18-February-2026
Impacted Module	Research Exchange Review
Impacted Roles	Editorial Assistants (EAs), Journal Managers (JMs) (excludes Admin)
Overview	We are introducing a Unified Dashboard for all Review users, consolidating the existing dashboards into a single, streamlined interface. This update simplifies navigation, improves consistency in design, allows multiple roles to enjoy the same feature and reduces technical debt by removing legacy dashboards.

Key Features

- Single Dashboard for All Roles
- All Review users (except Admins) now share a unified dashboard experience.

Expanded Scope Filter

- The Focus filter now includes all relevant user roles: Journal-wide (for Editors with full access editor [FAE] capabilities and Journal Managers), Editor (for all Editors), Editorial Assistant, Reviewer, and Legacy Authors
- The Focus filter will be shown to users with multiple roles (for example, a user with regular Editor and FAE rights will see both “Editor” and “Journal-wide”)

- Users with single-role capabilities will not see this Focus filter, as they will only have one viewing experience.

Advanced Search Capabilities

Users with Journal-wide or Editorial Assistant access can also search by:

- Author email
- Editor name or email
- Role-Specific Filters
EA/JM-specific filters (e.g., searching by Editor or Author) are integrated into the unified dashboard.

Ability “Reset filter” individually or to reset all.

User Interface Updates

- Filters are now nested in the following order:
 - Journal
 - Focus
 - Author Search – by email address only
 - Editor Search – by name or email address
 - Status
 - Article Type
 - Other Properties
- Consistent design language applied across all Review roles for a cleaner, more intuitive experience.

WILEY

Search Richard

Filters [Reset Filters](#)

Journal: All

Scope:
☒ My assigned manuscripts 41
☐ All manuscripts 3020

Priority:
☒ Action required 13
☐ In progress 4
☐ Finalized 24
☐ All 17

▼ Action required (1)
☐ Assign Editor 0
☐ Respond to Invite 1
☒ Invite Reviewers 3
☐ Make Recommendation 0
☐ Make Decision 0

Article type
☐ Research Article 11
☐ Review Article 1
☐ Erratum 0
☐ Corrigendum 0
☐ Retraction 0
☐ Letter to the Editor 0
☐ Commentary 0

Other properties
☐ Commissioned 0
☐ Transferred 0
☐ APC Edited 0
☐ Rescinded 0
☐ Transparent Peer Review 0
☐ Part of Special Issue 0

Action Required Showing manuscripts: 1-3 of 3 Sort by Last updated [oldest first]

ID 5694305 COMMISSIONED [RESPOND TO INVITE](#) [V1](#)
 A Key Major Guideline for [\[Title\]](#) Other Applications:
[\[Title\]](#) Models Confirmed by Both Direct and Indirect Evidence
 Article Type: Research Article Academic Editor: [\[Name\]](#) Reviewer Reports: 3 invited, 1 agreed.
 Journal: [\[Journal\]](#) Submitted on: 15.02.2023 (an hour ago)

ID 68347822 [INVITE REVIEWERS](#) [V1](#)
[\[Title\]](#) Analysis of COVID-19 [\[Title\]](#)
[\[Title\]](#)
 Article Type: Research Article Academic Editor: [\[Name\]](#) Reviewer Reports: 0 invited
 Journal: [\[Journal\]](#) Submitted on: 15.02.2023 (an hour ago)

ID 5694305 [INVITE REVIEWERS](#) [V1](#)
 A Key [\[Title\]](#) [\[Title\]](#) Other Applications:
[\[Title\]](#)
 Richard [\[Name\]](#)
 Article Type: Research Article Academic Editor: [\[Name\]](#) Reviewer Reports: 3 invited, 1 agreed,
 Journal: [\[Journal\]](#) Submitted on: 15.02.2023 (an hour ago)

ID 5694305 [INVITE REVIEWERS](#) [V1](#)
 A Key [\[Title\]](#) [\[Title\]](#) Other Applications:
[\[Title\]](#)
 Richard [\[Name\]](#)
 Article Type: Research Article Academic Editor: [\[Name\]](#) Reviewer Reports: 3 invited, 1 agreed, 2 declined, 1 submitted
 Journal: [\[Journal\]](#) Submitted on: 15.02.2023 (an hour ago)

ID 5694305 [INVITE REVIEWERS](#) [V1](#)
 A Key [\[Title\]](#) [\[Title\]](#) Other Applications:
[\[Title\]](#)
 Richard [\[Name\]](#)
 Article Type: Research Article Academic Editor: [\[Name\]](#) Reviewer Reports: 3 invited, 1 agreed, 2 declined, 1 submitted
 Journal: [\[Journal\]](#) Submitted on: 15.02.2023 (an hour ago)

For additional filtering, choose Statuses, Article Types or Other Properties of the available manuscripts on the current page. The available filters change with your selections.

Feb-2026: User Interface Update | Special Issue Filter


Available from	18-February-2026
Impacted Module	Research Exchange Review

Special Issue is now its own filter category on the Unified Dashboard (live with Editor view now, other roles to following during rollout of unified dashboard in coming weeks [end-Feb 2026].

- 'Special Issue' option is no longer included in the *Other Properties* filter options
- The Special Issue filter can't be used on the *Journal > all* selection
 - Specific journal must be chosen, and that specific journal must have open Special Issues for the filter to work.

The Special Issue search filter is currently active on the editor dashboard and is available to editors with both observing and full access capability. This will also be added to Unified Dashboard and visible to all Research Exchange users (except for Authors).

- **Current behavior:** Full Access Editors who are assigned to only a single journal cannot view Special Issue titles on the ‘All’ Journal selection; they must navigate to the Journal first, to use the Special Issue filter.
- **Planned improvement:** Users with access to multiple journals will continue to see the “All” option, while users with access to only one journal will automatically default to that journal.



Feb-2026: Configurable Workload Capacity for Screening Teams

Available from	17-February-2026
Impacted Module	Research Exchange Screening
Impacted Roles	Lead Screeners, Lead Specialists, Admins
Overview	This release introduces a capacity management system that enables Lead Screeners, Lead Specialists, and Admins to set individual workload capacity values (“Workload Share”) for team members, allowing the manuscript assignment algorithm

to distribute work proportionally based on actual availability and capacity rather than assuming equal distribution.

Team leads can view capacity information in the User Management table, adjust individual capacity values using simple increment/decrement controls (range 0-99, default 5), and see visual indicators when users are unavailable (capacity = 0).

The assignment algorithm considers each user's capacity relative to their current workload, assigning manuscripts to users with lower workload-to-capacity ratios before those who are more heavily loaded. When all users are at or over capacity, assignments are distributed proportionally based on capacity levels.

Changes to capacity take effect immediately for the next manuscript assignment. Users with capacity set to 0 never receive new assignments, though their existing assigned manuscripts remain with them until manually reassigned.

Role-based access ensures Lead Screeners can only edit Checker capacity; Lead Specialists can only edit Specialist capacity, and Admins have full access to all capacity settings.

Key Features

Workload Share

- Capacity Editing Controls
- Simple +/- buttons for increment/decrement (1 unit per click)
- Valid range: 0 (unavailable) to 99 (maximum capacity)

Workload-Aware Assignment

- Assignment algorithm calculates workload-to-capacity ratio for each eligible user (see [Workload Share Examples](#) for more information)
- Manuscripts assigned to users with lowest stress ratio (current workload / capacity)
- Proportional distribution when all users at/over capacity
- Capacity 0 users excluded from automatic assignment
- Existing manuscripts NOT automatically reassigned when capacity changes to 0
- Changes effective immediately on next assignment event

Access Control

- Lead Screeners: View Users tab, edit Checker capacity only
- Lead Specialists: View Users tab, edit Specialist capacity only
- Admins: View Users tab, edit all Screener and Specialist capacity
- Checkers/Specialists: No access to Users tab or capacity editing

UI Updates

Workload Share

- New "Workload share" column appears after "Teams & Roles" in the Users table
- Workload share values remain visible when navigating between pages, searching, or filtering users
- Screeners and Specialists show their workload share as a number (new users show 5 by default)
- Users who are not Screeners or Specialists show "N/A" or "Not applicable" in grey text
- Immediate save confirmation with error handling for invalid values
- Failed saves revert to previous value to maintain clarity on active settings
- Question mark icon with tooltip explaining workload share

Workload Share Column

Manuscripts Archived Manuscripts User Management Settings	Users Teams			
	Team Thunderbolts [SL] ⓧ Search			
	Full name	Email address	Role & team	Workload share ?
	Steven Murphy	emailAddress@wiley.com	Team Thunderbolts [SL]	5
	Marcus Rodriguez	emailAddress@wiley.com	Team Thunderbolts [SC]	Edit workload share
	Amelia Turner	emailAddress@wiley.com	Team Thunderbolts [SC]	5 
	Olivia Foster	emailAddress@wiley.com	Team Thunderbolts [SC]	5
	Ethan Hayes	emailAddress@wiley.com	Team Thunderbolts [SC]	5
	Benjamin Patel	emailAddress@wiley.com	Team Thunderbolts [SC]	5
	Jackson Nguyen	emailAddress@wiley.com	Team Thunderbolts [SC]	5
	Sophia Reynolds	emailAddress@wiley.com	Team Thunderbolts [SC]	5
	Liam Campbell	emailAddress@wiley.com	Team Thunderbolts [QC]	5
	Nathan Wright	emailAddress@wiley.com	Team Thunderbolts [QC]	5
	Mason Thompson	emailAddress@wiley.com	Team Thunderbolts [QC]	5
	Landon Baker	emailAddress@wiley.com	Team Thunderbolts [QC]	5
	Ava Mitchell	emailAddress@wiley.com	Team Thunderbolts [QC]	5
	Sophia Maccmanan	emailAddress@wiley.com	Team Thunderbolts [QC]	5
	Steven King	emailAddress@wiley.com	Team Thunderbolts [QC]	5

Edit Workload Share

Manuscripts

Archived Manuscripts

User Management

Settings

WILEY

Richard Bennet

UsersTeams

Team Thunderbolts [SL]

Search

Full name	Email address	Role & team	Workload share ?
Steven Murphy	email.address@wiley.com	Team Thunderbolts [SL]	5
Marcus Rodriguez	email.address@wiley.com	Team Thunderbolts [SC]	5
Amelia Turner	email.address@wiley.com	Team Thunderbolts [SC]	12
Olivia Foster	email.address@wiley.com	Team Thunderbolts [SC]	5
Ethan Hayes	email.address@wiley.com	Team Thunderbolts [SC]	5
Benjamin Patel	email.address@wiley.com	Team Thunderbolts [SC]	5
Jackson Nguyen	email.address@wiley.com	Team Thunderbolts [SC]	5
Sophia Reynolds	email.address@wiley.com	Team Thunderbolts [SC]	5
Liam Campbell	email.address@wiley.com	Team Thunderbolts [QC]	5
Nathan Wright	email.address@wiley.com	Team Thunderbolts [QC]	5
Mason Thompson	email.address@wiley.com	Team Thunderbolts [QC]	5
Landon Baker	email.address@wiley.com	Team Thunderbolts [QC]	5
Ava Mitchell	email.address@wiley.com	Team Thunderbolts [QC]	5

Users not applicable for Workload Share

Full name	Email address	Role & team	Workload share ?
Stephan the Great	email.address@wiley.com	Image Screening Team [LS]	N/A
Marcus Rodriguez	email.address@wiley.com	Team Thunderbolts [SC]	5
Amelia Turner	email.address@wiley.com	Team Thunderbolts [SC]	0 • On hold 
Olivia Foster	email.address@wiley.com	Team Thunderbolts [SC]	5
Ethan Hayes	email.address@wiley.com	Team Thunderbolts [SC]	5
Benjamin Patel	email.address@wiley.com	Team Thunderbolts [SC]	5
Jackson Nguyen	email.address@wiley.com	Team Thunderbolts [SC]	5
Sophia Reynolds	email.address@wiley.com	Image Screening Team [LS]	N/A
Liam Campbell	email.address@wiley.com	Team Thunderbolts [QC]	5
Nathan Wright	email.address@wiley.com	Image Screening Team [LS]	N/A
Mason Thompson	email.address@wiley.com	Team Thunderbolts [QC]	5
Landon Baker	email.address@wiley.com	Team Image Screening Team [LS] [QC]	N/A
Ava Mitchell	email.address@wiley.com	Team Thunderbolts [QC]	0 • On hold
Sophia Maccmanan	email.address@wiley.com	Team Thunderbolts [QC]	5
Steven King	email.address@wiley.com	Team Thunderbolts [QC]	0 • On hold

Error state

Marcus Rodriguez	email.address@wiley.com	Team Thunderbolts [SC]	5	Failed to save. Try again!
Amelia Turner	email.address@wiley.com	Team Thunderbolts [SC]	12    	

Hover over Workload Share tooltip

Workload share

Workload share determines how manuscripts are distributed across a team. How assignment works:

How assignment works:

- Manuscripts are distributed proportionally based on each user's workload share relative to the team's total.
- Example: In a team where User A has share 10 and User B has share 5, User A receives twice as many manuscripts as User B (e.g., 20 vs 10 out of 30 total manuscripts).
- Workload share only determines proportion, not a maximum, users may receive more manuscripts than their share value.
- Default share = 5 for new users.
- Share 0 = on hold (no new assignments, but manuscripts already assigned to them, returned to author or returned to peer review will be reassigned back to the original user).
- N/A = role doesn't receive manuscript assignments

Workload Share Examples

Example team:

- Screener A: capacity = 2 (part-time, can handle 2 manuscripts comfortably)
- Screener B: capacity = 8 (full-time, experienced)
- Screener C: capacity = 10 (full-time, very experienced)

First 10 manuscripts:

1. Goes to C ($0/10 = 0\%$)
2. Goes to B ($0/8 = 0\%$)
3. Goes to A ($0/2 = 0\%$)
4. Goes to C ($1/10 = 10\%$ - lowest)
5. Goes to B ($1/8 = 12.5\%$ - lowest)
6. Goes to C ($2/10 = 20\%$)
7. Goes to B ($2/8 = 25\%$)
8. Goes to C ($3/10 = 30\%$)
9. Goes to B ($3/8 = 37.5\%$)

10. Goes to C (4/10 = 40%)

After everyone hits 100% capacity, manuscripts continue at those proportions

Special case - Capacity = 0: If you set someone to 0 (on leave, sick, unavailable), they get zero new manuscripts. But their existing work stays with them unless someone manually reassigns it

Feb-2026: Allow Editors to Change Issue Type at Acceptance

Available from	5-February-2026
Impacted Module	Research Exchange Review
Impacted Roles	Editors
Overview	This release introduces a complete workflow that enables decision-making editors to change the publication issue of an article at the point of acceptance, communicates the change to authors, and delivers the change information to production in a structured manner that supports automatic, secure processing through to successful publication in the desired issue. This is an alternative solution to the current inability of authors to change the issue type at revision. Editors can select between Regular Issue and Special Issue, choose a new open special issue published by the journal when relevant, and have any change safeguarded by a confirmation modal that flags whether a change has been made compared with the original issue selected and saved at submission. The editor accepting the paper for publication in a different issue must obtain the agreement of the editors responsible for the new host issue before submitting the decision with the change. The new publication issue, along with the reason for the change, is communicated to authors via the Acceptance Decision Letter. The system preserves issue change selections and text input until the decision letter is delivered (information is not lost at refresh or logout), manages edge-case scenarios (e.g., flagging the absence of active special issues), and transmits finalized changes to production systems via output metadata files containing structured data that can be automatically processed.

Key Features

1. Ability for Editors to Change Publication Issue at Acceptance

- Editors can opt to change the manuscript's publication issue when selecting the *Accept* decision.
 - Rejection/revision decisions do not enable issue type changes.
 - "Issue Type" options (Regular Issue / Special Issue) are displayed via radio buttons.

Supports switching between:

- Regular Issue → Special Issue
- Special Issue → Regular Issue
- Special Issue A → Special Issue B
- Conditional "New Issue Name" dropdown appears only when Special Issue is selected.
Dropdown includes:
 - § All *open* Special Issues (not *closed*, not *cancelled*)

2. Mandatory "Reason For Issue Change" text box

3. Intelligent Pre-selection & State Handling

- When the checkbox "Change the publication issue for this manuscript" is first selected, radio buttons are displayed in a preselected state reflecting the manuscript's current issue type.
- If no active Special Issue exists:
 - Special Issue option is greyed out and disabled (explanatory text)
- Behavior remains consistent after Return to Peer Review from Quality Checks:
 - *Accept* decision option re-displays previously saved values, allowing modification.
 - *Reject* & *Revision* decisions discard any changes made to the publication issue.

4. Data Persistence

- System autosaves:
 - Issue Type selection
 - New Special Issue Name
 - Reason for issue change
- Persisted across navigation or incomplete workflows.
- Reason text is preserved even when switching between options.

5. Mandatory confirmation checkbox that the editors responsible for the new host issue agreed to the changes

6. Confirmation Modal Before Email Preview

- Appears when:
 - "Change publication issue" is checked, AND
 - Editor clicks "Preview Decision Email"
- Two modal variants:
 - A. No actual change detected (but reason entered): Warns editor that no issue change has been made and reason will be discarded if they submit the decision.
 - B. Issue change detected: Informs editor that changes were made and provides the opportunity to verify accuracy and confirm before proceeding to submit the decision.

7. Updated Acceptance Decision Letter Emails

- Whenever the issue type is changed by the editor at acceptance, the Decision Letter will include that information to inform and confirm the change with the authors.
 - publication issue is not changed → no extra information is added to the default content of the decision letter
 - Special → Regular:

“Your paper will be published in a Regular Issue of the journal.

The reason for changing the issue is as follows:

<<editor-entered reason>>”

- Regular → Special, OR
- Special Issue A → Special Issue B

“Your paper will be published in the special issue <<special issue name>>.”

The reason for changing the issue is as follows:

<<editor-entered reason>>”


- This text is editable in the preview and may be amended to refine the message delivered to authors.

8. Data Transfer to Production Systems

- After the decision to accept the manuscript for publication has been submitted, changes are sent downstream to production only once the **Material Checks** stage has been completed and all required manuscript files have been provided by the authors in accordance with production requirements.
- The service that handles the export to production has been updated to:
 - Save the new publication issue details + Reason for issue change
 - Deliver the change information to production in a structured manner that supports automatic processing

UI Updates

- New checkbox: “Change the publication issue for this manuscript”.



Decision * 

Accept 

 Best practice is to accept a paper with a minimum of 2 unique reviewers
(Wiley Code of Conduct)

☐ Change the publication issue for this manuscript

- Radio buttons for “Issue Type” selection with conditional “Special Issue Name” dropdown.

Decision * 

Accept 

 Best practice is to accept a paper with a minimum of 2 unique reviewers (Wiley Code of Conduct)

 Change the publication issue for this manuscript

 Please communicate your decision to the editor responsible for the new issue.

Issue Type *

☒ Special Issue

☐ Regular Issue

New Issue Name *

test status 

10 Jun SI test

autoUSIP20250511

autoUSIP20250512

Lead Guest Editor

test status

test error logs

test special issue 2

- Disabled/greyed-out SI option when no active SIs exist.

 Change the publication issue for this manuscript

 Please communicate your decision to the editor responsible for the new issue.

Issue Type *

☐ Special Issue (no active special issues at this time)

☒ Regular Issue

- Mandatory Reason text area with autosave behavior.

☒ Change the publication issue for this manuscript

 Please communicate your decision to the editor responsible for the new issue.

Issue Type *

☒ Special Issue

☐ Regular Issue

New Issue Name *

Special Issue 1 Test 2026

Reason For Issue Change *

The paper is highly in scope for the special issue and will deliver value to this collection and to the collective efforts of researchers working in this niche domain.

- Mandatory confirmation checkbox (warning message displayed in red if Editor clicks “Preview Decision Email” without confirmation).

☒ I confirm that I have spoken to the editor responsible for the new issue before accepting this manuscript *

[Preview Decision Email](#)


☐ I confirm that I have spoken to the editor responsible for the new issue before accepting this manuscript *

You must confirm that you have spoken to the new issue editor-in-chief before accepting this manuscript


[Preview Decision Email](#)

Confirmation modal shown prior to previewing the decision email.

- o No issue change detected (but reason entered)



- o Issue change detected (but reason entered)



- Acceptance Decision Letter email now displays structured, styled reason text when applicable.
 - o New publication issue is a special issue

WILEY

Dear Dr. Jane Doe,

We are delighted to inform you that your manuscript "Test article title" has been accepted for publication in Test Journal A.

Your paper will be published in the special issue Test Special Issue 1 2026. The reason for changing the issue is as follows:

“


The paper is highly in scope for the special issue and will deliver value to this collection and to the collective efforts of researchers working in this niche domain.

You can find reviewer comments at the bottom of this email, if applicable.

What happens now?

We will now check that all of your files are complete before passing them to our production team for processing. We will let you know soon if we require any further information.

- o New publication issue is a regular issue



- the feature that enables authors to change the issue type/ special issue when submitting a revision will be implemented.
- The changes made in Research Exchange Review and propagated downstream to the Production systems are not in sync with the Reporting system.

Jan-2026: Improvements to Editor Assignment Flexibility for Special Issues

Available from

27-January-2026

Impacted Module	Research Exchange Review
Impacted Roles	Special Issue Lead Guest Editors, Guest Editors, Editorial Assistants, Journal Managers, Full Access Capability
Overview	This release introduces enhancements to the editor-assignment workflow leveraging the PKG-based algorithm. The improvements ensure that Special Issue (SI) editors are consistently represented in PKG service events, editor suggestions become more relevant and precise, and Editorial Board roles can be surfaced with greater flexibility. These changes collectively enhance accuracy, transparency, and usability for editorial staff assigning editors to manuscripts.

Key Features

1. Inclusion of SI Editors in PKG Events

SI editors are now included when events are sent to the PKG service. This ensures that PKG receives the complete editorial board context.

2. SI-Specific Editor Suggestions

When a manuscript belongs to a Special Issue, the editor suggestion panel now displays recommendations *only* from that SI's designated editor pool.

Benefits include:

- More relevant and contextually appropriate suggestions.
- Faster, more accurate editor assignment.

3. Editorial Board Assignment Flexibility

Users have the option to view **non-responsible roles** within the editor suggestions panel by toggling off "Show all editorial board members".

This provides:

- Increased flexibility when selecting editors beyond the primary responsible role.
- Better visibility into the full breadth of editorial expertise.

4. SI Editor Query by External ID


Systems can now query SI editors using an SI's **external ID**, improving:

- Reliability and consistency across integrated systems.

- Ability to support external or partner workflows referencing SI metadata.

UI Updates

- A new toggle allows users to optionally show **non-responsible roles** within the editor suggestions panel by toggling off “Show all editorial board members”.



Dec-2025: Editors can record their availability in app

Available from	18-December-2025
Impacted Module	Research Exchange Review
Impacted Roles	Editors, Editorial Assistants, Journal Managers
Overview	Editors can now record their availability in the application to manage out-of-office periods and prevent automatic manuscript assignments during their absence. OOO dates are visible to colleagues—including other editors, Journal Managers, and Editorial Assistants—enabling informed assignment decisions across the team.


Key Features

- Set Out-of-Office Dates: Editors who are journal board members can schedule multiple OOO periods in the Account Settings page available under "My Profile"

- Visibility of Availability: OOO status is displayed to relevant roles (pre-peer-review editors, editors with Full Access, JMs, EAs, and admins) in the Review application.
- Assignment Logic Update: Review will not automatically assign manuscripts to editors marked as OOO unless they are the only suggested editor.

User Interface Updates

Profile Page: Editors can set and manage OOO dates from the "My Profile" section within Review



WILEY Search Portfolio Wiley Tenant Wiley Tomas And

DASHBOARD / ACCOUNT SETTINGS

Account Settings

CONNECT [Update Profile](#) [Manage Password](#)

Your CONNECT account is the centralized control of all your personal profile information, sign in options, security settings and other areas of configuration.

First name

Last name

Title

Email

Orcid

Country

My Affiliation [Edit](#)

Mission University of Varanasi

My Expertise Keywords 59 selected [Edit](#)

Unavailable Dates (0)

What happens when you are unavailable?

- Your unavailability appears when you're being considered as an editor for a manuscript
- You can still receive invitations to handle manuscripts as an editor, or to review manuscripts
- Active assignments remain your responsibility
- Your unavailability is automatically removed after the end date

[+ Add New Unavailable Dates](#)

Modal is open by default. If it is closed, it can be re-opened by clicking "Add New Unavailable Dates"

×

Add unavailable dates

You'll automatically appear as "unavailable soon" to other editors starting 3 days before your unavailability begins.

Start Date *

End Date *

YYYY-MM-DD → YYYY-MM-DD 

Comments

e.g. Annual conference, Sabbatical, Field research etc.

 Comments are visible to editors.

0/280

DISCARD

ADD UNAVAILABLE DATES

Dates entered

Red dot indicates Editor is currently unavailable

Unavailable Dates (2)

[About Unavailable Dates](#)

[+ Add New Unavailable Dates](#)

> Jun 06, 2025 - Jun 13, 2025 (8 days) ●



▼ Dec 24, 2025 - Jan 03, 2026 (11 days)



Comments
Annual conference in Boston

No dates entered

WILEY Search Portfolio Wiley Tenant Wiley Tamas Anderson

DASHBOARD / ACCOUNT SETTINGS

Account Settings

CONNECT [Update Profile](#) [Manage Password](#)

Your CONNECT account is the centralized control of all your personal profile information, sign in options, security settings and other areas of configuration.

First name: Tamas
Last name: Anderson
Title: Mrs
Email: pharma@connect.com
Orcid: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-1111-1111>
Country: India

My Affiliation [Edit](#)
Mission University of Varnasi

My Expertise Keywords 59 selected [Edit](#)

Unavailable Dates (0) [About Unavailable Dates](#)
[+ Add New Unavailable Dates](#)

Notification Settings >
Choose which emails you want to receive.

Can edit and/or delete previously entered unavailable dates

Unavailable Dates (2) [About Unavailable Dates](#)

[+ Add New Unavailable Dates](#)

> Jun 06, 2025 - Jun 13, 2025 (8 days) ●	Edit dates Delete dates
▼ Dec 24, 2025 - Jan 03, 2026 (11 days) Comments Annual conference in Boston	Edit dates Delete dates

Assignment Screens

Availability indicator appears in Article details page

— Invite Editor for Peer Review

Search by Editor name or email address ☒ Show all editorial board members [?](#) [Assign Myself As Handling Editor](#)


Score ?	Editor information	Editor activity ?
83% 	CONFLICT OF INTEREST Academic Editor 	Workload: 2 Pending invitations: 0
Keywords: Oxidative damage; Apoptosis; Oxidative stress; Reactive oxygen species; Cell biology; Endocrinology; Cell physiology; Cholesterol; Kidney disease; Environmental health; Cancer; + Show More		
Unavailable in 2 days. Nov 27, 2025 – Jan 05, 2026 View Details		
44% 	 Deputy Editor 	Workload: 1 Pending invitations: 5
Keywords: Oxidative damage; Apoptosis; Oxidative stress; Reactive oxygen species; Cell biology; Endocrinology; Cell physiology; Cholesterol; + Show More		
Unavailable for the next 2 days. Nov 25 – Nov 27, 2025 View Details		
43% 	 The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong 	Workload: 0 Pending invitations: 8
Keywords: Oxidative damage; Apoptosis; Oxidative stress; Reactive oxygen species; Cell biology; Endocrinology; Cell physiology; Cholesterol; + Show More		
39% 	 Deputy Editor 	Workload: 0 Pending invitations: 8
Keywords: Oxidative damage; Apoptosis; Oxidative stress; Reactive oxygen species; Cell biology; Endocrinology; Cell physiology; Cholesterol; Kidney disease; Environmental health; Cancer; + Show More		

Unavailable dates details

Unavailable in 2 days. Nov 27, 2025 – Jan 05, 2026 [View Details](#)

44% 	 Deputy Editor 	Nov 27, 2025 – Jan 05, 2026 Personal leave. Thank you for your patience! + Show More Dates	Workload: 1 Pending invitations: 5
Keywords: Oxidative damage; Apoptosis; Oxidative stress; Reactive oxygen species; Cell biology; Endocrinology; Cell physiology; Cholesterol; + Show More			

When editor is close to unavailable period or is unavailable currently, system will display warning message



Dec-2025: Implement Role-Based Escalation Filters

Available from	8-December-2025
Impacted Module	Research Exchange Screening
Impacted Roles	Lead screeners, lead specialists, viewers and admin users
Overview	This release introduces a new Manuscript Escalation Management feature designed to give lead screeners, lead specialists, viewers and admin users dynamic visibility into workload distribution across teams and individuals. The goal is to enable informed decisions on work redistribution and identify performance patterns under different manuscript conditions.

Key Features

- **Role-Based Access Control**
 - Admin and Lead Screener users: Full visibility of the Manuscript Escalation section (except “Myself” option).
 - ♣ Dropdown options: **All, Specialists, Lead Screeners.**
 - Lead Specialist users: Limited visibility.
 - ♣ Dropdown options: **Myself, Specialists.**
- **Team and Individual Workload Visibility**
 - Filter submissions by assignment teams and roles.
 - Real-time counts for teams and individual specialists.
 - Lead Specialists clearly marked with the ‘LSP’ abbreviation.
 - Counts dynamically update based on manuscript conditions.
- **Contextual Escalation Distribution**
 - Filter escalation data by issue type, manuscript stage, assignment, and author response.

- o OR-based status filtering for broader visibility.
- o Dynamic count updates for intersections of multiple filters.
- **Filter Management**
 - o Reset all escalation-related filters simultaneously or individually.
 - o Immediate visual feedback and real-time updates on filter changes.

User Interface Updates

- Added **Manuscript Escalation** section with:
 - o Checkbox: **Escalated manuscripts.**
 - o Dropdown: **Escalated to** (with counts)
- Behavior changes:
 - o Selecting “All” hides team and specialist dropdowns.
 - o Selecting “Specialists” shows team and specialist dropdowns.
 - o Selecting “Lead Screeners” shows only team dropdown.
- **Sort by** section moved to top-right of dashboard
- **Total manuscript counter** moved to top-left of dashboard.

Manuscript Escalation filter added

Issue type

All

Manuscript stage

Submission checks

☐ Author responded

84

Manuscript assignment

Assignment status

All

Checker team

All

Checker

All

Manuscript escalation

☐ Escalated manuscripts

36

Submission checks

Processing

☐ Automatic checks

133

Work in progress

☐ New manuscript stage

3524

Pending response

☐ Returned to draft

110

☐ Paused

17

“Escalated To” Views

Issue type

All

Manuscript stage

All

☐ Author responded

0

Manuscript escalation

Clear

☒ Escalated manuscripts

1

Escalated to

Myself

RESEARCH EXCHANGE RELEASE NOTES

52

Issue type

All

Manuscript stage

All

☐ Author responded

0

Manuscript escalation

Clear

☒ Escalated manuscripts

2

Escalated to

Specialists

Escalation team

All

Specialist

All

Issue type

All

Manuscript stage

All

☐ Author responded

0

Manuscript escalation

Clear

☒ Escalated manuscripts

2

Escalated to

Specialists

Escalation team

All

All2

(Sergiu) Specialist Test2

Issue type
All

Manuscript stage
All

☐ Author responded 0

Manuscript escalation Clear

☒ Escalated manuscripts 2

Escalated to
Specialists

Escalation team
[Sergiu] Specialist Test

Specialist
All

- All 2
- [SERGIU] SPECIALIST TEST 2
- Sergiu Lead (LSP) 1
- Sergiu Leogan 0
- Sergiu Specialist lead now ... 1

Sort by section moved to top-right of dashboard

WILEY

Manuscripts

Richard Bennet

Manuscripts

Archived Manuscripts

User Management

Settings

Issue type
All

Manuscript stage
Submission checks

☐ Author responded 12

Manuscript assignment Clear
Assignment status
Assigned
Checker teams
Team ABC
Checker
Jonny Macaroni

Manuscript escalation Clear
☐ Escalated manuscripts 12

Submission checks 58 Clear
Processing 17
☐ Automatic checks 12
Work in progress 29
☐ New manuscript 12
Pending response 12
☐ Returned to draft 12
☐ Paused 12

Showing manuscripts: 1-2 of 2

Sort by: Submission date (Newest first)

ID 5694305

NEW MANUSCRIPT

A Key Major Guideline for Engineering Bioactive Multicomponent Nanofunctionalization for Biomedicine and Other Applications: Fundamental Models Confirmed by Both Direct and Indirect Evidence

Journal
Canadian Journal of Infectious Diseases and Medical Microbiology

Article Type
Expression of Concern

Section
Current Contents - Physical, Chemical and Earth Sciences

Special Issue
Advanced PHWR Safety Technology: PHWR Challenging Issues for Safe Operation and Long-Term Sustainability

Submitting Author: Cassiano Felipe Gonçalves-de-Albuquerque

Submitted on: 13 Mar 2022 (11 days)

ID 5694305

NEW MANUSCRIPT

A Key Major Guideline for Engineering Bioactive Multicomponent Nanofunctionalization for Biomedicine and Other Applications: Fundamental Models Confirmed by Both Direct and Indirect Evidence

Journal
Canadian Journal of Infectious Diseases and Medical Microbiology

Article Type
Expression of Concern

Section
Current Contents - Physical, Chemical and Earth Sciences

Special Issue
Advanced PHWR Safety Technology: PHWR Challenging Issues for Safe Operation and Long-Term Sustainability

Submitting Author: Cassiano Felipe Gonçalves-de-Albuquerque

Submitted on: 13 Mar 2022 (11 days)

- Removed duplicate manuscript counters from status section to reduce clutter.

Dec-2025: Materials Check – Version History Enhancements

Available from	3-December-2025
Impacted Module	Research Exchange Screening
Impacted Roles	Screening Users
Overview	When a manuscript enters the Materials Check flow with a new minor version (e.g., after a <i>Request Files</i> action), previously selected radio button answers will be displayed in the UI. This update improves clarity and traceability of requests for materials and versioning during that process.

Key Features

- When navigating to an **earlier minor version** within the Materials Check flow:
 - **Previously selected radio button answers** will now be visible.
 - Any **associated free-text responses** will also be displayed.
- All displayed inputs on previous versions are **read-only**:
 - Radio buttons and text fields are **disabled (greyed out)**.
 - No data can be edited when viewing a prior version.

This ensures full visibility of historical Materials Check decisions without risk of accidental changes.

User Interface Update

WILEY

Manuscript ID: 1028383

Info Validation

Author Identity Verification

Peer Review Cycle Checks

Material Checks

Manuscripts

Archived Manuscripts

User Management

Settings

Version 2

Version 2.1

Checks

Front Page Approved *

☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not Required

Supplementary Materials approved *

☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not Required

Headers and footers approved *

☐ Yes ☐ No

Patient/Participant Consent form approved *

☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not Required

Figures and Tables present in PDF and all cited *

☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not Required

Acknowledgements approved *

☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not Required

Figures provided in editable format *

☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not Required

Clinical Study Registration Number approved *

☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not Required

Manuscript file in an editable format *

☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not Required

Cover Letter approved *

☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not Required

WeChat test - manuscript to be Accepted

Authors: Meghana Hemphill¹, Phoebe Dong², Wayne Zhai³, Piao Li⁴, Omega Hu⁵, Kristin McNealy⁶ + Show Affiliations

Editorial | Behavioral Interventions

Academic Editor: Catherine O'Hara

Abstract

It is a truth universally acknowledged, that a single man in possession of a good fortune, must be in want of a wife.


Author Declaration

Dec-2025: Full Access Editor Capability

Available from	01-December-2025
Impacted Module	Research Exchange Review
Impacted Roles	Triage Editors/Chief Editors
Overview	This release provides a new capability available to triage editors. When activated, editors with Full Access will have the authority to manage any manuscript at any stage of the workflow. They will also be empowered to oversee submissions, make decisions, invite reviewers, and assign or reassign editors across all manuscripts within a journal.


Key Features

Once Full Access Editor capabilities are in place, editors will notice that all manuscripts appear in their dashboard and that a range of additional actions are available to them at the manuscript level.



- Full Access Editors **can**:
 - View and can act upon any manuscript at any given stage of the workflow, including those they are not assigned to (except for their own authored manuscripts)
 - Make decisions before and after peer review at each stage in the process
 - Invite reviewers and manage reviewer assignments and deadlines
 - Assign or reassign a new editor to the pre-peer review and peer review stages (providing their role on the editorial board aligns with the stage for that editorial model)
 - Participate in manuscript conversations using the conversation tool
 - View all actions taken in the activity log for transparency/traceability. Emails sent will be signed with the Full Access editor's name
- Full Access Editors **cannot**:
 - Re-assign the manuscript to themselves at any point while the manuscript is in the Review module (this is in development for delivery at a later date).

Example of the Full Access editor capability to re-assign an Editor for the Pre-Peer Review stage, Invite an editor for the Peer Review stage, or make an immediate decision:



Example of the Full Access editor capability to re-invite an Editor for the Peer Review stage, Invite and manage reviewer reports, or make a decision after reports have been submitted:

+ Reininvite Editor for Peer Review	
+ Reviewer Invitation	? Reports: 2 Submitted ⓘ 0 Overdue, 0 Invalidated Invitations: 0 Accepted, 0 Pending, 0 Declined, 0 Expired, 0 Revoked
+ Reviewer Reports	2 Reports submitted 1 Used
+ Your Editorial Decision	1 of 1 Required unique reviewers for accept decision ?

By default, **users with the EiC/Chief/Triage Editor role and Observing capabilities will have Full Access Editor capabilities enabled.** Where appropriate, any Editor can be granted Full Access Editor capabilities upon request to their Wiley representative.

Limitations

- FAE is a set of capabilities added to an existing editorial role; FAE is not a stand-alone role
- Editors cannot hold both Observing and Full Access capabilities simultaneously (Full Access overrides Observing). Either style can be requested/removed upon request to a Wiley representative
- When Full Access Editor capabilities are removed, editors lose the ability to take further actions on manuscripts previously handled under FAE, but the historical activity remains logged.
- Post-peer review, Full Access Editors can make decisions only, not recommendations.
- Actions taken by the FAE on behalf of the assigned Editor do not trigger notifications to that Editor.

Nov-2025: Editorial Conversation Tool, Phase 2.1

Available from	25-November-2025
Impacted Module	Research Exchange Review
Impacted Roles	Admins, editorial assistants (EAs), journal managers (JMs), editors with Full Access Editor (FAE) capabilities
Overview	Journal staff with manuscript access, including editorial assistants, journal managers, and full access editors, can now participate in the Conversation Tool.

Key Features

Commenting Capabilities for Admin/JM, FAE, and EA

- Users with existing manuscript access can:
 - Create new comments on manuscripts
 - Reply to existing comments
 - Be notified via email of conversations in which they are participants

Not in This Release

- Several additional functionalities have been held over into a Phase 2.2 enhancement to the Conversation Tool scheduled for delivery 23 Dec 2025. Namely, to invite specific editorial board members to conversations, to manage visibility of comments, and track consultation requests directly from the dashboard.

Relevant Materials

- Conversation Tool Phase 1, assigned editors can comment and reply (see Release Notes in this document)
- Email notifications management for conversations (see Release Notes in this document)

Nov-2025: Reviewer Role-Based Visibility Restrictions

Available from	11-November-2025
Impacted Module	Research Exchange Review
Impacted Roles	Reviewers
Overview	This release introduces targeted updates to the reviewer experience across both single and double anonymous workflows. The changes aim to reduce the risk of reviewers being able to identify author and also streamline the reviewer interface by hiding information unnecessary to their review.

Key Features

Author Declaration Visibility Restrictions

- **Double Anonymous Reviewers** will no longer see responses to:
 - “Is this research supported by funding?”
 - “Do you or any of your co-authors have a conflict of interest to disclose?”
- **Single Anonymous Reviewers** retain access to this information to identify potential conflicts.
- The data availability statement remains to all Reviewers
- Emails are unaffected by this change

Hide Submission Questions

- For all peer review model types, all Submission Questions will be hidden from reviewers

User Interface Updates

Author Declarations Tab

- For double anonymous reviewers:
 - Funding and COI responses are hidden
- For single anonymous reviewers:
 - No change; full visibility retained.

Nov-2025: Queue Invited Reviewers (Pilot Journals Only)

Key Features

- Users can search for reviewers once, create a prioritized queue, and include the option to invite the required number of reviewers with or without customized messaging.

When a reviewer declines or does not respond within the set timeframe of 7 days, the system automatically invites the next reviewer in the queue.

60


- The queue is numbered, so editors can easily identify the order in which reviewers will be invited.

Re-invite Reviewer Button

- Added a “Re-invite Reviewer” button for journals with queue-enabled functionality.

User Interface Updates

- Queue numbering is now visible in the UI (top-to-bottom order).
- New “Re-invite Reviewer” and “Re-queue Reviewer” buttons available in queue-enabled journals.




The screenshot displays the Wiley queue management interface. At the top, it shows 'Queued Reviewers (2)' and 'QUEUE IS NOT ACTIVE'. A note indicates '1 required new reviewer to start queue, 2 are optimal'. Below this, a reviewer card for 'Review Two' is shown, including the reviewer's name, affiliation, and email. The card also displays statistics: 'Currently reviewing: 7', 'Pending invitations: 5', and 'Completed reviews: 45'. An 'Invitation email: Default' link and a 'Customize invitation' button are present. A 'QUEUED' button with a dropdown menu is also visible. The card lists 'Top keywords' and a 'More Details' link. A large green button with a play icon and the text 'Start Queue Invites' is prominently displayed. Below the reviewer card, the same information is repeated. At the bottom, a circular progress indicator shows '58%' completion. The 'Review Two' card is shown again with the same statistics. The 'Invitation email: Default' link and 'Customize invitation' button are present. A 'Rate Suggestion' link is also visible. The 'Top keywords' and 'More Details' link are also present.

Nov-2025: Show Keywords in the UI for Journals that have PKG for Editor Suggestion Enabled


Available from	12-November-2025
Impacted Module	Research Exchange Review
Impacted Roles	Editors
Overview	For journals that use PKG for Editor suggestion, we now display the keywords based on related publication history on Editor Suggestion cards.

For journals that use PKG, we now display the keywords based on related publication history on Editor Suggestion cards. This is an enhancement to the Editor Suggestion card release that introduced Suggested Editor cards containing detailed information about potential invitees. With this release PKG journals now have parity with those using Editor Suggestion Tool (EST).



The screenshot displays the 'Reassign Chief Editor' interface. At the top, there is a search bar labeled 'Search by editor name or email address'. Below the search bar, there are three tabs: 'Score', 'Editor information', and 'Editor activity'. The 'Score' tab is selected, showing three editor cards. Each card displays a circular progress indicator, the editor's name and title, their email address, workload, and a list of keywords. The first two cards, 'Fsora CE1 Sora' and 'Fsora CE2 Sora', both have a score of 61% and a workload of 0. The third card, 'Florin Sora', has a score of 60%, a workload of 1, and is marked as 'ASSIGNED'. A red box labeled 'CONFLICT OF INTEREST' is visible next to Florin Sora's name. Each card also has a '+ Show More' link for the keywords.

Editor	Score	Workload	Keywords
Fsora CE1 Sora Chief Editor fsora+ce1@wiley.com	61%	0	Medicine; Internal medicine; Surgery; Urinary incontinence; Urinary bladder; Urinary system; Urology; Urodynamic studies; Urodynamic testing; Psychiatry; Spinal cor...
Fsora CE2 Sora Chief Editor fsora+ce2@wiley.com	61%	0	Medicine; Urology; Internal medicine; Surgery; Cancer; Prostate; Prospective cohort study; Urinary system; Lower urinary tract symptoms; Alternative medicine; Path...
Florin Sora Academic Editor fsora+adm@wiley.com	60%	1	Internal medicine; Medicine; Urology; Cancer; Lower urinary tract symptoms; Prostate; Urinary bladder; Urinary system; Bladder outlet obstruction; Cervical cancer; C...



Nov-2025: Receive transfer 'message for destination editor'

Available from	7 October (Review)-2025, 22 October (Screening) -2025
Impacted Module	Research Exchange Review & Screening
Impacted Roles	Destination Editor (Editorial Board member assigned to transferred manuscript)
Overview	This release addresses a visibility gap for destination editors (Editorial Board members assigned to the manuscript) handling transferred manuscripts. Previously, when a manuscript was transferred via Reject & Refer , the “message for destination editor” provided by the originating editor was not visible to the receiving editorial team. This enhancement ensures that destination editors can now view the transfer context and message directly within the Review and Screening workflows.

Key Features


- **Display Transfer Context for Destination Editors**
 - When viewing a transferred manuscript, the assigned destination editor can now see:
 - Name of the editor who suggested the transfer
 - Date of transfer
 - Message for destination editor (entered during Reject & Refer)
 - Journal from which the manuscript was transferred

User Interface Updates

- **Dashboard – Article Details page (Review)**
- Adds a **Transfer Details** panel for transferred manuscripts into the Manuscript Details page.

- Panel is collapsible and will always appear first in the list of collapsible panels.
- Panel is open by default
- If user collapses the panel, it will not be expanded if that user refreshes that page on the manuscript.
- Panel appears only for manuscripts with a transfer history.
- Panel includes:
 - **Transfer Date:** [MM/DD/YYYY]
 - **Message for Destination Editor (“from Transferring Editor Firstname Lastname (role)”):** [Free-text message entered by originating editor]
 - **Transferred From:** [Journal Name]
- Information on panel is read-only; cannot be edited.

Transfer Information panel in Review



The screenshot displays the Wiley manuscript review interface. At the top, the Wiley logo is on the left, and a search bar and user name 'Richard' are on the right. Below the header, the page is titled 'DASHBOARD / ARTICLE DETAILS'. The article ID is 5694305, and it is marked as 'TRANSFERRED'. The article title is 'A Key Major Guideline for Engineering Bioactive Multicomponent Nanofunctionalization for Biomedicine and Other Applications: Fundamental Models Confirmed by Both Direct and Indirect Evidence'. The authors listed are Karl Sabar, Ambuj Bhushan Jha, Rama Shanker Dubey, Mohammed Pessarakli, Lakshmi Kumari Borahugode, Ambuj Bhushan Jha, Rama Sh., and others. The article type is 'Expression of Concern' and the journal is 'Canadian Journal of Infectious Diseases and Medical Microbiology'. The triage editor is Cassiano Felipe Gonçalves-de-Albuquerque and the academic editor is Ayodele Temidayo Johniy. The submission date is 13 Mar 2022 (11 days). Below this information, there are expandable sections for 'Abstract', 'Author Declaration', and 'Files'. The 'Transfer Information' panel is highlighted with an orange border and contains the following details:

- Transfer Information**
- Date:** 19 Sep 2025
- Message from Eric Manson (Chief Editor):** I am writing to recommend this manuscript for consideration at your journal. While well-executed research, it falls outside our current scope but aligns perfectly with your publication's focus. The methodology is sound and findings are significant. I believe it would be an excellent fit for your...
- Transferred from:** Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans

Below the 'Transfer Information' panel, there are several other expandable sections: 'Screening Report', 'Editorial Comments', 'Reviewer Invitation History' (with 0 Reports submitted, 0 Overdue, 0 Accepted, 0 Pending, 0 Report not submitted, and a 'More' link), 'Reviewer Reports' (with 3 Reports and 2 Used), and 'Activity Log'.

No Information Sent from Previous Editor

— Transfer Information

Date: Sep 26, 2025


Message from Triage Editor TE (Triage Editor)

Transferred from

LUTS: Lower Urinary Tract Symptoms 

- **Dashboard – Info Validation Tab (Screening)**
 - Adds a **Transfer Information** panel for transferred manuscripts into the Info Validation Tab
- Panel appears only for manuscripts with a transfer history.
- Panel includes:
 - **Transfer Date:** [MM/DD/YYYY]
 - **Message for Destination Editor (“from Transferring Editor Firstname Lastname (Role)”):**
[Free-text message entered by originating editor]
 - **Transferred From:** [Journal Name]
- Information on panel is read-only; cannot be edited.


Transfer Information panel in Screening



Nov-2025: Add Manuscript Stage & Link to Screening Escalation Email

Available from	11-November-2025
Impacted Module	Research Exchange Screening
Impacted Roles	Specialist, Lead Screener
Overview	This update adds the manuscript stage in the subject line and a direct link to the manuscript in the body to all escalation notification emails in Screening

This update adds the manuscript stage in the subject line and a direct link to the manuscript in the body to all escalation notification emails in Screening. This provides specialists/lead screeners with immediate context and one-click access to escalated manuscripts.



Nov-2025: Bidirectional Linking

Available from	7-November-2025
Impacted Module	Research Exchange Review
Impacted Roles	Admin users, Editorial Assistant (EA), Journal Managers (JM), Editor assigned on the manuscript, Editors with 'managing' capabilities - Triage, Lead Guest Editors (collectively, "Users")
Overview	This release introduces enhancements to the Linked Papers initiative; bi-directional linking for in-progress papers. If two in-progress papers are linked, the linking will now show up on both automatically.

Key Features

- **Bi-Directional Linking:** When an in-progress paper (Paper A) is linked to another in-progress paper (Paper B), the system automatically creates a reciprocal link from Paper B to Paper A.
- **Status Restriction:** Linking and unlinking are permitted only between manuscripts that are **in-progress**. Linking to Published, QA, Quality Check, or Deleted papers is not allowed.
- **Script for Existing Links:** A migration script will update existing one-way links between in-progress manuscripts (>147 MSs) to ensure bi-directional linking where applicable.
- **Unlink Update:** Unlinking now removes the link from both manuscripts.

- **Activity Log Enhancement:** Activity logs will reflect linking/unlinking actions on both papers involved. Example:

ON PAPER A:
Monica Bruma Admin linked paper: ID 1015805 Research Manuscript – demo 7th of August (PAPER B)
October 10, 2025 at 12:08

ON PAPER B:
Monica Bruma Admin linked paper: ID 1015803 Research Manuscript – ALLALALLALA (PAPER A)
October 10, 2025 at 12:08

Activity Logs and Emails

Filter

From:

Filter by role

To:

Filter by role

☐ Show logs with emails on

Admin Admin ADMIN linked paper: ID 1853135 Timi T --Submission - academicEditorAssigned - 1853135

November 04, 2025 at 11:42 (3 seconds ago)

Invite reviewers

Activity Logs and Emails

Filter

From:

Filter by role

To:

Filter by role

☐ Show logs with emails on

Admin Admin ADMIN linked paper: ID 4249186 Timi T --Submission - academicEditorAssigned - 4249186

November 04, 2025 at 11:42 (17 seconds ago)


Nov-2025: Updates to Dashboard Cards and Manuscript Details Page

Available from	20-October-2025
Impacted Module	Research Exchange Review
Impacted Roles	Editors
Overview	We now display metrics on Reviewer Invitations and Reviewer Reports display

To better summarize the status of Reviewer Invitations and Reviewer Reports for editors directly in the Dashboard, we have introduced three sets of metrics

- Reviewer reports (metrics for the current manuscript version)
- Reviewer invitation statuses (metrics for the current manuscript version)
- Required reviewers for accept decision (metrics across all manuscript versions)

Editor dashboard listing three manuscript cards. Each card displays the three categories of metrics listed above



WILEY Search Aurelia AE1

Filters

Journal: All

Priority

- ☒ Action required: 5
- ☐ In progress: 3
- ☐ Finalized: 3
- ☐ All: 11

Status

- ☐ Invite Reviewers: 2
- ☐ Make Recommendation: 2
- ☐ Make Decision: 1

Action required Showing manuscripts: 1-5 of 5

Detailed view: ☒ Sort by: Last update (newest first)

ID 1090849 TRANSFERRED **MAKE RECOMMENDATION V1**

Test article 1

Jane Doe

Article Type: Original Article Academic Editor: Aurelia AE1 Lazanu

Reviewer reports: 2 Used of 2 Submitted 0 Overdue, 0 Invalidated

Reviewer invitation statuses: 1 Accepted, 1 Pending, 1 Declined, 2 Expired, 0 Revoked

Required reviewers for accept decision: 2 of 1 Required unique reviewers

Show less details

Submitted on: Mar 20, 2025 (7 months ago)

ID 1000860 **INVITE REVIEWERS V2**

TEST

Jane Doe

Article Type: Editorial Academic Editor: Aurelia AE1 Lazanu

Reviewer reports: 1 Used of 2 Submitted 0 Overdue, 0 Invalidated

Reviewer invitation statuses: 0 Accepted, 0 Pending, 0 Declined, 0 Expired, 0 Revoked

Required reviewers for accept decision: 1 of 2 Required unique reviewers

Show less details

Submitted on: Sep 01, 2025 (1 month ago)

ID 1052025 TRANSPARENT PEER REVIEW **MAKE DECISION V1**

TEST

Jane Doe

Article Type: Research Article Deputy Editor: Aurelia AE1 Lazanu

Reviewer reports: 1 Used of 1 Submitted 1 Overdue, 0 Invalidated

Reviewer invitation statuses: 0 Accepted, 0 Pending, 0 Declined, 3 Expired, 0 Revoked

Required reviewers for accept decision: 1 of 1 Required unique reviewers

Show less details

Submitted on: Aug 06, 2025 (2 months ago)

Editors can opt for a simplified view of the dashboard, where only the first category of metrics is displayed: Reviewer Reports


- They may hide the other two categories across the board in one move by turning off the 'Detailed view' toggle at the top –right of the dashboard, near the 'Sort by' function

'Detailed view' toggle. Action is across the entire dashboard.

The screenshot displays the Wiley manuscript management interface. On the left, there are filters for Journal (All), Priority (Action required: 5, In progress: 3, Finalized: 3, All: 11), and Status (Invite Reviewers: 2, Make Recommendation: 2, Make Decision: 1). The main area shows three manuscript cards. The top card, ID 1090849, is labeled 'TRANSFERRED' and 'Test article 1'. It shows Jane Doe as the author, Academic Editor Aurelia AE1 Lazanu, and 2 Reviewer reports (2 Used of 2 Submitted, 0 Overdue, 0 Invalidated). A green box highlights the 'Detailed view' toggle switch, which is currently turned off. An arrow points to the toggle. The bottom card, ID 1052025, is labeled 'TRANSPARENT PEER REVIEW' and 'TEST'. It shows Jane Doe as the author, Deputy Editor Aurelia AE1 Lazanu, and 1 Reviewer report (1 Used of 1 Submitted, 1 Overdue, 0 Invalidated). The interface also includes a search bar and a sort dropdown set to 'Last update (newest first)'.

- They may also hide the other two categories at card level, by clicking on the ‘Show less details’ button per card. They may revert the action and display all categories again by clicking on the ‘Show less details’ button

‘Show less details’ button – card A. After clicking on the button in card A, the two categories are hidden, as displayed on card B. On card B, button changes to ‘Show more details’ to enable the choice to view all categories. These actions are per card.



Action required Showing manuscripts: 1–5 of 5 Detailed view: ☒ Sort by: Last update (newest first)

ID 1090849 TRANSFERRED MAKE RECOMMENDATION V1

Test article 1
 Jane Doe  

Article Type: Original Article Academic Editor: Aurelia AE1 Lazanu

Reviewer reports 
 2 Used of 2 Submitted  0 Overdue, 0 Invalidated


Reviewer invitation statuses 
 1 Accepted, 1 Pending, 1 Declined, 2 Expired, 0 Revoked

Required reviewers for accept decision
 2 of 1 Required unique reviewers 

^ Show less details

Journal ██ Submitted on: Mar 20, 2025 (7 months ago)

A.

ID 1090849 TRANSFERRED MAKE RECOMMENDATION V1

Test article 1
 Jane Doe  

Article Type: Original Article Academic Editor: Aurelia AE1 Lazanu

Reviewer reports 
 2 Used of 2 Submitted  0 Overdue, 0 Invalidated

^ Show more details

Journal ██ Submitted on: Mar 20, 2025 (7 months ago)

B.

Reviewer reports (metrics for the current manuscript version)

These metrics offer information on reviewer reports on the current version, combining the following sources:

- **Submitted** - reviewer reports submitted by reviewers that accepted invitations to review the current version or transferred from the original submission rejected in another journal and transferred to the current journal (all valid reports)
- **Used** – from the total of submitted reports, only the ones that are selected by the editor to be used in the peer review process
- **Overdue** - reviewer invitations accepted by the reviewer that passed the deadline to submit the report and are marked as overdue (status on the invitation is REPORT NOT SUBMITTED)
- **Invalidated** – reports that have been marked as invalid in Quality Checks due to Conflicts of Interest between the authors and the reviewer or Improper Review identified later in the flow and automatically removed from peer review

‘Reviewer reports’ metrics on the current version. Reports source popover will display all Submitted reports broken-down by source.

ID 1090849 TRANSFERRED MAKE RECOMMENDATION V1

Test article 1

Jane Doe 

Article Type: Original Article Academic Editor: Aurelia AE1 Lazanu

Reviewer invitation statuses ?
1 Accepted, 1 Pending, 1 Declined, 2 Expired, 0 Revoked

[Show less details](#)

Journal: LUTS: Lower Urinary Tract Symptoms Submitted on: Mar 20, 2025 (7 months ago)

These metrics are for this manuscript version.

Reviewer reports ?
2 Used of 2 Submitted i 0 Overdue, 0 Invalidated

Required reviewers for accept decision
2 of 1 Required unique reviewers ?

Reports source:

1 Transferred
1 New reviewers

Reviewer reports ?
2 Used of 2 Submitted i 0 Overdue, 0 Invalidated

Reports source:

0 Previous reviewers
2 New reviewers

Reviewer reports ?
1 Used of 2 Submitted i 0 Overdue, 0 Invalidated

'Transferred' reports can exist only on V1 and only if the manuscript is a transfer from another journal and has imported reviews.


Reports from 'Previous reviewers' can only exist on revisions, where reviewers that reviewed previous versions can be re-invited on the current version.

Reviewer invitation statuses (metrics for the current manuscript version)

These metrics offer information on reviewer invitations sent on the current version with no report submitted and not overdue; listing the count of invitations in all possible statuses (Fig.5):

- Statuses with potential to generate a reviewer report: Accepted; Pending
- Statuses with no potential to generate a reviewer report: Expired; Declined; Revoked (reviewers in these statuses can be re-invited)


‘Reviewer invitation statuses’ metrics on the current version.



Required reviewers for accept decision (metrics across all manuscript versions)

These metrics offer information on the number of individual reviewers that have contributed valid reports selected by the editor to count for peer review against the minimum criteria to unlock the ‘Acceptance’ decision (Fig.6).

‘Required reviewers for accept decision’ metrics on the current version.



Manuscript Details Page Updates

The information displayed on the manuscript card in the three categories detailed in the first section correlate with information displayed in the Manuscript Details Page, accessed after clicking on a manuscript card (Fig.7):

Dashboard Manuscript Card Manuscript Details Page

Reviewer report	Header of [Reviewer Reports] & [Reviewer Invitation] modals, top-right
Reviewer invitation statuses	Header of [Reviewer Invitation] modal, top-right
Required reviewers for accept decision	Header of [Your Editorial Recommendation]/ [Your Editorial decision] modal, top-right

Reviewer Reports and Reviewer Invitations metrics within the Manuscript Details Page

+ Screening Report

+ Reviewer Invitation ⓘ Reports: 2 Submitted ⓘ 0 Overdue, 0 Invalidated Invitations: 1 Accepted, 1 Pending, 1 Declined, 2 Expired, 0 Rev

+ Reviewer Reports 2 Reports submitted 2 U

+ Your Editorial Recommendation 2 of 1 Required unique reviewers for accept decision

+ Activity Logs and Emails

Reviewer invitations

+ Screening Report

— Reviewer Invitation

🔔 Reports: 2 Submitted

🕒 0 Overdue, 0 Invalidated

📩 Invitations: 1 Accepted, 1 Pending, 1 Declined, 2 Expired, 0 Revoked

Notification to make a decision was already sent. Set a new threshold to receive another notification when more reports are submitted. [Customize](#)

🔔 Suggested Reviewers

👤 Specialized Reviewers

🔍 Search Reviewers

👤 Invite Reviewer Manually



Browse suggestions
Choose reviewer from our AI generated reviewer list.



Search in database
Search for reviewers by their expertise, name or email.



Specialized Reviewers
Choose a specialized reviewer from your journal board.



Add your reviewer
Manually invite reviewer of your choice.

Invitation log (7)

🔔 About Invitation Log

Reviewer Reports

RESEARCH EXCHANGE RELEASE NOTES

75



AA ⓘ
aapetrei+r3@wiley.com

Invited: May 28, 2025
Expired: Jun 18, 2025

UNSUBSCRIBE

Keywords: no keywords available. + More Details

— Reviewer Reports

2 Reports submitted

Transferred Reviewer Reports

ⓘ About Transferred

Reviewer 1: Aurelia R1 Lazanu

TRANSFERRED

Oct 06,

Email:
aapetrei+r1@wiley.com

Recommendation:
MINOR REVISION

REVIEWER ACTIVITY
Invited: Oct 06, 2025
Accepted: Oct 06, 2025
Submitted: Oct 06, 2025

Reviewer Report:
Test R1
Would you like to receive recognition for your review using Web of Science™?
No, I don't want to receive recognition
Manage report for editorial recommendation/decision ⓘ
✔ Report is used for editorial recommendation/decision. Edit Selection

ⓘ About Invited Reviewer

Invited Reviewer Reports

Reviewer 2: Aurelia R5 Lazanu

Oct 06,

Email:
aapetrei+r5@wiley.com

Recommendation:
ACCEPT

REVIEWER ACTIVITY
Invited: Oct 06, 2025
Accepted: Oct 06, 2025
Submitted: Oct 06, 2025

Reviewer Report:
Test R5
Would you like to receive recognition for your review using Web of Science™?
No, I don't want to receive recognition
Manage report for editorial recommendation/decision ⓘ
✔ Report is used for editorial recommendation/decision. Edit Selection

Your Editorial Recommendation

REVIEWER ACTIVITY

Invited: Oct 06, 2025

Accepted: Oct 06, 2025

Submitted: Oct 06, 2025

Reviewer Report:

Test R5

Would you like to receive recognition for your review using [Web of Science™](#)?

No, I don't want to receive recognition

Manage report for editorial recommendation/decision ⓘ

✔ Report is used for editorial recommendation/decision. [Edit Selection](#)

— Your Editorial Recommendation

2 of 1 Required unique reviewers for accept deci

Recommendation * ⓘ

Choose in the list ▼

+ Activity Logs and Emails

Nov-2025: User Manages Email Notifications for Conversations

Available from	09-October-2025
Impacted Module	Research Exchange Review
Impacted Roles	Editors
Overview	Phase 2 of the Editorial Conversation Tool will introduce enhanced collaboration features as editors can now tag other editors in comments. This related release provides editors with control over email notifications for conversation messages, reducing inbox overload while maintaining visibility of critical actions.

Key Features

Email Notification Management

Editors can now manage their email preferences for conversation messages:

- Turn email notifications **on** or **off** for conversation messages.
- Changes apply globally across all manuscripts from the time of update.

Quick Access to Preferences

Every email notification includes a hyperlink to the email preferences page in Review for easy navigation.

User Interface Updates

Profile Settings

A new section in the editor profile allows self-management of email preferences at any time.

Email Preferences Page

Displays “Conversation” as a configurable message type with toggle options:

- **On:** Receive email notifications for new conversation messages.
- **Off:** Stop receiving email notifications for conversation messages.

Profile settings for email notifications

Account Settings

CONNECT

[Update Profile](#)[Manage Password](#)

Your CONNECT account is the centralized control of all your personal profile information, sign in options, security settings and other areas of configuration.

First name **Pallavi**
Last name **Sharma**
Title **Mrs**
Email **pharma@email.com**
Orcid  **<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1131-8826>**
Country **India**

My Affiliation

[Edit](#)

Mission University of Varnasi

Notification Settings

Choose which emails you want to receive.

Notification type	Email
Receive Invitations to review manuscripts	☒ On
New comments or replies on manuscript	☒ On

You will get notified only if you are part of the conversation or assigned on that manuscript.

New Comment email notification

WILEY

Dear [[RECIPIENT_TITLE]] [[RECIPIENT_GIVEN_NAME]] [[RECIPIENT_SURNAME]],

A new comment has been added to the manuscript "[[MANUSCRIPT_TITLE]]" in [[JOURNAL_NAME]].

Comment Details

Added by: [[COMMENTS_GIVENNAME]] [[COMMENTS_SURNAME]]
[[COMMENTS_ROLE]]

Date: [[COMMENTS_DATE]]

Comment Preview: [[COMMENTS_PREVIEW_TEXT]]

READ COMMENT

Kind regards,
[[JOURNAL_NAME]]

--

If you would no longer like to receive comment notifications, please update notification settings in your [Profile](#).

New Reply email notification

WILEY

Dear [[RECIPIENT_TITLE]] [[RECIPIENT_GIVEN_NAME]] [[RECIPIENT_SURNAME]],

A new reply has been added to the manuscript "[[MANUSCRIPT_TITLE]]" in [[JOURNAL_NAME]].

Comment Details

Added by: [[COMMENTS_GIVENNAME]] [[COMMENTS_SURNAME]]

[[COMMENTS_ROLE]]

Date: [[COMMENTS_DATE]]

Comment Preview: [[COMMENTS_PREVIEW_TEXT]]

[READ REPLY](#)

Kind regards,
[[JOURNAL_NAME]]

If you would no longer like to receive comment notifications, please update notification settings in your [Profile](#).

Nov-2025: Extra Dashboard Filters for Editors

Available from	4-Nov-2025
Impacted Module	Research Exchange Review
Impacted Roles	Editors
Overview	This release improves the Editor experience by increasing the filtering options on the Editor Dashboard

Key Features

New Filter Sections

Using the Status, Article Type, Other properties categories, Editors can filter down relevant manuscripts to their liking. These sections will appear as manuscripts become visible in the Editor's view – for example, if the user doesn't have any transferred, special issue or commissioned manuscripts in view, the Other properties category will not be shown as there won't be any relevant manuscripts to filter. Different to the "Priority" sections component, the majority of these filters are multi-selection and can be used to triage the view to all the relevant manuscripts that the Editor needs to view. Here is a more detailed list of the newly added filters:

- **Article type:** This section allows further filtering based on the article types of the manuscripts in view. Similar to the existing Status filter, this component is shown as the Editor receives manuscripts. Example:

Article type 

☐

Original Article4

☐

Review Article4

☐

Book Review3

☐

Short Communication3

☐

Brief Communication1

☐

Research Article1

- **Other properties:** This component includes 3 states that a manuscript may have: Part of special issue, Commissioned and Transferred. Similar to the above, this component will only be shown when the Editors have such manuscripts in their existing view. Example:

Other properties ?


- ☐ Part of special issue 10
- ☐ Commissioned 3
- ☐ Transferred 4

Additional Updates

- Switch from dropdown to checkboxes for status filtering
- Change default sorting to Last Updated (oldest)
- Add helper text (popovers) to the filter categories to help users understand them

User Interface Updates

Additional filters added



The screenshot displays the Wiley manuscript submission interface. On the left, there is a 'Filters' sidebar with sections for 'Journal' (Training Journal 2), 'Scope' (My assigned manuscripts: 1, All manuscripts: 427), and 'Status' (In Screening: 20, Assign All: 40, Pending Editor Response: 3, Invite Reviewers: 86, Pending Reviewer Response: 11, Under Review: 13, Awaiting decision: 12, Revision Requested: 14, In Quality Checks: 59, Accepted: 13, Published: 96, Rejected: 11, Withdrawn: 18). The main area shows a list of manuscripts with columns for ID, Title, Author, Article Type, Academic Editor, Reviewer Reports, and Submitted on. The default sort is 'Last update (oldest first)'. The first four manuscripts listed are 'Demo Manuscript AS2X 20241018104437', 'Demo Manuscript AS2X 20241018104448', 'Demo Manuscript AS2X 20241018104455', and 'Demo Manuscript AS2X 20241018104507', all submitted on Jul 01, 2024 (1 year ago).

“Sort by” default is “Last Update (oldest first)”

Sort by

Last update (oldest first) 

MAKE RECOMMENDATION

V2

tion

ts: 0 Invited

Editorial Oversight Filter

Scope 

☐ Journal-wide

☒ Assigned to me 41

☐ Reviewer 5

☐ Author 5

Where “Journal-wide” refers to the entire journal that the Editor has Oversight or Managing capabilities, “Assigned to me” is obviously for manuscripts assigned to said user, “Reviewer” is where the user has papers served as a reviewer and finally, “Author” is for old legacy Phenom submissions which the vast majority of users shouldn’t get.

Popover with additional information for each filter category

WILEY

☒ My assignments

☐ All manuscripts

Priority ?

☒ Action required

☐ In progress

☐ Finalized

☐ All

- Action required**
Manuscripts needing your immediate action: editor assignments, reviewer invitations, recommendations, or decisions.
- In progress**
Manuscripts awaiting action from others. May return to Action Required when your input is needed again.
- Finalized**
Manuscripts with completed workflow requiring no further action.
- All**
View manuscripts in all workflow stages, regardless of status.

WILEY

☐ Finalized

4

☐ All

32

Status ?

☐ Invite Reviewer

☐ Make Recommendation

☐ Make Decision

2

1

Action required

Automation

Article Type

You can choose a status from the available manuscripts on the current page. The available statuses change when you select a different priority from above.

WILEY

Status (?)

☐ Invite Reviewers13

☐ Make Recommendation2

☐ Make Decision1

Article type (?)

☐ Original Article

☐ Review Article4

☐ Book Review3

☐ Short Communication3

☐ Brief Communication1

☐ Research Article1

Other properties (?)

☐ Part of special issue

Action required

ID 3538403

Additive Manu

Reviewer Report

Journal: LUTS: Lo

ID 2122510

Advanced Control Str

Article Type: Review Arti

Reviewer Reports: 0 Invit

Here you can filter manuscripts based on the Article types the manuscripts on your dashboard have.

Here you can filter manuscripts based on the following: trasferred content, Part of special issue, Commissioned

Not in This Release

Coming in Future releases

Search Updates

- In the "Journal-wide" view for Triage/Chief/Managing Editors & Editors with oversight capabilities, 2 new searches will become available: search by author email and search by Editor name/email.

Other Updates

- A broader "Scope" filter component, enabling users with multiple roles to refine their view. In addition to "Assigned to me", the filter will enable the users to get either Journal-Wide access for Journals where they have Editorial Oversight, or see manuscripts that they are a Reviewer on. A user will see the Scope filter only if they have multiple roles.

Availability Notes

Available in all journals upon release.

Nov-2025: [Dashboard filters] Implement checker team and individual assignment filters

Available from	4-Nov-2025
Impacted Module	Research Exchange Screening
Impacted Roles	Lead Screeners, Admins
Overview	This release introduces enhanced workload visibility and filtering capabilities for Lead Screeners and Admins managing checker assignments. The update enables dynamic analysis of submission distribution across teams and individuals, supporting informed decisions on workload redistribution and performance monitoring.

Key Features

- **Team & Individual Workload Visibility**
 - Display of submission counts both by team and by individual checkers in dropdown menus
 - ♣ If no specific team selected, all available checkers displayed grouped by team
 - ♣ If no checkers are present in a team, the dropdown will not be displayed
 - Admins can filter by submission status (Assigned, Unassigned, All).

- Lead Screeners see only assigned submissions (Assignment status filter hidden).
- **Contextual Workload Analysis**
 - Filters for manuscript type, processing stage, and status conditions.
 - Workload counts dynamically updated based on filter selection
 - Visibility into workload patterns under specific manuscript states (e.g., paused, returned to draft).
- **Filter Management & Decision Support**
 - Reset filters individually or all at once.
 - Immediate visual feedback and real-time data updates upon filter changes.
 - Consistent filter state across analysis scenarios.

User Interface Updates

- **New Filters Panel:**
 - Admin view includes Assignment status filter (All, Assigned, Unassigned).
 - ♣ Lead screener view excludes Assignment status filter
- **Workload Dashboard Enhancements:**
 - Teams displayed with clear delimiters; individual checkers grouped under respective teams.
 - Dropdown for checkers hidden if no checkers exist in a team.
- **Dynamic Count Indicators:**
 - Real-time updates when filters are applied or reset.

Admin View – Containing Assignment Status

Archived Manuscripts

User Management

Settings

All

Manuscript stage

All

☐ Author responded 175

Manuscript assignment

Assignment status

All

Checker team

All

Checker

All

All stages 4750

Processing 0

☐ Automatic checks 105

Lead Screener View – Without Assignment Status


Archived Manuscripts

Issue type

All

Manuscript stage

All

Manuscript assignment

Checker team

All

Checker

All

All stages 93

Action required0

☐ Escalated2

Work in progress0

☐ New manuscript stage81

Lead Screener View (note you can't select Screening Team from Checker filter in either Admin or Lead Screener View)

Manuscript stage

All

Manuscript assignment

Checker team

All

Checker

All

All

93

[SERGIU] SC TEAM

65

Sergiu SC

3

Sergiu New

62

ROXANA 6 IUNIE DUBLA 2

28

52 scr Wiley

2

Roxana Lead

4

SC DBI Review

0

Pending response

0

☐ Returned to draft

2

☐ Sent to peer review

5

☐ Else requested

0

ID 1026196

NEW MANUSCRIPT STAGE SC

CB SUB Test Submission – Test 18C

Journal

Behavioral Interventions

Article Type

Research Article

Section

None

Special Issue

None

Submitting Author:

Jane Smith

Checker:

Roxana Lead

Submitted on:

30 Oct 2025 (5 days ago)

ID 1024338

NEW MANUSCRIPT STAGE SC

BIN - author order

Journal

Behavioral Interventions

Article Type

Research Article

Section

None

Special Issue

None

Submitting Author:

Roxana Lucan

Checker:

Roxana Lead

Submitted on:

30 Oct 2025 (5 days ago)

Checker Teams

***NOTE:** If a Team only includes lead screeners, the papers they are handling will appear to be “unassigned”

RESEARCH EXCHANGE RELEASE NOTES

91

Manuscript assignment

Clear

Assignment status

Assigned

Checker team

All

All3436

E2E QC0

andreea0

Training Journal 4 Screening12

Training journal 30

MPS Test Journal Screening12

[Sergiu] SC team45

ITΔ1 QC0

Individual Checkers on Selected Team

Manuscript assignment

Clear

Assignment status

Assigned

Checker team

[Sergiu] SC team

Checker

All

All45

[SERGIU] SC TEAM45

Sergiu SC2

Sergiu New43

Individual checkers grouped by Team

Manuscript assignment

Clear

Assignment status

Assigned

▼

Checker team

All

▼

Checker

All

▼

All	3436
E2E QC	0
Emanuel QC	0
ANDREEA	0
TRAINING JOURNAL 4 SCREENI...	12
EA IEO Research Exchange	12
TRAINING JOURNAL 3	0

Results Updated by Application of Filter

Manuscript stage

Submission checks ▼

☐ Author responded 0

Manuscript assignment Clear

Assignment status

Assigned ▼

Checker team

[Sergiu] SC team ▼

Checker

Sergiu SC ▼

Submission checks 2

Processing 0

☐ Automatic checks 0

Work in progress 0

☐ Escalated 0

ID 1059263 RETURNED TO DRAFT SC

Second invite from commission

Journal

LUTS: Lower Urinary Tract Symptoms

Article Type

Review Article

Section

None

Special Issue

None

Submitting Author:

Ana Popă

Checker:

Sergiu SC

Submitted on:

13 Nov 2024 (12 months ago)

ID 1062156 RETURNED TO DRAFT SC

Stem cell therapy for interstitial cystitis/bladder pain syndrome

Journal

LUTS: Lower Urinary Tract Symptoms

Article Type

Original Article

Section

None

Special Issue

None

Submitting Author:

John Wiley

Checker:

Sergiu SC

Submitted on:

18 Oct 2024 (2 years ago)

Clear All Current Filters using the “Clear” option

Manuscript assignment Clear

Checker team

[Sergiu] SC team ▼

Checker

All ▼

Availability Notes

Available in all journals upon release.

Nov-2025: Rescind Rejection

Available from	4-Nov-2025
Impacted Module	Research Exchange Review and Screening

Impacted Roles	Editorial Assistant, Journal Manager, Journal Admin
Overview	This release introduces the ability to reopen a manuscript after an initial Reject decision. Editorial Assistants, Journal Managers, and/or Journal Admins can rescind the “Reject” decision, restore the manuscript to the appropriate pre-Quality Check peer review status, and continue the Review workflow. The action is fully audited in the activity log and automatically notifies the assigned Editor.

Key Features

- New “Rescind Decision” Action to allow EAs, JMs or Admins to rescind a rejection decision on the Manuscript Details page.
 - If the manuscript was in “Make Decision” or “Make Recommendation” status prior to Rejection, the manuscript is restored to its last status before a decision was made.
 - If manuscript was in any other status, the manuscript moves to “Invite Reviewers” stage (See “[Manuscript Statuses for Rescind Rejection](#)”, attached)
- Ability to add reason(s) for rescinding rejection in a free text field
 - Will be displayed in a pop-over on manuscript Details page (See: *UI Updates*, below)
- Email automatically sent to:
 - Author(s)
 - All Editors of original except for Editorial Assistant
 - Editorial Assistant
 - Reviewers of original
- Rescinded rejection is logged in Activity log

User Interface Updates

Review

“Rescind Decision” selection replaces “Withdraw Manuscript” selection at top of the Article Details page (after decision has been entered)

WILEY Search Richard

Rescind editorial decision

DASHBOARD / ARTICLE DETAILS Rescind Decision Updated on 2017-03-13 Version 3

ID 5694305 COMMISSIONED TRANSFERRED APC EDITED REJECTED V1

A Key Major Guideline for Engineering Bioactive Multicomponent Nanofunctionalization for Biomedicine and Other Applications: Fundamental Models Confirmed by Both Direct and Indirect Evidence

Pallavi Sharma , Karl Saber , Ambuj Bhushan Jha, Rama Shanker Dubey, Mohammad Pessarakli, Lakmini Kumari Boralugoda, Ambuj Bhushan Jha, Rama Sh... [+ Show Affiliations](#)

Article Type
Expression of Concern

Section
Current Contents - Physical, Chemical and Earth Sciences

Journal
Canadian Journal of Infectious Diseases and Medical Microbiology

Manuscript Keywords ⓘ
bioactive multicomponents; nanofunctionalization surface nanopatterning; surface energy; chemical bonds; electrostatic interactions; biomedicine; bioengineering.

Triage Editor: Cassiano Felipe Gonçalves-de-Albuquerque **Academic Editor:** Ayodele Temidayo Johnry **Submitted on:** 13 Mar 2022 (11 days)

> Abstract

> Author Declaration

> Author Questions

> Files ⓘ

+ Reassign Chief Editor

+ Reassign Academic Editor

+ Reviewer Invitation 2 of 2 Required reports submitted 2 Overdue 2 Accepted 3 Pending [More](#)

+ Reviewer Reports 2 Reports submitted 0 Used

+ Your Editorial Decision

Search Richard

Rescind editorial decision

Rescind Decision Updated on 2017-03-13 Version 3

REJECTED V1

lization for Biomedicine and Other Applications:

Upon selection of “Rescind Decision”, a modal is displayed. Modal contains a free-text field labeled “Reason for rescinding the decision” and two buttons:

- Cancel
- Rescind Decision



Rescind editorial decision?

A rescind decision is final.

- The manuscript will return to its previous status before editorial decision.
- The former editor(s) will be automatically assigned.
- You can reassign editor(s) from the manuscript details page.
- Author, editor(s) and Editorial Assistant will be informed of the decision to rescind via email.

Reason for rescinding the decision *

Enter the reason for rescinding the decision

CANCEL

RESCIND DECISION

User must enter text in the “Reason for rescinding the decision field”.



Rescind editorial decision?

A rescind decision is final.

- The manuscript will return to its previous status before editorial decision.
- The former editor(s) will be automatically assigned.
- You can reassign editor(s) from the manuscript details page.
- Author, editor(s) and Editorial Assistant will be informed of the decision to rescind via email.

Reason for rescinding the decision *

Enter the reason for rescinding the decision

 Please specify reason for rescinding the decision.

CANCEL

RESCIND DECISION

A confirmation toast will be displayed when the decision has been successfully rescinded.

WILEY

Editorial decision successfully rescinded.

Search Richard

DASHBOARD / ARTICLE DETAILS

Withdraw Updated on 2017-03-13 Version 3

ID 5694305 COMMISSIONED TRANSFERRED APC EDITED RESCINDED MEDIUM PRIORITY V1

A Key Major Guideline for Engineering Bio
Fundamental Models Confirmed by Both C

Pallavi Sharma SA, Karl Saber CA, Ambuj Bhushan Jha, Ram...
 Sumari Boralugoda, Ambuj Bhushan Jha, Rama Sh... + Show Affiliations

Article Type
 Expression of Concern

Journal
 Canadian Journal of Infectious Diseases and Medical Microbiology

Manuscript Keywords

Reason for rescinding the decision
 The author has obtained additional supporting data or expert endorsements addressing the concerns raised in the rejection decision and requests reconsideration based on this new evidence.

onalization for Biomedicine and Other Applications:

tion

ent Contents - Physical, Chemical and Earth Sciences

A “Rescinded” tag will be displayed when the decision has been successfully rescinded. The reason is displayed in the popover upon hover on the “Rescinded” tab.

ID 5694305 COMMISSIONED TRANSFERRED APC EDITED RESCINDED

A Key Major Guideline for Engineering Bio
Fundamental Models Confirmed by Both C

Pallavi Sharma SA, Karl Saber CA, Ambuj Bhushan Jha, Ram...
 Sumari Boralugoda, Ambuj Bhushan Jha, Rama Sh... + Show

Article Type
 Expression of Concern

Reason for rescinding the decision
 The author has obtained additional supporting data or expert endorsements addressing the concerns raised in the rejection decision and requests reconsideration based on this new evidence.

onalization for Biomedicine and Other Ap

tion

ent Contents - Physical, Chemical and Earth Sciences

If not successful, an error toast will be displayed.

An error occurred while rescinding the decision.

Rescinded action noted in Activity Log and Emails section.

Activity Logs and Emails

Filters

To:

Filter by role

From:

Filter by role

☐ Show logs with emails only

Search by Subject line, Name or Email address

Reviewer invitation

To: Hiroshi Tanaka

REV

 From: Olivia Davis

AE

26 June 2024 12:27UTC (2 days ago)

Manuscript reopened

To: John Doe

CA

 +12 more From: Jack Dee

AE

26 June 2024 12:27UTC (2 days ago)

Second auto reminder to accept invitation to review

To: Kamila Tyran

REV

 From: System

26 June 2024 12:27UTC (2 days ago)

Invitation to review accepted by Kamila Tyran

REV

26 June 2024 12:27UTC (2 days ago)

Report submitted by Kamila Tyran

REV

26 June 2024 12:27UTC (2 days ago)

First auto reminder to accept invitation to review

To: Kamila Tyran

REV

 From: System

26 June 2024 12:27UTC (2 days ago)

Reviewer invitation

To: Ayesha Khan

REV

 From: Ava Anderson

AE

26 June 2024 12:27UTC (2 days ago)

Reviewer invitation

To: Emily Smith

REV2

 From: Ava Anderson

AE

26 June 2024 12:27UTC (2 days ago)

First automatic reminder to invite reviewers

To: Maria González

AE

 From: System

1088704: Manuscript reopened

From: Jack Dee (jack.dee@emailaddress.com)

AE

To: John Doe (john.doe@emailaddress.com)

CA

 , Emily Smith (emily.smith@emailaddress.com)

AUT

 +12 more

WILEY

Dear [Author_Title] [Author_Name],

In order for your submission "Mapping Author Emails (back from RTD)" to Journal of Veterinary Science to proceed to the review process, there needs to be a revision.

Reason & Details: "[Editor_Editorial_Decision_ReasonAndDetails]"

For more information about what is required, please click the link below.

Decline

Agree

In order for your submission "Mapping Author Emails (back from RTD)" to Journal of Veterinary Science to proceed to the review process, there needs to be a revision.

Kind regards,

[Academic_Editor_Name]

Emails

Author

Dear [[RECIPIENT_TITLE]] [[RECIPIENT_GIVENNAME]] [[RECIPIENT_SURNAME]],

Your manuscript titled "[[MANUSCRIPT_TITLE]]" submitted to [[JOURNAL_NAME]] has been reopened, following your appeal.

We will be in touch with further updates, whether it be revision requests or further decisions on the manuscript.

Thank you again for submitting to [[JOURNAL_NAME]].

Kind regards,
[[JOURNAL_NAME]]

All Editors

Dear [[RECIPIENT_TITLE]] [[RECIPIENT_GIVENNAME]] [[RECIPIENT_SURNAME]],

The manuscript titled "[[MANUSCRIPT_TITLE]]" has been reopened following the author's appeal.

If you have any questions, please contact the editorial office.

Kind regards,
[[JOURNAL_NAME]]

EA

Dear [[RECIPIENT_TITLE]] [[RECIPIENT_GIVENNAME]] [[RECIPIENT_SURNAME]],

The manuscript "[[MANUSCRIPT_TITLE]]" has been reopened following the author's appeal.

The same members of the Editorial Team have been reassigned to the manuscript, with you as the Editorial Assistant. If a reassignment should occur, please visit the manuscript's details page.

[[MDP_BUTTON]]

Kind regards,
[[JOURNAL_NAME]]

Reviewer(s)

Dear [[RECIPIENT_TITLE]] [[RECIPIENT_GIVENNAME]] [[RECIPIENT_SURNAME]],

The manuscript "[[MANUSCRIPT_TITLE]]," which you reviewed for [[JOURNAL_NAME]], has been reopened following the author's appeal.

No action is needed at this time. We will contact you if the editor requests your further review.

Kind regards,
[[JOURNAL_NAME]]

Screening

WILEY

Manuscript ID: 3394125

Richard Bennet

Manuscripts

Archived Manuscripts

User Management

Settings

Sort by

Submission date [Newest first]

Issue type

All

Manuscript stage

Submission checks

Assignment status

All

☐ Author responded (13)

Submission checks 58

Clear All

Processing 17

☐ Automatic checks 12

☐ Automatic checks failed 12

☐ Pending ingestion 12

Work in progress 29

☐ Escalated 6

☐ New manuscript 12

☐ Unpaused 12

☐ Deescalated 12

Pending response 12

☐ Returned to draft 12

☐ Paused 12

ID 5694305

RESCINDED

AUTOMATIC CHECKS

5

A Key Major Application

Reason for rescinding decision

The author has obtained additional supporting data or expert endorsements addressing the concerns raised in the rejection decision and requests reconsideration based on this new evidence.

Journal

Canadian Journal of Infectious Diseases and Medical Microbiology

Article Type

Expression of Concern

Section

Current Contents - Physical, Chemical and Earth Sciences

Special Issue

Advanced PHWR Safety Technology: PHWR Challenging Issues for Safe Operation and Long-Term Sustainability

Submitting Author: Cassiano Felipe Gonçalves-de-Albuquerque

Submitted on: 13 Mar 2022 (11 days)

ID 5694305

COMMISSIONED

RESCINDED

AUTOMATIC CHECKS

5

A Key Major Guideline for Engineering Bioactive Multicomponent Nanofunctionalization for Biomedicine and Other Applications: Fundamental Models Confirmed by Both Direct and Indirect Evidence

Reason for rescinding decision

The author has obtained additional supporting data or expert endorsements addressing the concerns raised in the rejection decision and requests reconsideration based on this new evidence.

Journal

Canadian Journal of Infectious Diseases and Medical Microbiology

Article Type

Expression of Concern

Section

Current Contents - Physical, Chemical and Earth Sciences

Special Issue

Advanced PHWR Safety Technology: PHWR Challenging Issues for Safe Operation and Long-Term Sustainability

Submitting Author: Cassiano Felipe Gonçalves-de-Albuquerque

Submitted on: 13 Mar 2022 (11 days)

ID 5694305

TRANSFERRED

AUTOMATIC CHECKS

5

A Key Major Guideline for Engineering Bioactive Multicomponent Nanofunctionalization for Biomedicine and Other Applications: Fundamental Models Confirmed by Both Direct and Indirect Evidence

Reason for rescinding decision

The author has obtained additional supporting data or expert endorsements addressing the concerns raised in the rejection decision and requests reconsideration based on this new evidence.

Journal

Canadian Journal of Infectious Diseases and Medical Microbiology

Article Type

Expression of Concern

Section

Current Contents - Physical, Chemical and Earth Sciences

Special Issue

Advanced PHWR Safety Technology: PHWR Challenging Issues for Safe Operation and Long-Term Sustainability

Submitting Author: Cassiano Felipe Gonçalves-de-Albuquerque

Submitted on: 13 Mar 2022 (11 days)

<

<

1

2

3

4

5

>

>

WILEY

Manuscript ID: 3394125

Richard Bennet

Dashboard

Manuscripts

Archived Manuscripts

User Management

Settings

Pre-screening Check

Info Validation

Author Identity Verification

Manuscript Info

Activity & Messages

Manuscript Information

See Manuscript In Review

Manuscript ID 3394125

RESCINDED

Manuscript title A Key Major Guideline for Engineering Bioactive Multicomponent Nanofunctionalization for Biomedicine and Other Applications: Fundamental Models Confirmed by Both Direct and Indirect Evidence

Submitted On 23 Nov 2022

Journal Name BioMed Research International

Peer Review Model DOUBLE-ANONYMOUS

Issue & Article Type Regular Issue

Special Issue None

Invited Contribution No

Authors John Deere ¹, Helen Powell ², Rama Shanker Dubey ¹, Mohammad Pessarakli ², Lakmini Kumari Boralugoda ², Rama Shanker Dubey ¹

Show Affiliations

Files Download All

e886fb-f0af-419c-87bd-c75edac706...

1 / 30

100%

Author Submitted File(s)

In Activity & Messages, added with “Rescinded” icon

RESEARCH EXCHANGE RELEASE NOTES

102

Activity & Messages

Quality checker: Rommel Johnson	10 Oct 2024
Action: Approve Manuscript	
Manuscript version: 2	

 Manuscript Rescinded	21 Oct 2024
Action: Rescinded (Peer Review)	
Manuscript version: 1	
Reason for rescinding decision	
The author has obtained additional supporting data or expert endorsements addressing the concerns raised in the rejection decision and requests reconsideration based on this new evidence.	

Submission checker: Darrell Warren	02 Oct 2024
Action: Refuse to Consider (RC)	
Manuscript version: 1	
Refusal reasons	
Quality concerns	
Article type not accepted; Incomplete submission; Sanctioned author(s) and/or their affiliated institution(s); Other quality concerns: Editor mishandling; Suspicious turnaround times.	
Integrity concerns	
Other ethical concerns: Editor mishandling; Suspicious turnaround times.	
Other research concerns: Editor mishandling; Suspicious turnaround times.	
Peer review misconduct	
Suspicious review activity; Suspicious turnaround times; Other peer review misconduct concerns: Editor mishandling; Suspicious turnaround times.	
Additional comments to the author	
A Key Major Guideline for Engineering Bioactive Multicomponent Biomedicine and Other Applications: Fundamental Models Confirmed by Both Direct and Indirect Evidence.	

Not in this Release

At this time, Viax will not be automatically updated. Editorial offices will need to submit a Viax update manually via JOCT. Automated updates to Viax are planned for a future release.

At this time, only “Rejected” decisions can be rescinded.

Availability Notes

Available in all journals upon release.

Oct-2025: Export to non-Wiley Targets

Available from	31-Oct-2025
Impacted Module	Research Exchange Review
Impacted Roles	Admins
Overview	This release introduces the ability for admins to configure custom export targets for production packages (.zip files) at the journal level via the Journal Config's UI. This enhancement supports routing of exports to destinations such as EEOLink or other custom production endpoints, aligning with specific journal workflows and business requirements.

Key Features

- Journal-Level Export Target Configuration
 - Admins can now define export destinations per journal using the Journal Config's interface.
 - ♣ Permissions currently restricted to only the admin role. Once tenant admin is enabled, we can extend permissions
- Tenant-Level Defaults with Override Capability
 - Default export targets are set at the tenant level and can be overridden for individual journals (in that the default Tenant Destination can be deactivated IF another Destination is added/active)
- Support for Multiple Export Destinations
 - Journals can route packages to multiple destinations simultaneously.
- Can edit and/or delete export targets
- Multi-Protocol Support
 - Export targets can be configured for both FTP and S3 bucket protocols.
- Secure Credential Management
 - Destination credentials are securely stored and managed in the database.
- Backward Compatibility
 - Existing export flows to EEOLink and Production remain unaffected

User Interface Updates

- A new Export Targets section has been added to the Journal Config's UI.
- Admins can:
 - Select protocol type (FTP/S3)
 - Enter destination details
 - Manage credentials securely
 - Test connection to verify configuration

Package Export

“Package Export” link is on Journal Management page in right corner

DASHBOARD / JOURNALS / IPID - JOURNAL MANAGEMENT

Indisciplinary Perspectives of Infectious Diseases

Package Export 

ACTIVE (since 23 Jun 2023) Email: vmi.support@hindawi.com ISSN: 2474-7394 APC: \$1,200.00

Research Article Review Article Review Article Case Report Case Series Retraction Letter to the Editor Corrigendum Expression of Concern Editorial Erratum

Editorial Board & Roles

Editorial Model(s) & Workflow

Journal Preferences

Reviewer Custom Questions

Sections

Special Issues

Assign editorial role

Associate Editor ▾ vpeterson@university.edu

Assign Role

Editorial Roles Management

Type and search by Role, Name, S... There is no account associated with the provided email address. [Invite user to create an account.](#)

Editorial Role	Full Name	Special Issue	Email Address	Editorial Oversight
Editorial Assistant	Aueller Stella		Auellerstella@university.edu	
Editor-in-Chief	Smith John Lewis		johnsmith@university.edu	On
Associate Editor	Thato Ophir Marie		thatoph@university.edu	Off
Academic Editor	Hohnson Lewis		hohnson@university.edu	Off
Associate Editor	Pit Johnathan		jpit@university.edu	Off
Academic Editor	Smith Gregory		gsmith@university.edu	Off Remove
Lead Guest Editor	Bankert Norris	Phagocytes: Protective and Pathological Roles in Infectious, Chronic, and Autoimmune Diseases...	bogdannorris@university.edu	Off
Guest Editor	Rosangela Aiman Tsvetanka	Phagocytes: Protective and Pathological Roles in Infectious, Chronic, and Autoimmune Diseases...	Tsvetanka@university.edu	Off

1 2 3 4 5 > >|

DASHBOARD / JOURNALS / IPID - JOURNAL MANAGEMENT

Indisciplinary Perspectives of Infectious Diseases

Package Export 

ACTIVE (since 23 Jun 2023) Email: vmi.support@hindawi.com ISSN: 2474-7394 APC: \$1,200.00

Research Article Review Article Review Article Case Report Case Series Retraction Letter to the Editor Corrigendum Expression of Concern Editorial Erratum

Clicking on “Package Export” opens a new screen

The “Tenant Destination” is already configured by default for each journal. It can be deactivated (but only if there is another active Destination configured)

Manage Destinations for Package Export

Active destinations(1) [+ Add Destination](#)

 At least one destination has to be selected for production package.

Tenant Destination Active

Protocol
FTP

Host
my-prod-bucket

Username
admin_user

Path
/uploads

This configuration is inherited from Tenant settings cannot be edited or removed at Journal level.

Add Destination

Manage Destinations for Package Export

Active destinations(1) [+ Add Destination](#)

i At least one destination has to be selected for production package.

Tenant Destination

Active

Protocol

FTP

Host

my-prod-bucket

Username

admin_user

Path

/uploads

This configuration is inherited from Tenant settings cannot be edited or removed at Journal level.

)Add

Destination opens a drawer on right side

WILEY

DASHBOARD / JOURNALS / IPID - JOURNAL MANAGEMENT / PACKAGE EXPORT

Manage Destinations for Package Export

Active destinations(1) [+ Add Destination](#)

i At least one destination has to be selected for production package.

Tenant Destination

Active

Protocol

FTP

Host

my-prod-bucket

Username

admin_user

Path

/uploads

This configuration is inherited from Tenant settings cannot be edited or removed at Journal level.

Add new destination

Configuration Name *

e.g. Production S3, Staging FTP

Protocol *

FTP/SF

Host *

ftp.example.com

Port *

21

Username *

username

Password *

password

Upload path *

/

CANCEL

ADD DESTINATION

Detail of Add New Destination

Add new destination ×

Configuration Name *

Protocol *

Host *

Port *

Username *

Password *

Upload path *

CANCEL

ADD DESTINATION

All fields are required to add a new destination.

Clicking on “Cancel” will close the drawer and clear entries.

When you click “Add Destination”, the system will test the new connection.

If the connection fails an error message will display and user can retry

Add new destination ✕

Configuration Name *

Journal Package Destination

Protocol *

FTP/SF ▼

Host *

example.com

Port *

23

Username *

Content

Password *

••••••

Upload path *

/test

CANCEL

ADD DESTINATION

 Connection failed. Please try again or review input data above.

If the connection is successful a confirmation window will appear



Connection successful! Destination added.

And then the confirmation and drawer close and the new destination is displayed. The new destination will be initially animated with a green border as it's added.

Manage Destinations for Package Export

Active destinations(2) [+ Add Destination](#) At least one destination has to be selected for production package.

Tenant Destination 	Custom Destination 
Protocol FTP	Protocol S3
Host my-prod-bucket	Bucket my prod-bucket
Username admin_user	Region us-east-1
Path /uploads	Access Key KIAIOSFODNN7EXAMPLE
This configuration is inherited from Tenant settings cannot be edited or removed at Journal level.	



Remove or Edit Destination

Can edit or delete a destination by clicking into the menu in the right corner



Custom Destination 
Protocol S3
Bucket my prod-bucket
Region us-east-1
Access Key KIAIOSFODNN7EXAMPLE

 Edit
 Remove

If user selects “Remove”, a confirmation modal overlay will be displayed



The removed destination will be animated with a red border as it's removed , and then will be removed from the display



If the user selects “Edit” on the Destination card, the Edit drawer will open on the right of the screen

Edit destination

Configuration Name *

Custom configuration

Protocol *

FTP/SF

Host *

my-prod-bucket

Port *

32

Username *

admin

Password *

Upload path *

/customlocation

CANCEL

SAVE CHANGES

When the user clicks “Save Changes”, the connection is tested by the system. Then either the success confirmation is displayed and the confirmation and the Edit drawer closes OR the error message is displayed and the user can try again.

Active and Inactive Destinations

The toggle on each destination is used to mark the destination as “active” or “inactive”. Marking a destination as inactive is not the same as removing it. For an inactive destination user can toggle it back on to use. For a removed destination, it must be added back as a new destination.

Custom Destination

Inactive

Protocol

S3


Tenant Destination

Active

Protocol

FTP

At least one destination must be active. If a user tries to deactivate all destinations, the system will display a warning message



Oct-2025: Show Cards for Editor Suggestions with Relevant Information for Successful Assignment

Available from	30-Oct-2025
Impacted Module	Research Exchange Review
Impacted Roles	Editors
Overview	This release introduces an enhanced editor invitation experience for journals using Editor Suggestion Tool (EST) and PKG workflows. Editors can now view detailed information about potential invitees, ensuring more informed and appropriate selections during the peer review process.

Key Features

- **Enhanced Editor Cards:** Displays additional details about editors being invited, improving decision-making
- **Universal Application:** New cards appear wherever editors are assigned or invited, including initial assignment and reassignment workflows
- **Scrollable Lists:** Removed the previous limit of 30 items for AE invites; lists are now fully scrollable

- **Status Updates:** After sending an invitation, the card updates to show the current status (e.g., invited, accepted), replacing the invite button
- **Email Preview:** Maintains the existing functionality of showing a preview email before sending an invitation

User Interface Updates

- Lists now display enhanced editor cards with additional details
 - Workload, Pending Invitations (for inviting academic editors), any conflict of interest
- Invitation status replaces the invite button post-action

(Please see attached file with screenshots)

Not in this Release

- Adding keywords to the cards for journals that use PKG
- Turning on for all journals on PKG

Availability Notes

Upon release, this new User Interface will be shown for both EST and PKG journals for assigning/re-assigning editors and for inviting/re-inviting editors.

Support Information

Additional Information

About PKG and EST

- Set at journal level

Journals that Use EST

- Connect user-level keywords are available for journals that use EST (as seen in the screenshot under "EST enabled")
- Score is not displayed

Journals that Use PKG

- Score is displayed
- Currently keywords are not displayed (future enhancement as noted above)

Oct-2025: Editors can Choose the Number of Reports they Expect on the Current Version to be Notified to Make a Decision

Available from

20-Oct-2025

Impacted Module	Research Exchange Review
Impacted Roles	Journal Manager, Editorial Assistants, Admins
Overview	Handling Editors are now able to set the number of reviewer reports they expect on the current manuscript version to be notified to make a decision or recommendation on the paper.

With this feature, we decouple the status of the manuscript and associated notifications from the minimum requirement to unlock the Acceptance decision.

- Threshold for Manuscript status, notifications and reminders - in control of the Handling Editor, customizable at manuscript level.
- Threshold to unlock the 'Accept' decision – journal-level setting (per Wiley policy).

This enhancement provides greater flexibility and control over the editorial workflow.

Key Features


- Handling Editors can specify through the UI how many reports they need on the current version.
 - Default on a version is - “Minimum review requirements for the Number of Reviewers - Number of Reviewers who already submitted reports across versions where “Minimum Number of Reviewers” is the minimum number of individual reviewers contributing review reports across all versions configured at journal level* to enable the acceptance decision. In other words, the default condition that will trigger the system to transition refers to how many new reviewers are required to review to enable the “Accept” option.
 - The number configured through the UI at manuscript level, edited by the Handling Editor on the current version, refers to the number of review reports submitted only on this version to trigger the change in status and email notifications.
- The status of the manuscript changes to the next workflow action (decision/recommendation) when the specified number of reports has been reached
 - Reaching the specified number of reviews on the current version triggers the system to transition to a new state by changing the manuscript status to “Make Decision”/ “Make Recommendation”.
- Depending on the editorial model of the journal, the system transitions to either “Make Decision” status or “Make Recommendation” status.

If the Handling Editor is not the Decision-Making Editor, the new status will be “Make Recommendation”.

- This change has no impact on the minimum requirement for peer review configured per journal to unlock the 'Accept' option. This requirement is a Research Integrity regulation set up at journal level.
- The logic for the minimum requirement configured per journal to unlock the 'Accept' option has been updated; counting the number of individual reviewers who have submitted at least one reviewer report across versions instead of counting the number of reviewer reports (where multiple reports could be linked to the same reviewer).
- Notifications and reminders to make a decision or recommendation on the manuscript are now conditioned by the number of required reviews configured by the editor on the current version, not by the minimum requirement configured per journal to unlock the 'Accept' option.

- The number of required reviews configured by the editor on the current version may be set below the minimum requirement configured per journal to unlock the 'Accept' option. This means that the manuscript will transition to status “Make Decision”/ “Make Recommendation” and the editor will be notified to make a decision on the manuscript when the custom condition is met, but the ‘Accept’ decision will be locked. The available options at this stage are to Reject or Request a Revision.
 - The number of required reviews configured by the editor on the current version cannot be zero.
- The Handling Editor may edit the custom number of reviews they wish to receive on the current version as many times as they wish until making a decision or recommendation on that version. Each customization triggers a re-execution of the logic to set the manuscript status and to schedule or cancel notifications accordingly.

Modal added to top of Reviewer Invitation section in Article Details



The screenshot shows the 'Reviewer Invitation' section in the 'Article Details' page. At the top, there is a header bar with 'Academic Editor: Triage Editor TE' on the left and 'Submitted on: Sep 22, 2025 (8 days ago)' on the right. Below this, there is a sidebar with links to 'Abstract', 'Author Declaration', 'Files', and 'Linked Papers'. The main content area is titled '+ Screening Report' and contains a 'Reviewer Invitation' section. This section has a status bar showing 'Reports: 1 Submitted', '0 Overdue', '0 Invalidated', 'Invitations: 0 Accepted', '1 Pending', '2 Declined', '0 Expired', and '0 Revoked'. A green box highlights a notification message: 'Notify me to make a decision: when 2 new reviewer(s) submit report(s) on this version' followed by a 'Customize' link and 'Awaiting 1 additional new reviewer(s)'. Below the notification, there are tabs for 'Suggested Reviewers', 'Search Reviewers', and 'Invite Reviewer Manually'. The 'Invite Reviewer Manually' tab is active, showing a form to 'Please add reviewer details:'. The form has fields for 'Title' (a dropdown menu), 'First Name' (with a red asterisk), 'Last Name' (with a red asterisk), and 'Email' (with a red asterisk). Each field has a placeholder text: 'Enter first name', 'Enter last name', and 'Enter email' respectively. There is also a link 'About Manual Invitation' in the top right corner of the form area.

When Editor clicks “Customize”, the Customize modal is displayed

al reviewer(s).

×

Notification preference to make a decision on this version

Choose when you want to be notified to make decision on this version.

Expected number of reviewer reports *

Enter number

☒ Reports from new reviewers

☐ Reports from any reviewers (new or returning)

 To make an accept decision on this manuscript, you need 1 more new reviewers to submit reports.

CANCEL

SAVE CHANGES

Accepted: Oct 03, 2025
Submitted: Oct 03, 2025
 [Read The Report](#)

Error message displayed in Customize modal as number must be greater than zero

al reviewer(s).

×

Notification preference to make a decision on this version

Choose when you want to be notified to make decision on this version.

Expected number of reviewer reports *

⚠ Enter a number of reports greater than 0.

☒ Reports from new reviewers

☐ Reports from any reviewers (new or returning)

ℹ To make an accept decision on this manuscript, you need 1 more new reviewers to submit reports.

CANCEL

SAVE CHANGES

Submitted: Oct 03, 2025

[Read The Report](#)

Custom condition displayed at top of Reviewer Invitation section

Reviewer Invitation

Reports: 1 Submitted 0 Overdue 0 Invalidated Invitations: 0 Accepted, 0 Pending, 2 Declined, 1 Expired, 0 Revoked

Notify me to make a decision: when 3 new reviewer(s) submit report(s) on this version Customize Awaiting 2 additional new reviewer(s).

Suggested Reviewers

Search Reviewers

Invite Reviewer Manually

Please add reviewer details:

About Manual Invitation

Title

First Name

Last Name

Email

Enter first name

Enter last name

Enter email

Affiliation

Select the affiliation

Invite Reviewer

Clear

Invitation log (4)

About Invitation Log

Invited Reviewers (4)

Filter by status: All (4)

Aurelia R1 Lazanu

aapetri+r1@wiley.com

Invited: Sep 30, 2025

Accepted: Sep 30, 2025

Submitted: Sep 30, 2025

Read The Report

Keywords: no keywords available. + More Details

Aurelia R3 Lazanu

aapetri+r3@wiley.com

Invited: Sep 30, 2025

Declined: Sep 30, 2025

Keywords: no keywords available. + More Details

Availability Notes

Available in all journals upon release.

Sept-2025: "Transferred" Tag in All Screening Dashboards

Available from	25-Sep-2025
Impacted Module	Research Exchange Screening
Impacted Roles	All Screening Users
Overview	This release introduces a key enhancement to the Research Exchange Screening Dashboard: the ability to clearly identify manuscripts that have been transferred from other journals or systems. This includes manuscripts transferred from within the Research Exchange ecosystem and manuscripts transferred from ScholarOne. This visibility ensures transparency for all users interacting with transferred submissions and aligns with the latest design specifications.

Key Features


RESEARCH EXCHANGE RELEASE NOTES

120


- **Transferred Manuscript Flagging:** Manuscripts transferred from other journals or ScholarOne are now explicitly marked in the Screening Dashboard.

User Interface Updates

- A new visual indicator is displayed on the Screening Dashboard when a manuscript has been transferred.



The screenshot shows the Wiley Manuscript Screening Dashboard for Manuscript ID: 3394125. The dashboard includes a sidebar with navigation options: Manuscripts, Archived Manuscripts, User Management, and Settings. The main content area displays a list of manuscripts. The first manuscript, ID 5694305, is marked as 'TRANSFERRED' and has a title 'A Key Major Guideline for Engineering Bioactive Multicomponent Nanofunctionalization for Biomedicine and Other Applications: Fundamental Models Confirmed by Both Direct and Indirect Evidence'. It is from the Canadian Journal of Infectious Diseases and Medical Microbiology, Section: Current Contents - Physical, Chemical and Earth Sciences, and is a Special Issue: Advanced PHWR Safety Technology: PHWR Challenging Issues for Safe Operation and Long-Term Sustainability. The submitting author is Cassiano Felipe Gonçalves-de-Albuquerque, and it was submitted on 13 Mar 2022 (11 days). The second manuscript, ID 5694305, is marked as 'COMMISSIONED' and 'RESCINDED' and has the same title and details as the first manuscript.



The screenshot shows the Wiley Manuscript Information page for Manuscript ID: 3394125. The page includes a sidebar with navigation options: Dashboard, Manuscripts, Archived Manuscripts, User Management, and Settings. The main content area displays detailed manuscript information. The manuscript is marked as 'TRANSFERRED' and has a title 'A Key Major Guideline for Engineering Bioactive Multicomponent Nanofunctionalization for Biomedicine and Other Applications: Fundamental Models Confirmed by Both Direct and Indirect Evidence'. It was submitted on 23 Nov 2023 (11 days) to the journal BioMed Research International. The peer review model is 'DOUBLE-ANONYMOUS'. The issue and article type is 'Regular Issue, Research Article'. The special issue is 'None'. The invited contribution is 'No'. The authors are John Deere ¹, Helen Powell ², Rama Shanker Dubey ³, Mohammad Pessarakli ², Lakmini Kumari Boralugoda ³, and Rama Shanker Dubey ³. The page also includes links for 'Show Affiliations' and 'Download All'.

Not in this Release

- No support for identifying in-flight manuscripts from journals migrated to Phenom as revised versions. All transfers are treated as new submissions.

Availability Notes

Available to all journals at time of release

Sept-2025: Re-invite Declined Editor

Available from	16-Sep-2025
Impacted Module	Research Exchange Review
Impacted Roles	Journal Manager, Editors
Overview	A Journal Manager can re-invite a Triage and/or Academic Editor to review a manuscript when the Editor has previously declined or the prior invitation expired. This applies to the same version of the manuscript, whether that be an initial submission or revision that the Editor declined.

What's Changed

On the Article Details Page, the Triage Editor and/or Journal Manager will see an option to re-invite a Triage or Academic Editor who previously declined the invitation to edit the manuscript.

An applicable confirmation modal will appear (depending upon whether the Triage or Academic Editor being re-assigned).

Please note: These modals use “Assign” and “Invite”

- *Assign: when an editor is assigned, they are directly set as assigned to the paper – there is no option to reply yes/no to that assignment.*
- *Invite: when an editor is invited, they must first accept the invite, which will trigger assignment.*



Assign Chief Editor

Triage Editor TE has already been invited on this manuscript and they declined the invitation.

Are you sure you want to assign this editor?

CANCEL

ASSIGN ANYWAY



Re-invite Academic Editor

Academic Editor AE has already been invited on this manuscript and they declined the invitation.

Are you sure you want to send this editor another invitation?

CANCEL

INVITE ANYWAY

For a Special Issue, if the editor is reaching the limit of manuscripts to which they can be assigned to handle (please see [Special Issue Rules and Configurations](#) for details on limits) a warning message will be displayed after “Assign Anyway” or “Invite Anyway” is selected. The warning message offers the option to cancel re-assignment or to “Invite Anyway”.



Nearing limit

This Editor is approaching their maximum number of manuscript assignments based on the current number of manuscripts submitted to the Special Issue. Please consider assigning this manuscript to another available Editor. If you need assistance, contact us at reviewhelp@wiley.com. Do you want to invite this editor anyway?

CANCEL

INVITE ANYWAY

If the editor has a potential conflict of interest, a warning message will appear. The warning message offers the option to cancel re-assignment or to “Invite Anyway”.



Potential conflict of interest identified!

Academic Editor AE¹ has the same affiliation as the following authors:

Automation Author¹

¹ Hindawi

Do you want to assign this editor to handle the manuscript anyway?

CANCEL

INVITE ANYWAY

If the editor is both approaching the manuscript limit and has a conflict of interest, the warning messages will appear in this order: approaching the manuscript limit, potential conflict of interest

If the Journal Manager or editor chooses to re-invite the editor, the invitation email will be sent to the editor again using the original template, and they will have the opportunity to answer to the invitation again.

- o The status of the invitation will change on the invitation card from 'DECLINED' to 'PENDING RESPONSE' and the Invitation date will be updated.

Sept-2025: Attach Files at Return to Checker

Available from	18-Sep-2025
Impacted Module	Research Exchange Screening
Impacted Roles	Checkers and Lead Screeners, Specialists, Lead Specialists
Overview	Specialists, lead specialists and lead screeners can now attach one or more supporting files at “Return to Checker” decision, making it easier to provide examples, reference materials, and documentation to help the checkers understand requested changes. This enhancement applies to all existing escalation flows used in the screening process stages - Submission

	Checks, Revision Checks, Quality Checks, and Material Checks.
--	---

What's New

File Upload Capability

- "Upload File" button is always visible and enabled
- Support for PDF, DOC, DOCX, XLS, XLSX, CSV file formats
 - Maximum size of 25MB per file
 - Message displayed above "Upload File" button: **"Supported formats: PDF, DOC, DOCX, XLS, XLSX, CSV. Maximum file size: 25MB."**
- Single and multiple file upload capability with the ability to replace or remove file as needed

Upload Progress & Status

- Real-time upload progress with percentage indicator
- Display file name and size during upload
- Provide cancel option during upload process
- Display upload status:
 - **Success:** Show green checkmark, "Uploaded" status, and file details
 - **Scanning:** Show "45% scanned for viruses" message
 - **Failure:** Show red error message with retry option
 - **Infected:** Show "File is infected! Replace the file" error
- Clear error messages with retry options for failed uploads

File Management

- Easy file removal with delete/cancel icon
- File is removed if the modal is closed or cancelled
- Uploaded file undergoes virus scanning for security
- File format validation and size limit enforcement

Email Notifications

- Uploaded file is automatically included in email notification to checker
- File appears as a downloadable link(s) that checkers can access directly

Activity & Messages

- File attachment is displayed in the Activity & Messages section for requests that include uploaded file
- File appears as clickable download link with file icon for easy access

Return Manuscript to Checker

Comment *

Enter your comment.

Comments for internal use.

Files (0)

Supporting files will appear here.

+ Upload Files

Supported formats: PDF, DOC, DOCX, XLS, XLSX, CSV. Maximum file size: 25MB

CANCEL

RETURN TO CHECKER

Return Manuscript to Checker

Comment *

Enter your comment.

Comments for internal use.

Files (5)

asdsdn sdfsafds ac [...] · ervyerywrey.docx 846.72 KB

✓ Uploaded

asdsdn sdfsafds ac [...] 3 dsfg sd fgds.docx 846.72 KB

✓ Uploaded

DefaultTestManuscript (2).docx 60.62 KB

✓ Uploaded

DefaultTestManuscript (2)_copy.docx 60.62 KB

✓ Uploaded

DefaultTestManuscript (2)_copy1.docx 60.62 KB

✓ Uploaded

+ Upload Files

Supported formats: PDF, DOC, DOCX, XLS, XLSX, CSV. Maximum file size: 25MB

CANCEL

RETURN TO CHECKER

Sept-2025: Attach Files at Escalation

Available from	16-Sep-2025
Impacted Module	Research Exchange Screening
Impacted Roles	Checkers, Lead Screeners, Specialists, Lead Specialists
Overview	All actors in screening roles can now attach one or more supporting files when escalating manuscripts, making it easier to provide examples, reference materials, and documentation to help the recipient understand requested changes.

What's New

File Upload Capability

- "Upload File" button is always visible and enabled on all escalation flows:
 - Initial escalation to Lead Screener
 - Initial escalation to Specialist
 - Re-escalation from Lead Screener to Specialist
 - Re-escalation from Specialist to Lead Screener
 - Re-escalation from one Specialist to another Specialist
- Support for PDF, DOC, DOCX, XLS, XLSX, CSV file formats
 - Maximum size of 25MB per file
 - Message displayed above "Upload File" button: **"Supported formats: PDF, DOC, DOCX, XLS, XLSX, CSV. Maximum file size: 25MB."**
- Single and multiple file upload capability with the ability to replace or remove file as needed

Upload Progress & Status

- Real-time upload progress with percentage indicator
- Display file name and size during upload
- Provide cancel option during upload process
- Display upload status:
 - **Success:** Show green checkmark, "Uploaded" status, and file details
 - **Scanning:** Show "45% scanned for viruses" message
 - **Failure:** Show red error message with retry option
 - **Infected:** Show "File is infected! Replace the file" error
- Clear error messages with retry options for failed uploads

File Management

- Easy file removal with delete/cancel icon
- File is removed if the modal is closed or cancelled
- Uploaded file undergoes virus scanning for security
- File format validation and size limit enforcement

Email Notifications

- Uploaded file is automatically included in email notification to checker

- File appears as a downloadable link(s) that checkers can access directly
- If the specialist/lead screener is removed from the team handling the manuscript, they lose access to download the file from the email

Activity & Messages

- File attachment is displayed in the Activity & Messages section for any escalation and re-escalation that includes uploaded file
- File appears as clickable download link with file icon for easy access

Escalate Manuscript

Escalation type *

☐ Pause manuscript ☒ Send to Lead Screener ☐ Send to Specialist

Comment

Enter your comment.

Files (0)

Supporting files will appear here.

+ Upload Files

Supported formats: PDF, DOC, DOCX, XLS, XLSX, CSV, Maximum file size: 25MB

CANCEL

ESCALATE TO LEAD SCREENER

Escalate Manuscript

Escalation type *

☐ Pause manuscript

☒ Send to Lead Screener

☐ Send to Specialist

Comment

Enter your comment.

Files (3)

asdsdn sdfsafds adfssafd fsdz [...] r erywwery erwyerywrey.docx846.72 KB

✓

Uploaded

Download

Close

DefaultTestManuscript (2).docx60.62 KB

✓

Uploaded

Download

Close

DefaultTestManuscript (2)_copy.docx60.62 KB

✓

Uploaded

Download

Close

+ Upload Files

Supported formats: PDF, DOC, DOCX, XLS, XLSX, CSV, Maximum file size: 25MB

CANCEL

ESCALATE TO LEAD SCREENER

Escalate Manuscript

Escalation type*

☐ Pause manuscript

☐ Send to Lead Screener

☒ Send to Specialist

Specialist team*

Editorial Specialist Team (Editorial)

Specialist

Specialist One

Comment

Enter your comment.

Files (3)

asdsdn sdfsafrs adfssafdr fsdr [...]

erywwery erwyerywrey.docx

846.72 KB

✓

Uploaded

DefaultTestManuscript (2).docx

60.62 KB

✓

Uploaded

DefaultTestManuscript (2)_copy.docx

60.62 KB

✓

Uploaded

+ Upload Files

Supported formats: PDF, DOC, DOCX, XLS, XLSX, CSV. Maximum file size: 25MB

CANCEL

ESCALATE TO SPECIALIST

Sept-2025: Submission Similarity Check Enhancement

Available from	16-Sep-2025
Impacted Module	Research Exchange Screening
Impacted Roles	All screening users handling the screening process
Overview	We're excited to introduce a major enhancement to the submission similarity check functionality within the Screening module. This release transforms the basic duplicate detection system into a sophisticated similarity analysis tool, empowering editorial teams with more granular insights and intelligent screening capabilities.

Key Features

RESEARCH EXCHANGE RELEASE NOTES

130

Advanced Similarity Detection Algorithm

- **Sophisticated Fuzzy Matching**
 - The previous version of the Similarity tool relied on exact matches for title and author lists. The enhanced feature instead leverages advanced similarity algorithms to calculate percentage-based similarity scores across titles, abstracts, and author lists.
- **Multi-Field Analysis**
 - Enhanced Title Comparison: Semantic and structural analysis detects similar titles even when wording differs
 - Abstract Similarity Detection: Advanced text analysis identifies conceptually similar abstracts beyond simple keyword matching
 - Intelligent Author Matching: Author list comparison now accounts for name variants, different formatting, and partial matches
 - Field-Specific Insights: Each component (title, abstract, author list) receives individual similarity ratings, enabling nuanced decision-making
- **Similarity Scoring:** Submissions are now evaluated with percentage-based similarity scores across three distinct levels: High, Medium, and Low.
 - The similarity thresholds have been set by the development team to ensure consistency across all journals
 - Note: as in the previous version of the Submission Similarity check, this version compares new submissions with all existing submissions made to the Wiley portfolio of journals.
- **Legacy Data Support:** Previously completed submissions are automatically indexed and made available for comparison, ensuring comprehensive similarity analysis across all manuscript data

User Interface Updates

- Submission Similarity tab added as a Pre-screen Check

WILEY Manuscript ID: 3394125 Richard Bennet

Pre-screening Check Info Validation Author Identity Verification Manuscript info Activity & Messages

Manuscripts

- + Language ENGLISH
- + Manuscript Word Count 234 Word Count OK
- + Language ENGLISH
- + Suspicious Words NONE
- + iThenticate Score INCONCLUSIVE
- Submission Similarity 2 MATCHES FOUND

2 Matches found Learn More

ID 5694305 NEW MANUSCRIPT SC

A Key Major Guideline for Engineering Bioactive Multicomponent Nanofunctionalization for Biomedicine and Other Applications: Fundamental Models Confirmed by Both Direct and Indirect Evidence

Journal Canadian Journal of Infectious Diseases and Medical Microbiology	Article Type Research Article
Section Current Contents - Physical, Chemical and Earth Sciences	Special Issue Advanced PHWR Safety Technology: PHWR Challenging Issues for Safe Operation and Long-Term Sustainability

- Clear visual indicators display “High”, “Medium”, and “Low” similarity levels for each section of the manuscript being evaluated (title, abstract, and author list} for similarities.

- Submission Similarity 2 MATCHES FOUND

2 Matches found Learn More

ID 5694305 TRANSFERRED NEW MANUSCRIPT SC

A Key Major Guideline for Engineering Bioactive Multicomponent Nanofunctionalization for Biomedicine and Other Applications: Fundamental Models Confirmed by Both Direct and Indirect Evidence

Journal Canadian Journal of Infectious Diseases and Medical Microbiology	Article Type Research Article
Section Current Contents - Physical, Chemical and Earth Sciences	Special Issue Advanced PHWR Safety Technology: PHWR Challenging Issues for Safe Operation and Long-Term Sustainability

Submitting Author: Cassiano Felipe Gonçalves-de-Albuquerque Submitted on: 13 Mar 2022 (11 days)

+ Show All Authors

> Submission Similarity MEDIUM SIMILARITY

ID 5694305 COMMISSIONED RESCINDED REFUSED TO CONSIDER SC

Fundamental Models Confirmed by Both Direct and Indirect Evidence

Journal Infectious Diseases and Medical Microbiology	Article Type Research Article
--	---

Submitting Author: John Smith Submitted on: 13 Mar 2022 (11 days)


+ Show All Authors

> Submission Similarity HIGH SIMILARITY


Decision Reasons

Reasons are not available.

- “Learn More” is persistent; upon click, alert will appear.
 - o Alert can be closed
 - o The system will remember whether user left message open or closed and will automatically open/close it upon page refresh.
 - o (*Note: “Learn more” appears on all Submission Similarity screens; designs will be updated)



- While the Submission Similarity check is being completed, display “Analysis in progress” message



- If no similar submissions are found, display “No matches found” message

WILEY

Manuscript ID: 3394125

Richard Bennet

Manuscripts

Pre-screening Check

Info Validation

Author Identity Verification

Manuscript info

Activity & Messages

+ Language

ENGLISH

+ Manuscript Word Count

234 Word Count OK

+ Language

ENGLISH

+ Suspicious Words

NONE

+ iThenticate Score

INCONCLUSIVE

- Submission Similarity

0 MATCHES FOUND

Learn More

No matches found.

- If Submission Similarity check cannot be completed, display error message

WILEY

Manuscript ID: 3394125

Richard Bennet

Manuscripts

Pre-screening Check

Info Validation

Author Identity Verification

Manuscript info

Activity & Messages

+ Language

ENGLISH

+ Manuscript Word Count

234 Word Count OK

+ Language

ENGLISH

+ Suspicious Words

NONE

+ iThenticate Score

INCONCLUSIVE

- Submission Similarity

PROCESSING ERROR

Learn More

Similarity analysis could not be completed.

Sept-2025: Editorial Conversation Tool

Available from	15-Sep-2025
Impacted Module	Research Exchange Review
Impacted Roles	Editors
Overview	The Editorial Conversation Tool enables editors to discuss manuscripts directly within manuscript records, creating a permanent archive of all editorial discussions.

The first phase focuses on implementing a basic commenting system, allowing only editors already assigned to a manuscript to post comments. This tool is available to editors of both Regular and Special Issues. Subsequent phases will introduce functionality for inviting additional editors to participate in the conversation.

New message indicators appear on both the Manuscript Card and Manuscript Details page.


The Editorial Conversation Tool enables editors to discuss manuscripts directly within manuscript records, creating a permanent archive of all editorial discussions.

The first phase focuses on implementing a basic commenting system, allowing only editors already assigned to a manuscript to post comments. This tool is available to editors of both Regular and Special Issues. Subsequent phases will introduce functionality for inviting additional editors to participate in the conversation.

New message indicators appear on both the Manuscript Card and Manuscript Details page.

Features

- Allow users to comment and reply to comments
- Highlight new/unread comments
 - The new/unread message indicator is a “bubble” on the Manuscript Card and on the Manuscript Detail page
 - Toast notification appears in real time if user is logged in/online



ID 5694305 COMMISSIONED TRANSFERRED

7 days overdue Extend ASSIGN AE v1

A Key Major Guideline for Engineering Bioactive Multicomponent Nanofunctionalization for Biomedicine and Other Applications: Fundamental Models Confirmed by Both Direct and Indirect EvidencePallavi Sharma  Karl Sabor  Ambuj Bhushan Jha, Rama Shanker Dubey, Mohammad Pessarakli, Lakmini Kumari Boralugoda, Ambuj Bhushan Jha, Rama Sh... [+ Show Affiliations](#)**Article Type**
Expression of Concern**Section**
Current Contents - Physical, Chemical and Earth Sciences**Journal**
Canadian Journal of Infectious Diseases and Medical Microbiology**Special Issue** CLOSING DATE: 2017-03-13
Advanced PHWR Safety Technology: PHWR Challenging Issues for Safe Operation and Long-Term Sustainability

Triage Editor: Cassiano Felipe Gonçalves-de-Albuquerque

Academic Editor: Ayodele Temidayo Johnny

Submitted on: 13 Mar 2022 (11 days)

> Abstract

> Author Declaration

> Files 

+ Reassign Chief Editor

+ Reassign Academic Editor

- There is also a linked toast message displayed on the Manuscript Detail page if the user is logged in. Clicking the link will open the comment drawer with the thread highlighted.

WILEY

← BACK DASHBOARD / ARTICLE DETAILS

Updated on 2017-03-13 Version 1 Comments

ID 5694305 COMMISSIONED TRANSFERRED

A Key Major Guideline for Engineering Bioactive Multicomponent Nanofunctionalization for Biomedicine and Other Applications: Fundamental Models Confirmed by Both Direct and Indirect Evidence

Pallavi Sharma  Karl Sabor  Ambuj Bhushan Jha, Rama Shanker Dubey, Mohammad Pessarakli, Lakmini Kumari Boralugoda, Ambuj Bhushan Jha, Rama Sh... [+ Show Affiliations](#)

Article Type
Expression of Concern

Section
Current Contents - Physical, Chemical and Earth Sciences

Journal
Canadian Journal of Infectious Diseases and Medical Microbiology

Special Issue CLOSING DATE: 2017-03-13
Advanced PHWR Safety Technology: PHWR Challenging Issues for Safe Operation and Long-Term Sustainability

Triage Editor: Tedi Smith Academic Editor: Sarah Priest Submitted on: 13 Mar 2022 (11 days)

> Abstract

> Author Declaration

> Files 

+ Reassign Chief Editor

+ Reassign Academic Editor

+ Reviewer Details & Reports 4 Invited 1 Agreed 1 Submitted 1 Declined 1 Expired

+ Your Editorial Decision


+ Activity Log

NEW! You have new comments on the manuscript. Click the button to read them. [Get It](#)

- Once acknowledged, comments will not be shown again as new
- Comments divided by Version of manuscript they were made on
- Comment Editing
 - allow user to edit their own comments
 - display indicator that comment has been edited
 - All comments and edits are time stamped

UI/UX Details

- The conversation is in a “drawer”/panel inside the manuscript record



- All comments are labeled with user name, manuscript role, and time stamp
- User must click “Post” to post a comment (“Enter” will create a new line)
- Editing Comments

Comments

×

VERSION 1

^

TS

Tedi Smith

TRIAGE

June 16, 12:45

I'm ready to make a final decision on this manuscript. Based on the reviews and our discussions, I'm inclined to accept it.
[@Janet Jackson](#), do you concur?

ME

Mark Edith

Apr 27, 12:31

⋮

Reviewers mentioned that the issues were fixed in version 2.

TS

I'm still waiting for additional information from a couple of colleagues. Let's wait another day.

×

Save >

Discard changes

Comments

×

VERSION 1

^

TS

Tedi Smith

TRIAGE

June 16, 12:45

I'm ready to make a final decision on this manuscript. Based on the reviews and our discussions, I'm inclined to accept it.
[@Janet Jackson](#), do you concur?

ME

Mark Edith

Apr 27, 12:31

⋮

Reviewers mentioned that the issues were fixed in version 2.

TS

Mark Edith

Apr 27, 12:31

⋮

I'm still waiting for additional information from a couple of colleagues. Let's wait another day.

✎

Edit

TS

Add a reply here.

Reply >

Sept-2025: Commission Search

Available from	15-Sep-2025
Impacted Module	Research Exchange Commission
Impacted Roles	All Commission Users
Overview	This release introduces a new search functionality for the Commission module, addressing the challenge of finding specific commissions in large datasets. Users, particularly Strategic Content Acquisition teams and Admins, can now quickly locate commissions without manually navigating through multiple pages of results. The feature provides search capabilities by the internal commission name or ID, significantly improving user efficiency and workflow productivity.

Key features: Commission Search Functionality

- **Search by Commission Name:** Users can enter partial or full commission names to find relevant results
- **Search by Commission ID:** Direct lookup capability using commission identification numbers
- **Real-time Search Results:** Instant filtering of commissions as users type their search queries
- **Improved Navigation:** Eliminates the need to manually browse through pagination to find specific commissions

User Interface Updates

Search Bar Addition: New search input field prominently placed within the Commissions interface.



User can enter a commission ID and start the search by pressing "Enter" on the keyboard or "Search" button. As an alternative, the user can perform the search by using a phrase or the full title of the commission.

Search Results Display

- Search results open in a modal
- Search bar and pagination controls (if applicable) remain static at top and bottom of search results modal, respectively

Search commissions

test

Search

Commission ID: 2741020

IN PROGRESS

Test search

Commissioning Editor: AE test AE 1 test

Article type: Review Article

Preliminary article title

Test search

Invitation statistics

0 Accepted 0 Article submitted 0 Article overdue 1 Pending response 0 Response overdue 0 Declined 0 Withdrawn

Journal: Behavioral Interventions

Created: 08 Sep 2025 Updated: 09 Sep 2025

Commission ID: 1286755

DRAFT

Commission Test Admin0977

Commissioning Editor: Triage Editor TE

Article type: Abstracts

Preliminary article title

Article test Admin0949

< 1 2 3 4 5 13 >

No results found

Search commissions

rollerskate

Search

No commissions were found.
Please amend the search terms and try again.

Error during search

(note this screenshot is from Figma and will be updated to remove filters from Search Results modal)

Search commissions

Hamster

⊗

Search

Issue type

All

▼

Status

All

▼

⚠

Search results could not be displayed due to an error.
Please try reloading the results.

Reload

Sept-2025: Total Publication History Keywords for Reviewers

Available from	11-Sep-2025
Impacted Module	Research Exchange Review
Impacted Roles	Editors
Overview	The system has been enhanced to provide editors with more comprehensive information about reviewer expertise through expanded keyword visibility from publication histories

Previously, editors could only view keywords from a reviewer's publications directly related to the manuscript under review. The system now displays keywords from the reviewer's complete publication history, giving editors a fuller picture of reviewer qualifications and subject matter expertise

Display and Limits

For PKG

- Two keyword sections are displayed in Reviewer Details drawer.
 - Keywords from relevant publication history are displayed in a “Top Keywords”.
 - If there are no keywords to be displayed from relevant publication history, “No keywords available” text is displayed in the “Top Keywords” section
 - Keywords from total publication history are displayed in an “Other Publication Keywords” section.
 - The “Other Publication Keywords” section is limited to 10 entries
 - All fields of study keywords from the Reviewer’s entire publication history are sorted by the system in order of most specific to least specific field of study.
 - The top 10 most specific fields of study keywords as sorted by the system are displayed in the “Other” Publication Keywords section.
 - If there are no keywords to be displayed from total publication history, “No keywords available” text is displayed in the “Other Publication Keywords” section

Cassiano Felipe Gonçalves-de-Albuquerque



CONFLICT OF INTEREST

REVIEWED PREVIOUS VERSION

ORCID Scopus Semantic Scholar

Score Current Affiliation

83% University of Toronto, 27 King's College Cir, Toronto, ON M5S, Canada

How accurate

Not Accurate Accurate

These keywords are automatically generated from the reviewer's full publication history and reflect other topics and fields they have published in over time.

> Top Keywords

Other Publication Keywords

Colloidal Chemistry Self-Assembly Material Characterization Polymer Science

Chemical Synthesis Tissue Engineering Applications Crystallography Spectroscopy

Microscopy Techniques Phase Transitions

For Publons

- One keyword section is displayed in Reviewer Details drawer
- Keywords from both relevant and total publication history are displayed in “Keywords” section.
- If there are no keywords to be displayed from either relevant or total publication history, “No keywords available” text is displayed in the “Keywords” section

Ingrid Egerod

ORCID Semantic Scholar

Score Current affiliation

0.86 2.Copenhagen University Hospital Rigshospitalet, Trauma Center 3193

Keywords

Economic growth, Emergency medicine, Nursing, Psychological intervention, Family medicine, Rehabilitation, Health care, Sociology, Surgery, Linguistics, Law, Internal medicine, Delirium, Medicine, Thematic analysis, Political science, Psychiatry, Qualitative research, Physical therapy, Intensive care, Philosophy, Anesthesia, Intensive care unit, MEDLINE, Social science, Psychology, Computer science, Intensive care medicine, Pathology, Economics

Sept-2025: In-App Feedback on Reviewer Suggestions


Available from	1-Sep-2025
Impacted Module	Research Exchange Review
Impacted Roles	Editors
Overview	We're excited to introduce the new in-app feedback feature that enables editors to provide direct feedback on reviewer suggestions. This enhancement allows editors to rate and comment on reviewer recommendations, helping improve the quality and relevance of future suggestions through integration with PKG (our reviewer recommendation system)

Key Features

- **Direct Rating System:** Editors can now rate reviewer suggestions as: "Not accurate at all", "Somewhat accurate", or "Accurate" directly within the application.
- **Contextual Feedback:** Optional text box allows editors to provide specific context about their rating decisions
- **Enhanced Data Collection:** Comprehensive feedback data is captured including journal details, manuscript information, reviewer data, and editor comments
- **PKG Integration:** Feedback data can be exported to inform reviewer algorithms and improve future suggestion quality

User Interface Updates

- **Reviewer Invitation Cards:** Each reviewer invitation card now displays “Rate Suggestion” directly beneath the reviewer information, allowing editors to quickly rate suggestions without leaving their workflow



The screenshot displays the 'Reviewer Invitation' section of the Research Exchange interface. At the top, a status bar indicates '0 of 2 Required reports submitted' and '0 Overdue'. Below this, a search bar and 'Add Reviewer Manually' button are visible. The main area shows a list of suggested reviewers. The first reviewer, Maximilian Eduardo Fernández-De-Montenegro, is highlighted. His card includes a circular progress indicator showing 83% completion, his affiliation (Maharashtra Institute of Technology - Art, Design and Technology, University, Pune), and his email (c.f.sealbuquerque@nut.adtu.edu). To the right of his name, it shows 'Currently reviewing: 2', 'Pending invitations: 1', and 'Completed reviews: 17'. An 'Add To Queue' button is present. At the bottom right of the reviewer card, a 'Rate Suggestion' button with a star icon is highlighted with an orange box. Below the reviewer list, there is a section for 'Top keywords' including 'oxidative damage', 'apoptosis', 'oxidative stress', 'reactive oxygen species', 'cell biology', and 'cell physiology'.

- When editor selects “Rate Suggestion”, a popover opens to allow the reviewer to make a selection

Reviewer information Reviewer activity ?

Maximilian Eduardo Fernández-De-Montenegro ⓘ ⓘ
Maharashtra Institute of Technology - Art, Design and Technology
University, Pune
c.f.sealbuquerque@nut.adtu.edu

Currently reviewing: 2
Pending invitations: 1
Completed reviews: 17

Add To Queue

Rate Suggestion ☆

How accurate is this reviewer suggestion? *

☐ Not accurate at all
☐ Somewhat accurate
☐ Accurate

Reason
Enter your detailed feedback

i This helps us improve the reviewer suggestions. You may submit feedback as often as needed.

Cancel **Submit Your Feedback**

X required reviewers to start queue, X*2 are optimal

Rate Suggestion ☆

How accurate is this reviewer suggestion? *

☐ Not accurate at all
☐ Somewhat accurate
☐ Accurate

Reason
Enter your detailed feedback

i This helps us improve the reviewer suggestions. You may submit feedback as often as needed.

Cancel **Submit Your Feedback**

- o Editor has to make a selection before selecting “Submit Feedback”
- o There is an optional free text field so editor can provide specific context about their rating decision

Rate Suggestion ☆

How accurate is this reviewer suggestion? *

☐ Not accurate at all

☐ Somewhat accurate

☐ Accurate

⚠ Choose an option from above.


Reason

Enter your detailed feedback

i This helps us improve the reviewer suggestions. You may submit feedback as often as needed.

Cancel Submit Your Feedback

- o When feedback is submitted a confirmation pop up appears and the editor can close out of it to return to Reviewer Suggestion page



- o If feedback was not successfully submitted, the popover will close and an error message will appear at the top of the screen

WILEY

An error occurred while submitting your feedback.

Search Richard

DASHBOARD / ARTICLE DETAILS

Updated on 2017-03-13 Version 1

ID 5694305 INVITE REVIEWERS V1

Reactive Oxygen Species, Oxidative Damage, and Antioxidative Defense Mechanism in Plants under Stressful Conditions Using Multivariate Geographically Weighted Regression Model

Pallavi Sharma SA, Karl Saber CA, Ambuj Bhushan Jha, Rama Shanker Dubey, Mohammad Pessarakli, Lakmini Kumari Boralugoda, Ambuj Bhushan Jha, Rama Sh... + Show Affiliations

Article Type
Expression of Concern

Section
Current Contents - Physical, Chemical and Earth Sciences

Journal
Canadian Journal of Infectious Diseases and Medical Microbiology

Special Issue
CLOSING DATE: 2017-03-13
Advanced PHWR Safety Technology: PHWR Challenging Issues for Safe Operation and Long-Term

- If editor has left feedback on the reviewer suggestion, the call-to-action will instead read “Rate Suggestion Again”

Update Results Reset All 60 results based on: default suggestions

Score ? Reviewer information Reviewer activity ?

83% Maximilian Eduardo Fernández-De-Montenegro ⓘ ⓘ
Maharashtra Institute of Technology - Art, Design and Technology
University, Pune
c.f.sealbuquerque@nut.adtu.edu

Currently reviewing: 2
Pending invitations: 1
Completed reviews: 17

Add To Queue

Rate Suggestion Again ☆

Top keywords: oxidative damage; apoptosis; oxidative stress; reactive oxygen species; cell biology; cell physiology; cell physiology; cell physiology; cell physiology; + More Details

Drawer UI

- When editors click to view detailed reviewer information from suggestion cards, the expanded drawer now includes the same feedback interface

Maximilian Eduardo Fernández-de-Montenegro

X

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

REVIEWED PREVIOUS VERSION

 ORCID  Scopus  Semantic ScholarScore  Current Affiliation

83% University of Toronto, 27 King's College Cir, Toronto, ON M5S, Canada

How accurate is this reviewer suggestion?

Not Accurate At All

Somewhat Accurate

Accurate

▼ Top Keywords 

Reactive Oxygen Species

Oxidative Damage

Antioxidative Defense Mechanism

Plants under Stressful Conditions

Multivariate Geographically Weighted Regre...

Abiotic Stress Responses

Plant Physiology

Redox Signaling

ROS Scavenging Mechanisms

Plants under Stressful Conditions: Redox...

> User Added Keywords > Other Publication Keywords 

- o If selected a popover will appear, with the same optional free text entry comment field

How accurate is this reviewer suggestion?

Not Accurate At All

Somewhat Accurate

Accurate

Reason

Enter your detailed feedback

 This helps us improve the reviewer suggestions. You may submit feedback as often as needed.

Cancel

Submit Your Feedback

- o Confirmation of submission will be displayed

How accurate is this reviewer suggestion?

☐ Not Accurate At All ☐ Somewhat Accurate ☐ Accurate



Thank you for sharing your feedback with us!

- o “Feedback submitted” will be displayed as a confirmation on the drawer

Maximilian Eduardo Fernández-de-Montenegro

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

REVIEWED PREVIOUS VERSION

ID ORCID

SC Scopus

Semantic Scholar

Score ?

Current Affiliation

83%

University of Toronto, 27 King's College Cir, Toronto, ON M5S, Canada

How accurate is this reviewer suggestion? ☒ Feedback submitted!

☐ Not Accurate At All ☐ Somewhat Accurate ☐ Accurate

Sept-2025: Commission Reminder Update (Long-Overdue Reminders)

Available from	1-Sep-2025
Impacted Module	Research Exchange Commission
Impacted Roles	Commissioning Editors, Authors
Overview	This release introduces significant improvements to the commission reminder system, including new long-overdue reminders for better follow-up management and enhanced control options for commissioning editors. The update removes the existing reminder that was sent one day after the due date reminder in favor of a more strategic approach to deadline management.

Key Features

- **Extended Follow-up Timeline:** Added two new automated reminder stages sent at 1 month and 3 months after deadline
 - Existing reminder schedule remains as is, EXCEPT the one-day-after-due-date reminder has now been removed and won't be sent for any new or existing commission (second reminder is sent a day before the due date and third reminder is sent a day after the due date; we had been sending 2 reminders within a 3 day timeframe)
- **Dual Flow Support:** Reminders apply to both submission flows and invitation flows
- **Enhanced Editor Control:** Commissioning editors can now opt-out of sending long-overdue reminders on a commission-by-commission basis
- **Removed Legacy Feature:** Eliminated the existing "due date + 1 day" reminder functionality completely

User Interface Updates

- Added toggle for long-overdue reminder opt-out in "Create new commission" and "Edit commission" screens
 - The toggle is enabled by default when you create a commission and the CE has the option to turn it off.
 - Previously created commissions will have the toggle "off" by default as the CE did not see the toggle when creating the commission.

Create new commission

Journal title *

Type and search journal title

Issue type *

Regular

Special

Article type *

Type and search article type

Commission name * (?)

0/300

Enter commission name

Internal use only

Preliminary article title (?)

0/300

Enter preliminary article title or leave empty to let author choose

Response to invitation due date: * (?)

2022-11-03

Manuscript submission due date: * (?)

2022-12-03

Long-overdue reminders: (?)

On

New Emails Templates for long overdue

Invitation long-overdue

Dear <recipient_title> <recipient_gIVENNAME> <recipient_surname>,

Recently, <commissioning_editor> invited you to submit an article to <journal_name>.

- Article type: <article_type>
- Recommended title: <article_title_html>

We noticed that you have not yet accepted the invitation due by <invite_response_due_date>. If you plan to submit, please accept this invitation.

If you do not wish to submit, please decline the request to prevent you from receiving more reminders.

<decline> <accept>

Kind regards,
<journal_name>

Submission long-overdue

"Dear <recipient_title> <recipient_gIVENNAME> <recipient_surname>,

Thank you for agreeing to submit an article to <journal_name>.

- Article type: <article_type>
- Recommended title: <article_title_html>

We noticed that you have not yet submitted your invited article which we were expecting by <submission_due_date>.

We would still welcome your submission, and the invitation will remain open indefinitely as a draft in your author menu.

<submit now>

Should you decide not to move forward with your submission, please let us know and we'll stop sending reminders.

Please contact us if you have any questions.

Kind regards,
<journal_name>"

Sept-2025: Commission Due Date Extension Flow

Available from	1-Sep-2025
Impacted Module	Research Exchange Commission
Impacted Roles	Commissioning Editors, Authors
Overview	This release introduces a comprehensive deadline extension system for submission invitations, allowing commissioning editors to grant deadline extensions to authors upon request. The feature includes visual indicators, extension history tracking, and automated notifications to streamline the deadline management process.

Key Features

Deadline Extension Management

- **Flexible Extension Criteria:** Commissioning editors can extend deadlines for authors who have accepted invitations, regardless of whether the submission is currently overdue
- **Future-Only Extensions:** System enforces that extensions can only be set to future dates, preventing retroactive or same-day deadline changes
- **Email-Triggered Workflow:** Extensions are granted in response to author email requests, maintaining a communication audit trail


Extension History & Tracking

- **Comprehensive History:** Pop-over interface displays complete timeline of all extensions granted over time
- **Persistent Information:** Extension details remain visible even when submissions become overdue again
- **Hyperlinked Dates:** Quick access to extension history through clickable date elements

User Interface Updates

Invitation Card Enhancements

- Invitation Statuses Displayed on Invitation Cards
 - Accepted, No Deadline Missed




Cassiano Felipe Gonçalves-De-Albuquerque
Maharashtra Institute of Technology - Art, Design and Technology
University, Pune
c.f.sealbuquerque@nut.adtu.edu

Invited: 23 May 2023
Accepted: 25 May 2023
Submission due: 30 May 2023
[Extend Deadline](#)

ACCEPTED

- Accepted, First Deadline Missed




Cassiano Felipe Gonçalves-De-Albuquerque
Maharashtra Institute of Technology - Art, Design and Technology
University, Pune
c.f.sealbuquerque@nut.adtu.edu

Invited: 23 May 2023
Accepted: 25 May 2023
Submission due: 30 May 2023
[Extend Deadline](#)

ACCEPTED

- Accepted, Author Granted One Extension




Cassiano Felipe Gonçalves-De-Albuquerque
Maharashtra Institute of Technology - Art, Design and Technology
University, Pune
c.f.sealbuquerque@nut.adtu.edu

Invited: 23 May 2023
Accepted: 25 May 2023
Extended: +30 days (total)
Submission due: 30 May 2023
[Extend Deadline](#)

ACCEPTED

- Author Missed Extended Deadline




Cassiano Felipe Gonçalves-De-Albuquerque
Maharashtra Institute of Technology - Art, Design and Technology
University, Pune
c.f.sealbuquerque@nut.adtu.edu

Invited: 23 May 2023
Accepted: 25 May 2023
Extended: +30 days (total)
Submission due: 1 Jan 2024
Overdue: 76 days
[Extend Deadline](#)

ARTICLE OVERDUE

- "Extend Deadline" link on Invitation card



Invitation log (1 authors) [About Invitation Log](#)

Author: Search by author name or email OR Status: All (1)

Cassiano Felipe Gonçalves-De-Albuquerque
Maharashtra Institute of Technology - Art, Design and Technology
University, Pune
c.f.sealbuquerque@nut.adtu.edu

Invited: 20 Apr 2025
Accepted: 22 Apr 2025
Extended: +78 days (total)
Submission due: 10 Oct 2025
[Extend Deadline](#)

ACCEPTED

- Upon click of "Extend Deadline", date picker opens to select new deadline
 - Select date in future and click "Extend Deadline"

Invite Author Clear

Invitation log (1 authors)

Author: Search by author name or email OR Status: All (1)

Cassiano Felipe Gonçalves-De-Albuquerque
Maharashtra Institute of Technology - Art, Design and Technology
University, Pune
c.f.sealbuquerque@nit.adi.edu

Invited: 20 Apr 2025
Accepted: 22 Apr 2025
Submission due: 1 Aug 2025
Overdue: 101 days
Extend Deadline

October 2025

Su	Mo	Tu	We	Th	Fr	Sa
27	28	29	30	1	2	3
4	5	6	7	8	9	10
11	12	13	14	15	16	17
18	19	20	21	22	23	24
25	26	27	28	29	30	1

Extend Deadline

Activity Log

ARTICLE OVERDUE

Invitation log (1 authors)

Author: Search by author name or email OR Status: All (1)

Cassiano Felipe Gonçalves-De-Albuquerque
Maharashtra Institute of Technology - Art, Design and Technology
University, Pune
c.f.sealbuquerque@nit.adi.edu

Invited: 20 Apr 2025
Accepted: 22 Apr 2025
Submission due: 1 Aug 2025
Overdue: 10 days
Extend Deadline

Select new submission due date. Authors will be automatically notified about the extended deadline.

New submission due date *
2025-10-10

Deadline will be extended by 30 days.

Extend Deadline

Activity Log

ARTICLE OVERDUE

- Deadline successfully extended; pop-up message appears at top, and new deadline and extended period shown

WILEY

Commission

Submission due date has been successfully extended!

Search

Kam

DASHBOARD / COMMISSION DETAILS

Commission ID: 5694305

IN PROGRESS

Commission on sleeping habits in hamsters - 2025 collection

Commissioning editor
Kam Tyrn

Preliminary title
Fluffy's awake and asleep hours throughout adulthood - a case study

Response to invitation due date
20 Apr 2025

Article type
Research article

Manuscript submission due date
1 Aug 2025

Special Issue
CLOSING DATE: 1 AUG 2025
Sleep studies in hamsters across the northern hemisphere - a collection

Invitation statistics
0 Accepted 1 Article submitted 0 Article overdue 1 Pending response 0 Response overdue 1 Declined 0 Withdrawn

Journal: International Journal of Hamsters

Created: 15 Feb 2025 Updated: 11 Aug 2025

Author Invitation

Title

First name *

Last name *

Email *

Title

Enter first name

Enter last name

Enter email

☐ Customise invitation

Invite Author

Clear

Invitation log (1 authors)

About Invitation Log

Author

Status

Search by author name or email

OR

All (1)

Cassiano Felipe Gonçalves-De-Albuquerque
Maharashtra Institute of Technology - Art, Design and Technology
University, Pune
c.f.sealbuquerque@nut.adtu.edu

Invited: 20 Apr 2025
Accepted: 22 Apr 2025
Extended: +78 days (total)
Submission due: 10 Oct 2025
Extend Deadline

ACCEPTED

- Hover-over shows details of extension(s) granted

Invitation log (1 authors)

About Invitation Log

Author

Status

Search by author name or email

OR

All (1)

Cassiano Felipe Gonçalves-De-Albuquerque
Maharashtra Institute of Technology - Art, Design and Technology
University, Pune
c.f.sealbuquerque@nut.adtu.edu

Invited: 20 Apr 2025
Accepted: 22 Apr 2025
Extended: +78 days (total)
Submission due: 10 Oct 2025
Extend Deadline

Date of granted extension:
1 Aug 2025 (+14 DAYS)

ACCEPTED

Invitation log (1 authors)

About Invitation Log

Author

Status

Search by author name or email

OR

All (1)

Cassiano Felipe Gonçalves-De-Albuquerque
Maharashtra Institute of Technology - Art, Design and Technology
University, Pune
c.f.sealbuquerque@nut.adtu.edu

Invited: 20 Apr 2025
Accepted: 22 Apr 2025
Extended: +180 days (total)
Submission due: 10 Oct 2025
Extend Deadline

Date of granted extension:
1 Aug 2025 (+14 DAYS)
10 Oct 2025 (+5 DAYS)
30 Dec 2025 (+11 DAYS)

ACCEPTED

- Clear error messaging for when extension was not saved

RESEARCH EXCHANGE RELEASE NOTES

156

WILEY

Commission

Something went wrong, the due date has not been extended. Please try again.

Search

Kam

DASHBOARD / COMMISSION DETAILS

Commission ID: 5694305

IN PROGRESS

Commission on sleeping habits in hamsters - 2025 collection

Commissioning editor
Kam Tyan

Preliminary title
Fluffy's awake and asleep hours throughout adulthood - a case study

Response to invitation due date
20 Apr 2025

Article type
Research article

Manuscript submission due date
1 Aug 2025

Special Issue
CLOSING DATE: 1 AUG 2025
Sleep studies in hamsters across the northern hemisphere - a collection

Invitation statistics
0 Accepted 1 Article submitted 0 Article overdue 1 Pending response 0 Response overdue 1 Declined 0 Withdrawn

Journal: International Journal of Hamsters

Created: 15 Feb 2025 Updated: 1 Aug 2025

Author Invitation

Title

First name *

Last name *

Email *

Title

Enter first name

Enter last name

Enter email

Customise invitation

Invite Author

Clear

Invitation log (1 authors)

About Invitation Log

Author

Status

Search by author name or email

OR

All (1)

Cassiano Felipe Gonçalves-De-Albuquerque

Maharashtra Institute of Technology - Art, Design and Technology

University, Pune

c.f.sealbuquerque@mit.adtu.edu

Invited: 20 Apr 2025

Accepted: 22 Apr 2025

Submission due: 1 Aug 2025

Overdue: 101 days

Extend Deadline

ARTICLE OVERDUE


Sept-2025: Ability to Attach Files at “Return to Draft” Decision

Available from	3-Sep-2025
Impacted Module	Research Exchange Screening
Impacted Roles	Checkers and Lead Screeners
Overview	Checkers and lead screeners can now attach a supporting file at “Return to Draft” decision, making it easier to provide examples, reference materials, and documentation to help authors understand requested changes.

What's New

File Upload Capability

- Added "Upload File" button
- Support for PDF, DOC, and DOCX file formats with a maximum size of 25MB per file
- Single and multiple file upload capability with the ability to replace or remove file as needed



Return to Draft

☐ System and manuscript file author lists mismatch
☐ Author identity clarification
☐ Found in conference/preprint with different author list
☐ Authors are from countries under sanction
☐ Author institution clarification

Formatting Requirements

☐ Tracked changes to be removed
☐ Tracked changes to be added
☐ Main document is not anonymised
☐ Separate title page was not provided
☐ Figure/Table issues
☐ Abstract issues
☐ Header and footer issues
☐ Language and translation
☐ File processing issues

☐ Data Availability Statement issues
☐ Ethics Statement issues
☐ Consent Statement issues
☐ Conflict of Interest Statement issues
☐ Contributorship Statement issues
☐ Funding Statement issues
☐ Research guideline/checklist missing

Other

☐ Journal specific requirement
☐ Cover letter clarification

Quality Concerns

☐ iThenticate score is above the journal threshold
☐ Submission similarity with another manuscript in the system

Additional comments to the author

Enter your comments

+ Upload Files

Supported formats: PDF, DOC, DOCX. Maximum file size: 25MB

CANCEL PROCEED TO EMAIL PREVIEW

Upload Progress & Status

- Real-time upload progress with percentage indicator and file details
- Cancel option during upload process
- Comprehensive status messaging, including success confirmation, virus scanning progress, and error handling
- Clear error messages with retry options for failed uploads

File Management

- Easy file removal with delete/cancel icon
- File is preserved when moving to email preview
- File is cleared if the dialog is canceled

Enhanced Email Notifications

- Uploaded file is automatically included in email notification to authors
- File appears as a downloadable link that authors can access directly

Activity & Messages Integration

- File attachment is displayed in the Activity & Messages section for requests that include uploaded file
- File appears as clickable download link with file icon for easy access

Technical Details

- Uploaded file undergoes virus scanning for security
- Secure file storage and transmission protocols
- File format validation and size limit enforcement
- Supported formats: PDF, DOC, DOCX (maximum 25MB)

Sept-2025: Linking Papers

Available from	2-Sep-2025
Impacted Module	Research Exchange Review
Impacted Roles	Admin users, Editorial Assistant (EA), Journal Managers (JM), Editor assigned on the manuscript, Editors with ‘managing’ capabilities -Triage, Lead Guest Editors (collectively, “Users”)
Overview	- Journal staff can search for published articles and/or other manuscripts to link to the manuscript in progress. - Journal staff can view papers that were linked to the in-progress manuscript in Submission and Review

What's Changed

New Features

Within the same journal, a user can search and link any two manuscripts and/or a published article to a manuscript

- User cannot search for or link deleted manuscripts to an in-progress manuscript.
- The linking action must be initiated on the “in progress” manuscript
 - A published manuscript (that has already a DOI) can be linked to an in- progress one, from the in-progress one.

Searching for a Manuscript or Article to Link

- User begins a search by clicking “Add Linked Papers” button next to the “Papers linked at Review” section heading. (See “Viewing Linked Papers”, below)
- Search bar will be displayed
 - User can search for manuscripts or articles to link by using
 - ♣ Manuscript Identifier (MSID) OR
 - Manuscript Title
- User can search by entering at least 3 characters

Search results

- Only manuscripts that the particular user has access to are displayed in Search Results.
- Manuscripts in all statuses (except “Deleted”) are displayed
- Only the most recent version of manuscript displayed in results
- A brief preview of the manuscript details (MSID, title, status) is displayed to ensure accuracy before linking
 - Search term is displayed in **bold** font
- As results are loading, the text “Loading” and a spinner will appear
 - Results or empty state will be displayed when search is complete.
- Up to 10 search results are displayed at one time
 - A scroll bar appears if there are more than 10 results
 - User can scroll through rest of results

Linking

- User can click on the desired paper in the Search Results to link to the in-progress paper.
 - User can select one paper at a time to link to the in-progress paper
- If user wants to link a paper in the search results, the user clicks on the result
 - The text “added” and a green check mark will appear on the search result paper.

Unlinking

- To unlink a previously linked paper, user clicks the “...” icon in the right-hand side of the card and then clicks “Remove linked paper”
 - Users can ONLY unlink papers they have linked themselves OR papers that are assigned to them.
 - The option to “Remove linked paper” only appears if the user has linked the paper and/or if the user has permissions on that paper

Post-Linking

- The action is recorded in the manuscript’s Activity Log
- Linked manuscript metadata is included in the package sent to the Production System.

Viewing Linked Papers

- User can view linked papers in an accordion display under the Linked papers section.
- Linked papers will be divided into sections based on whether they were linked at Submission or at Review.
- If no linked papers exist (either at Submission or Review), the accordion will be displayed as closed by default.

Papers linked at Submission


- If papers were linked at Submission (by author) then the accordion heading will have a “Papers linked at Submission” section with a counter indicating how many papers were linked by author at Submission
- If no papers were linked at Submission, then no counter will appear.
 - Just section heading “Papers linked at Submission” will appear

Papers linked a Review

- If papers were linked at Review then the accordion heading will have a "Papers linked at Review" section with a counter indicating how many papers were linked at Review
- If no papers were linked at Review, then no counter will appear.

“Add Linked Papers”

- Whether or not papers have already been linked at Review, in addition to the section Heading “Papers linked at Review”, the “Add Linked Papers” button will appear
- Clicking on “Add Linked Papers” will open the Search bar as above.



The screenshot displays the 'ARTICLE DETAILS' page for a research article. At the top, the breadcrumb 'DASHBOARD / ARTICLE DETAILS' is visible. The article title is 'Energy Dependence of SEP Electron and Proton Onset Times' by R. Bunoiu and R. Cardone. The article type is 'Research Article' and the journal is 'New Directions for Child and Adolescent Development'. The submission date is 'Sep 01, 2025 (1 day ago)'. The article is in the 'IN SCREENING' stage (V1). The 'Linked Papers' section is expanded, showing two papers linked at submission with their respective DOI links. An 'Add Linked Papers' button is located at the bottom of this section.

DASHBOARD / ARTICLE DETAILS

Withdraw Updated on Sep 01, 2025 Version 1 Comments

ID 1087852 TRANSPARENT PEER REVIEW IN SCREENING V1

Energy Dependence of SEP Electron and Proton Onset Times

R. Bunoiu¹, R. Cardone² + Show Affiliations

Article Type
Research Article

Journal
New Directions for Child and Adolescent Development

Submitted on: Sep 01, 2025 (1 day ago)

> Abstract

> Author Declaration

> Files 3

▼ Linked Papers 2

Papers linked at Submission 2

- <https://doi.org/10.1002/2017GL074677>
- <https://doi.org/10.1002/2017GL074678>

+ Add Linked Papers

DASHBOARD / ARTICLE DETAILS

Withdraw

Updated on Sep 01, 2025

Version 1

Comments

ID 1087852

TRANSPARENT PEER REVIEW

IN SCREENING V1

Energy Dependence of SEP Electron and Proton Onset Times

R. Bunoiu¹, R. Cardone² + Show Affiliations

Article Type

Research Article

Journal

New Directions for Child and Adolescent Development

Submitted on: Sep 01, 2025 (1 day ago)

> Abstract

> Author Declaration

> Files 3

> Linked Papers 2

Papers linked at Submission 2

https://doi.org/10.1002/2017GL074677

https://doi.org/10.1002/2017GL074678

Papers linked at Review 0

Search and add papers from this journal

Search by manuscript ID or title

Papers linked at Review 0

Search and add papers from this journal

Engineering Bioactive

Loading...

Search Results

RESEARCH EXCHANGE RELEASE NOTES

163

DASHBOARD / ARTICLE DETAILS Withdraw Updated on Sep 01, 2025 Version 1 Comments

ID 1087852 TRANSPARENT PEER REVIEW IN SCREENING V1

Energy Dependence of SEP Electron and Proton Onset Times

R. Bunoiu ¹, R. Cardone² + [Show Affiliations](#)

Article Type
Research Article

Journal
New Directions for Child and Adolescent Development

Submitted on: Sep 01, 2025 (1 day ago)

> Abstract

> Author Declaration

> Files 3

> Linked Papers 2

Papers linked at Submission 2

- <https://doi.org/10.1002/2017GL074677>
- <https://doi.org/10.1002/2017GL074678>

Papers linked at Review 0

Search and add papers from this journal

ID 1031041	012121212 Manuscript Title oxidative stability	IN SCREENING V1
ID 1004214	05/30/2025 Manuscript Title oxidative stability	PUBLISHED V1
ID 1062881	05/30/2025 Manuscript Title oxidative stability	PUBLISHED V1
ID 1028690	07/28/2025 12:41 VK Manuscript Title oxidative stability	IN SCREENING V1
ID 1016221	1.1. TR_Bath Spa University institution (RG 1554) _Gold OA ReX Production Test Manuscript	IN QUALITY CHECKS V1
ID 1067249	12345 Pretesting Manuscript	IN QUALITY CHECKS V1
ID 1014161	1.3. TR_Bath Spa University institution (RG 1554) _Gold OA ReX Production Test Manuscript	IN QUALITY CHECKS V1
ID 1045185	14/08/2023 10:22 VK Manuscript Title oxidative stability	REVISION REQUESTED V1
ID 1052406	2023/08/02 2023/08/02 manuscript	IN SCREENING V1
ID 1068966	2023/08/02 9:40 Manuscript Title oxidative stability	IN SCREENING V1

Search Results – Manuscripts with DOI among results

> Linked Papers 2

Papers linked at Submission 2

- <https://doi.org/10.1000/typ-102>
- <https://doi.org/10.1000/typ-103>

Papers linked at Review 0

Search and add papers from this journal

ID 1026405	CASE 4 with DOI = paperx	IN SCREENING V1
ID 1025406	CASE 4 with DOI = paperx	REVISION REQUESTED V1
ID 1026377	CASE 5 - no DOI	REJECTED V1
ID 1064748	CASE 5 - no DOI - version 2	REVISION AT V1
ID 1005459	Case1 - Combined with the virus [infection models, the [saturated]] [infection] [rate]] [beta TV] = qV is further considered to describe the dynamic evolution between viruses and tumor cells more objectively, so as to further study the therapeutic effect of anolytic viruses. This paper discusses the dynamics of the system under three conditions: (1) $S(\tau_{av}, 1) = I(\tau_{av}, 2) = 0$, (2) $S(\tau_{av}, 2) = 0$ and $S(\tau_{av}, 1) > 0$, (3) $S(\tau_{av}, 1) > 0$ and $S(\tau_{av}, 2) > 0$	REVISION AT V1
ID 1068602	CASE3 = paperx - Combined with the virus [infection models, the [saturated]] [infection] [rate]] [beta TV] = qV is further considered to describe the dynamic evolution between viruses and tumor cells more objectively, so as to further study the therapeutic effect of anolytic viruses. This paper discusses the dynamics of the system under three conditions: (1) $S(\tau_{av}, 1) = I(\tau_{av}, 2) = 0$, (2) $S(\tau_{av}, 2) = 0$ and $S(\tau_{av}, 1) > 0$, (3) $S(\tau_{av}, 1) > 0$ and $S(\tau_{av}, 2) > 0$	IN QUALITY CHECKS V1
ID 1072617	CASE3 = paperx - Combined with the virus [infection models, the [saturated]] [infection] [rate]] [beta TV] = qV is further considered to describe the dynamic evolution between viruses and tumor cells more objectively, so as to further study the therapeutic effect of anolytic viruses. This paper discusses the dynamics of the system under three conditions: (1) $S(\tau_{av}, 1) = I(\tau_{av}, 2) = 0$, (2) $S(\tau_{av}, 2) = 0$ and $S(\tau_{av}, 1) > 0$, (3) $S(\tau_{av}, 1) > 0$ and $S(\tau_{av}, 2) > 0$	REVISION AT V1

Status of papers displayed in search results

PUBLISHED V1

✓ Added

IN SCREENING V1

INVITE REVIEWERS V1

REJECTED V2

REJECTED V1

REJECTED V1

WITHDRAWN V1

PUBLISHED V1

WITHDRAWN V1

DASHBOARD / ARTICLE DETAILS

WithdrawUpdated on Sep 01, 2025Version 1Comments

ID 1087852TRANSPARENT PEER REVIEWIN SCREENING V1

Energy Dependence of SEP Electron and Proton Onset Times

R. Bunoiu¹, R. Cardone² + Show Affiliations

Article Type
Research Article

Journal
New Directions for Child and Adolescent Development

Submitted on: Sep 01, 2025 (1 day ago)

> Abstract

> Author Declaration

> Files 3

> Linked Papers 1

Papers linked at Submission 2

- <https://doi.org/10.1002/2017GL074677>
- <https://doi.org/10.1002/2017GL074678>

Papers linked at Review 1

Search and add papers from this journal

Search by manuscript ID or title

ID 1031041TRANSPARENT PEER REVIEWIN SCREENING V1

012121212 Manuscript Title oxidative stability

Xiomi Tet

Academic Editor: Unassigned Reviewer Reports: 0 Invited

Journal: New Directions for Child and Adolescent Development

Submitted on: Aug 07, 2023 (2 years ago)

Actions

- Remove linked paper

Error States

RESEARCH EXCHANGE RELEASE NOTES

165

Papers linked at Review 1

Search and add papers from this journal

Engineering Bioactive

Error searching manuscripts. Please try again.

Linked Papers 1

Papers linked at Review 1

Search and add papers from this journal

Search by manuscript ID or title

Manuscripts are already linked

ID 1014162

TRANSPARENT PEER REVIEW

PUBLISHED V1

[2] Test approve for announcemnet article type

Anamaria Nazare

Academic Editor: Unassigned Reviewer Reports: 0 Invited

Journal: New Directions for Child and Adolescent Development

Submitted on: Feb 04, 2025 (7 months ago)

Error removing linked paper. Please try again.

No Results/Empty state

Papers linked at Review 1

Search and add manuscripts from this journal

Engineering Bioactive

No manuscripts to display

Manuscripts matching this search might exist, but might not be visible to you. Ask your Journal Manager, Editorial Assistant or an Admin with proper rights to search for it instead.

Respond to editorial invitation

No Access to Paper in order to Link

Linked Papers 1

Papers linked at Review 1

ID 1025406

CASE 4 with DOI + papex

Angi Angela Author TH71, Author TH72, Author TH8

Article Type: Review Article Academic Editor: Unassigned Reviewer Reports: 0 Invited

You don't have viewing rights for this manuscript.

Submitted on: May 20, 2025 (2 months ago)

ID 1025627

LUTS-2 with DOI + papex

Angi Angela Author TH71, Author TH72, Author TH8

You don't have viewing rights for this manuscript.

ID 1025627

Linked papers in Activity Log

Activity Logs and Emails

Filter From: To: ☐ Show logs with emails only

Academic Editor AE linked paper: ID 1068602 CASE3 + papex - Combined with the virus $\frac{\beta TV1 + qV}{\text{infection rate}}$ is further considered to describe the dynamic evolution between viruses and tumor cells more objectively, so as to further study the therapeutic effect of oncolytic viruses. This paper discusses the dynamics of the system under three conditions: (1) $\tau_1 = \tau_2 = 0$, (2) $\tau_1 = 0$ and $\tau_2 > 0$, (3) $\tau_1 > 0$ and $\tau_2 > 0$

August 11, 2025 at 10:37 (1 second ago)

Academic Editor AE unlinked paper: ID 1068602 CASE3 + papex - Combined with the virus $\frac{\beta TV1 + qV}{\text{infection rate}}$ is further considered to describe the dynamic evolution between viruses and tumor cells more objectively, so as to further study the therapeutic effect of oncolytic viruses. This paper discusses the dynamics of the system under three conditions: (1) $\tau_1 = \tau_2 = 0$, (2) $\tau_1 = 0$ and $\tau_2 > 0$, (3) $\tau_1 > 0$ and $\tau_2 > 0$

August 11, 2025 at 10:37 (9 seconds ago)

Sept-2025: Configure at Journal Level if Reviewers Get Access to the Entire Paper When They Get Invited

Available from	2-Sep-2025
Impacted Module	Research Exchange Review
Impacted Roles	Journal Administrators, Reviewers
Overview	This release introduces a new journal configuration option that allows editorial teams to have the tenant administrator (for Wiley journals, this means the ESOP team) set what access to manuscript files reviewers for the journal will have. The configuration provides granular control over whether reviewers can access manuscript files when they are invited to review, with settings available at both journal-wide and article-type-specific levels.

Key Features

This feature addresses a migration blocker for a set of journals and can also be applied retroactively for journals already on the system.

New Reviewer Access Configuration

- **Configuration Name:** "Reviewer access to manuscript files when invited"
- **Location:** Review Process category within journal preferences
- **Values:** Yes/No toggle option

The default setting for this new configuration is 'No' for all the journals already on the platform, and journals must contact their Wiley Research Exchange contact.

User Interface Updates

- New "Reviewer access to manuscript files when invited" configuration can be found on the Journal Details page, under "Journal Preferences", "Editorial Model", and "Review Process".

Aug-2025: Attach a file for the author when requesting files at material checks

Available from	20-Aug-2025
Impacted Module	Research Exchange Screening
Impacted Roles	Editorial Assistants/Journal Admins/Managing Editors Lead Screeners
Overview	Individuals with permission to manage material checks for manuscripts post-acceptance, as well as lead screeners, can now attach supporting files during the “Request Files” stage. This enhancement enables the inclusion of examples, reference materials, and documentation, which helps authors understand the requested changes more clearly.

The "Upload File" button is available exclusively when author notifications are enabled. It permits the upload of a single file in PDF, DOC, or DOCX format, with a maximum size limit of 25MB. When author notifications are suppressed, the file upload option is disabled; moreover, if suppression occurs after a file has been uploaded, the file will be automatically removed.

Request Files

☐ System and manuscript file author lists mismatch
☐ Author identity clarification
☐ Found in conference/preprint with different author list
☐ Authors are from countries under sanction
☐ Author institution clarification

☐ Data Availability Statement issues
☐ Ethics Statement issues
☐ Consent Statement issues
☐ Conflict of Interest Statement issues
☐ Contributorship Statement issues
☐ Funding Statement issues
☐ Research guideline/checklist missing

Formatting Requirements
☐ Tracked changes to be removed
☐ Tracked changes to be added
☐ Main document is not anonymised
☐ Separate title page was not provided
☐ Figure/Table issues
☐ Abstract issues
☐ Header and footer issues
☐ Language and translation
☐ File processing issues

Other
☐ Journal specific requirement
☐ Cover letter clarification

Quality Concerns
☐ iThenticate score is above the journal threshold
☐ Submission similarity with another manuscript in the system

Additional comments to the author

+ Upload File
Supported formats: PDF, DOC, DOCX. Maximum file size: 25MB

CANCEL

PROCEED TO EMAIL PREVIEW

Upon file selection, users benefit from real-time upload progress, featuring a percentage indicator and comprehensive file details. At any stage during the process, users may cancel the upload. The interface provides clear status messages for successful uploads, virus scanning procedures, and error handling. In the event of upload failure, users are prompted to clear the error and initiate a retry.

Uploaded files may be deleted via the delete or cancel icon. Files remain accessible when transitioning to the email preview and are only removed if the action is fully cancelled. Uploaded files are automatically attached to email notifications sent to authors, enabling direct download access.

After an email is dispatched, file attachments are displayed within the Activity & Messages section for relevant requests, appearing as clickable download links accompanied by file icons for easy identification.

Support for multiple file uploads is planned for future development.

Aug-2025: Transparent Peer Review

Available from	19-Aug-2025
Impacted Module	Research Exchange Review
Impacted Roles	Authors Editors Reviewers
Overview	Transparent Peer Review (TPR) means publishing all peer review data, including reviewer reports, editor decisions, and author responses.

Journals can be configured to have no, optional, or mandatory Transparent Peer Review (TPR). TPR artifacts will eventually be published on Wiley Online Library (WOL).

For **authors** in the Submission module;

- When a journal offers optional or mandatory transparent peer review (TPR), authors are required at submission to either acknowledge the mandatory TPR policy or indicate their preference. The author's choice, if applicable, will be automatically sent to the Review module. Authors can change their original TPR choice if a manuscript returns to the Submission module (Return to Draft or Revision decisions), though preference changes will not be available in the future. The production team will receive the relevant TPR information from the Review module for publication.
- If a journal is not configured to support TPR, there will be no option to select a preference at the submission stage.

For **Admins** in the Screening module;

From the dashboard and the manuscript details section of the article details page, the manuscript will have a 'Transparent Peer Review' label. Hovering over the label will display an explanation of TPR.


For **Editors and Admins** in the Review module;

Once **Manuscript Information** [See Manuscript In Review](#) 

Manuscript ID	3394125	TRANSPARENT PEER REVIEW
Manuscript title	A Key Major Guideline for Engineering Bioactive Multicomponent Nanofunctionalization for Biomedicine and Other Applications: Fundamental Models Confirmed by Both Direct and Indirect Evidence	Transparent peer review is a publishing model that increases openness in the scientific review process by making elements of peer review visible to readers. The decision and comments are published alongside the manuscript and peer reviews.
Submitted On	23 Nov 2023	
Journal Name	BioMed Research Online	
Peer Review Model	Single-Anonymous	
Issue & Article Type	Regular Issue	


preference is selected by the author, the platform will display all information and warnings to editors and admins in the Review module.

From the dashboard, the manuscript will have a 'Transparent Peer Review' label. Hovering over the label will display an explanation of TPR.



The screenshot shows the Wiley dashboard with a sidebar on the left containing filters for 'Action required' (25), 'In progress' (5), 'Finalized' (30), and 'All' (60). The main area displays a list of manuscripts. The first manuscript, ID 5694305, is titled 'A Key Major Guideline for Engineering Bioactive Multicomponent Nanofunctionalization for Biomedicine and Other Applications: Fundamental Models Confirmed by Both Direct and Indirect Evidence' by Richard Benn. It has a 'TRANSPARENT PEER REVIEW' label. A tooltip over this label states: 'Transparent peer review is a publishing model that increases openness in the scientific review process by making elements of peer review visible to readers.' The manuscript is assigned to Academic Editor Cambria Whitfield and was submitted on 15 Feb 2023. Below the list, the 'DASHBOARD / ARTICLE DETAILS' section shows the same manuscript details, including the authors: Pallavi Sharma, Karl Sabar, Ambuj Bhushan Jha, Rama Shanker Dubey, Mohammad Pessarakli, Lakmini Kumari Borlugoda, Ambuj Bhushan Jha, Rama Sh... and a link to 'Show Affiliations'.

From the article details page, an alert will appear when an editor responds to an invitation to handle or review the manuscript. Hovering over the label will **always** display a message to inform editorial teams that any comments **may** be published alongside the manuscript, agnostic of the author's preference in the case of optional TPR journals.



Chief Editor Cassiano Felipe Gonçalves-de-Albuquerque Academic Editor: Ayodele Temidayo Johnny Submitted on: 13 Mar 2022 (11 days)

> Abstract Your recommendation/decision and comments might be published alongside the manuscript and peer reviews. Please ensure your language is:

- Professional and constructive
- Free from personal remarks

> Author Dec

> Files

— Your Editorial Decision

! This manuscript offers Transparent Peer Review. ? About Publication Criteria

Decision * ?

Placeholder

Respond to Invitation

! This manuscript offers Transparent Peer Review. ?

Decision * ?

Accept

! Best practice is to follow the Wiley Code of Conduct

Comments to production

Enter comments to the editor

Your recommendation/decision and comments might be published alongside the manuscript and peer reviews. Please ensure your language is:

- Professional and constructive
- Free from personal remarks
- Appropriate for public viewing
- Clear in explaining the rationale behind your decision

While maintaining thoroughness, consider how your comments will be perceived in an open scientific discourse.

An alert also appears for editors or admins before making a decision or recommendation. Hovering over the label will **always** display a message to inform them that any comments **may** be published alongside the manuscript, agnostic of the author's preference in the case of optional TPR journals.

The production team will also receive the relevant TPR information from the Review module post-acceptance.


For **Reviewers** in the Review module;

Reviewers are first notified that a journal uses TPR in the Invitation letter. The information about TPR will appear in every invitation letter, including invitations to review a revised manuscript and invitations sent to declined reviewers.

Transparent Peer Review:


This manuscript is being reviewed under the Transparent Peer Review model. Your report might be published alongside the manuscript if it is accepted for publication. You will have the choice to display your name alongside your report or remain anonymous.

From the dashboard, the manuscript will have a 'Transparent Peer Review' label. Hovering over the label will display an explanation of TPR.




The screenshot shows the Wiley dashboard interface. On the left, there is a sidebar with filters for 'Action required' (25), 'In progress' (5), 'Finalized' (36), and 'All' (66). Below these are 'Status' and 'Sort by' dropdowns. The main content area displays a list of manuscripts. The first manuscript, ID 5694305, is titled 'A Key Major Fundamental Model Confirmed by Both Direct and Indirect Evidence' by Richard Bennet. It has a 'TRANSPARENT PEER REVIEW' label. A tooltip explains that transparent peer review is a publishing model that increases openness in the scientific review process by making elements of peer review visible to readers. The manuscript is assigned to Academic Editor Cambria Whitfield and was submitted on 15 Feb 2023. The journal is 'Abstract and Applied Analysis'.

From the article details page, an alert will appear when a reviewer responds to an invitation to review the manuscript. Hovering over the label will **always** display a message to inform them that reports **may** be published alongside the manuscript, agnostic of the author's preference in the case of optional TPR journals.



When the invitation is accepted, two questions related to TPR are displayed in the “Your Report” section, with the TPR alert still displayed for reference. The reviewer needs to answer both questions before submitting their report. If the reviewer attempts to submit without answering, the error message ‘Please specify your options’ will appear next to each unanswered question.



For manuscripts that have been brought across from previous Electronic Editorial Offices;

Reviews on previous versions of the manuscript will be brought across into Research Exchange. These reviews will be assumed ‘anonymous’ by default.

Future Enhancements

1. TPR preference lock at revision stage

Authors can now retrieve their initial Transparent Peer Review (TPR) decision at the manuscript revision stage. A future enhancement will prevent authors from changing their TPR preference during revision, aligning with best practice and enabling clearer communication to editors and reviewers about the manuscript’s review model.

2. Reviewer identity

Reviews from previous manuscript versions will be carried forward into Research Exchange Review and assumed ‘anonymous’ by default. A future enhancement will enable the system to retrieve reviewer identity details from prior EEO records—allowing reviewers’ identity to be published alongside their comments, provided they originally consented and the author selected TPR at submission.

3. Wiley Online Library integration for TPR

Transparent Peer Review is currently available for all journals on Research Exchange. Integration with Wiley Online Library is in progress. If a manuscript has TPR selected and confirmed by the author, but the TPR artefact does not appear on the WOL article page after online publication, it will be added retrospectively once the integration is complete.

Aug-2025: Inform the reviewer that a manuscript has been accepted

Available from	18-Aug-2025
Impacted Module	Research Exchange Review
Impacted Roles	Reviewers
Overview	A reviewer is informed via email when a manuscript they reviewed has been accepted.

The platform already notifies Reviewers via email when a manuscript they have reviewed has been rejected. With this enhancement, the system now emails the reviewer when a manuscript they have reviewed has been accepted. This applies to;

- Reviewers who reviewed any version of the manuscript. They will be informed by email only.
- Reviewers who reviewed the final accepted version of the manuscript. They will be informed by email and see the final outcome on their dashboard.

In double-anonymous peer review workflows, where neither the reviewer nor the author is aware of the other's identity, author names are not included in the email. The email is recorded in the Activity Log, so all journal staff with access to that manuscript will know that the reviewer(s) have been informed.

Aug-2025: Specialized Reviewers

Available from	12-Aug-2025
Impacted Module	Research Exchange Review
Impacted Roles	Editors, Reviewers, Journal admins
Overview	This release introduces the Specialized Reviewers feature, enabling journals to maintain dedicated pools of reviewers with specific expertise areas. This enhancement addresses the need for journals to have easy access to reviewers who focus on particular aspects of manuscripts (such as statistics or methodology) separate from the regular review process.

Key Features

- **Journal-level reviewer management:** Tenant Admins can add and manage specialized reviewers with custom role definitions
- **Dedicated reviewer discovery:** Editors can access specialized reviewers through a distinct tab in the reviewer finder
- **Role-based reporting:** Clear distinction between full manuscript reviews and specialized reviews in report counting
- **Enhanced invitation process:** Specialized reviewers receive tailored invitation emails indicating their specific review scope

UI Updates

- New specialized reviewers tab added to reviewer finder interface
- Updated messaging in search tab when no reviewers are found
- Minimum height adjustments implemented for improved tab display
- Enhanced report counting displays to distinguish between review types

Availability

- Available for all journals
- Accessible to editors via a new fourth tab in the reviewer finder interface

Not included in this release

- **Bulk upload functionality:** The ability to upload reviewer records in batch is not included in this phase and will be added in a future release
- **Journal managers maintenance permissions:** Journal managers cannot maintain or update the specialized reviewer lists - this remains restricted to Tenant Admins
- **Editorial board member inclusion:** Editorial board members/journal editors will not be shown in the specialized reviewer tab
- **Journal Management-level management** of members of a Specialist Reviewer

- **Profile drawer** – currently we will not show the specialized reviewer profile, as with the other reviewers surfaced in suggestions or search.
- **Count tag** - display a tag beside each invited reviewer indicating if their review counts towards the minimum required for a manuscript decision.
- **Specialized Reviewer Tag** – When a specialized reviewer appears in reviewer recommendation tabs, such as suggestions or search, display a specific tag on the reviewer's card to indicate their reviewer type.
- **Keywords** – show the most relevant keywords for the reviewer, given the context of the manuscript; these are sourced automatically from the publishing knowledge graph.

Administrative Setup Required

- Managed through the journal management UI by ESOP (Tenant Admins)
- Tenant Admins must configure specialized reviewer roles and labels through the journal management UI
 - Only Tenant Admin can add the established role and labels to individuals
- Custom reviewer role definitions need to be established before reviewers can be categorized
- Minimum review requirements configuration must be updated to specify which reviewer types count toward required reports

Process Changes

- Email templates that invite specialized reviewers to review a manuscript include the specialized reviewer designation information to make clear they have been invited in this capacity
- Review workflow modified to accommodate role-based review assignments

Aug-2025: Re-invite Declined Reviewer

Available from	12-Aug-2025
Impacted Module	Research Exchange Review
Impacted Roles	Editors Editorial Assistants/Journal Admins/Managing Editors Journal Managers
Overview	The individual overseeing the peer review process for a manuscript may re-invite a potential peer reviewer to assess a manuscript after the reviewer has declined. This procedure applies to any version of the manuscript, including both initial submissions and revisions that were previously declined by the reviewer.

On the Reviewer tile, there will be an option to re-invite a potential Peer Reviewer who previously declined the invitation to review the manuscript.

- If the journal uses a Reviewer Queue, the option will be to “Re-queue reviewer”
- If the journal does not use a Reviewer Queue, the option will be to “Re-invite reviewer”

Queue journals



EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBER

REVIEWED PREVIOUS VERSION

Cassiano Felipe Gonçalves-De-Albuquerque

Maharashtra Institute of Technology - Art, Design and Technology University, Pune

c.f.sealbuquerque@nut.adtu.edu

Invited: May 23, 2023

Declined: May 27, 2023

DECLINED

⋮

Re-queue reviewer

Non-queue journals



EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBER

REVIEWED PREVIOUS VERSION

Cassiano Felipe Gonçalves-De-Albuquerque

Maharashtra Institute of Technology - Art, Design and Technology University, Pune

c.f.sealbuquerque@nut.adtu.edu

Invited: May 23, 2023

Declined: May 27, 2023

DECLINED

⋮

Re-invite reviewer

When selected to either re-queue or re-invite the reviewer, a confirmation pop-up will appear:

WILEY

DASHBOARD / ARTICLE DETAILS

Updated on 2017-03-13 Version 1

Reactive Oxygen Species, Oxidative Damage, and Antioxidative Defense Mechanism in Plants under Stressful Conditions Using Multivariate Geographically Weighted Regression Model

Private Reviewing ID 5694305

Pallavi Sharma¹, Ambuj Bhushan Jha², Rama Shanker Dubey¹, Mohammad Pessarakli³, Rama Shanker Dubey¹, Mohammad Pessarakli³ + Show Affiliations

Article Type: Review Article
Section: Current Contents - Physical, Chemical and Earth Sciences
Journal: Canadian Journal of Infectious Diseases and Medical Microbiology
Special Issue: GENOMIC
Advanced PHWR Safety Technology: PHWR Challenging Issues for Safe Operation

Lead Guest Editor: Eric Manson
Guest Editor: Steve Jefferson
Submitted on 2017-03-13 (1 day ago)

Abstract
Author Declaration
Files

Reviewer Invitation

Suggested Reviewers
Search Reviewers
Add Reviewer Manually

Update results with custom keywords
Enter custom keywords
Show reviewers associated with this journal only
Update Results
Reset All
60 results based on: default suggestions

Score
Reviewer information
Reviewer activity

Catherine Bloomer
University of Oxford, Oxford
c.bloomer@ox.ac.uk

Currently reviewing: 0
Pending invitations: 0
Completed reviews: 2

Invite Reviewer

Re-queue reviewer

Vipul Khatri has already been invited to review this manuscript and they declined the invitation.

Are you sure you want to re-queue this reviewer?

CANCEL QUEUE AGAIN


Guest Editor: Steve Jefferson

Re-queue reviewer

Vipul Khatri has already been invited to review this manuscript and they declined the invitation.

Are you sure you want to re-queue this reviewer?

CANCEL QUEUE AGAIN



The options will be to choose to “Queue Again”/“Invite Again” or “Cancel” the re-invitation.

“Invite Again”

- If the journal doesn't have the Reviewer Queue enabled, and the option is “Invite Again”, by pressing the [INVITE AGAIN] green button, the user will be presented with the preview of the invitation email (where they may edit the editable sections), and confirm.
 - The invitation email will be sent to the potential reviewer again, and they will have the opportunity to answer to the invitation and potentially accept.
 - The status of the invitation will change on the invitation card from 'DECLINED' to 'PENDING RESPONSE' and the Invitation date will be updated. (Note: There is an enhancement task to display 2 Invitation dates on the card; 'INVITED' and 'RE-INVITED' but for now we will just update the original invitation date)

“Queue Again”

- If the journal is one with the Reviewer Queue enabled, the option is “Queue Again”.
 - The respective potential reviewer will appear as a card in the 'Invitation log' - the 'Queued reviewers' section, at the bottom of the queue.
 - The user may reorder the card in the queue, to change the order in which the system will invite based on its logic, or they may invite the reviewer directly from the queue.
 - If the user chooses to invite the reviewer directly from the queue, the reviewer will be moved out of the queue and transition to the 'Invited Reviewers' section of the log.

Please Note: If a Handling editor has made a recommendation to a decision-making editor, the option to re-queue or re-invite will NOT be available. The Triage Editor must return the manuscript to Handling/Academic Editor (i.e., send back to continue peer review), then the option will reappear.

Jul-2025: Scope Match tool enhancement

Available from	Jul-2025
Impacted Module	Research Exchange Screening
Impacted Roles	Editorial Assistants/Journal Admins/Managing Editors
Overview	The tool now checks manuscript submissions to special issues. The aim is to improve accuracy of special issue submission relevance assessment and reduce the manual scope review time. It uses the Special Issue's call for papers information to assess the scope.

Jul-2025: Triage and Lead Guest Editors can be reassigned to manuscripts

Available from	Jul-2025
Impacted Module	Research Exchange Review
Impacted Roles	Editorial Assistants/Journal Admins/Managing Editors Journal Managers
Overview	Previously if a TE or LGE was removed from a manuscript the system would not allow reassignment. The system has been updated so that reassignment of previous TE or LGE is possible.

Jul-2025: Academic and Guest Editor comments automatically included in the decision letter

Available from	Jul-2025
Impacted Module	Research Exchange Review
Impacted Roles	Editorial Assistants/Journal Admins/Managing Editors Editors Journal Managers
Overview	Decision-making editors will no longer have to copy and paste AE and GE message to the author into the decision letter template. They will be able to edit the comments or remove them as they see fit.

Jul-2025: Suggested reviewers can be filtered by keywords

Available from	Jul-2025
Impacted Module	Research Exchange Review
Impacted Roles	Editorial Assistants/Journal Admins/Managing Editors Editors Journal Managers
Overview	The list of suggested reviewers can be filtered further by selecting keywords to refine the search. The keywords will be matched to the keywords on the reviewer's profile. Editorial teams can then filter even further by limiting the search to reviewers already associated with the journal.

1

Suggested Reviewers

Search Reviewers

Invite Reviewer Manually

About Reviewer Suggestions

Update results with custom keywords ?

Enter custom keywords

☒ Show reviewers associated with this journal only.

Update resultsReset All92 results based on: default suggestions

Score ?

Reviewer information

Performance statistics ?

65%

EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBER

Name

Affiliation

email@email.com

Currently reviewing: 0
Pending invitations: 0
Completed reviews: 3

Invite reviewer

1

Suggested Reviewers

Search Reviewers

Invite Reviewer Manually

About Reviewer Suggestions

Update results with custom keywords ?

computer science

☒ Show reviewers associated with this journal only.

Update resultsReset All92 results based on: computer science

Score ?

Reviewer information

Performance statistics ?

65%

EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBER

Name

Affiliation


email@email.com

Currently reviewing: 0
Pending invitations: 0
Completed reviews: 3

Invite reviewer

Jul-2025: Reviewer label identifying active board members

Available from	Jul-2025
Impacted Module	Research Exchange Review
Impacted Roles	Editorial Assistants/Journal Admins/Managing Editors Editors Journal Managers
Overview	When a reviewer is an active member of the journal's editorial board and holds the role of Triage Editor, Academic Editor, or Editorial Assistant in the system, a label will display when their name appears in the suggested reviewer or search reviewer lists.



The screenshot shows the 'Suggested Reviewers' interface. At the top, there are tabs for 'Suggested Reviewers', 'Search Reviewers', and 'Invite Reviewer Manually'. Below the tabs, there is a search bar and a checkbox for 'Show reviewers associated with this journal only'. The 'Update results' button is highlighted. Below the search bar, there is a section for 'Reviewer information' which includes a circular progress indicator showing 65% and a red box highlighting the 'EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBER' label next to the reviewer's name. To the right, there is a section for 'Performance statistics' showing 'Currently reviewing: 0', 'Pending invitations: 0', and 'Completed reviews: 3'. An 'Invite reviewer' button is also visible.

Jul-2025: Editors can upload files with their decision letter

Available from	Jul-2025
Impacted Module	Research Exchange Review
Impacted Roles	Editorial Assistants/Journal Admins/Managing Editors Editors Journal Managers
Overview	Decision-making editors have the option to attach a file to the decision letter. The platform will scan the file for viruses on upload and a link to the file will be included in the decision letter for the author to access.

[+ Upload File For Author](#)

[Preview Decision Email](#)

Jul-2025: Transparent Peer Review Label

Available from	July-2025
Impacted Module	Research Exchange Screening and Review
Impacted Roles	Editors Editorial Assistants/Journal Admins/Managing Editors Journal Managers
Overview	If Transparent Peer Review (TPR) is enabled for a journal, there will be a label on the manuscript.

A ‘Transparent Peer Review’ label will be visible on the manuscript if transparent peer review is enabled for the journal. When hovering over the label, more information about transparent peer review is available.

Manuscript Information [See Manuscript In Review](#)

Manuscript ID	3394125	TRANSPARENT PEER REVIEW
Manuscript title	A Key Major Indirect Evidence	
Submitted On	23 Nov 2022	
Journal Name	BioMed Research Online	
Peer Review Model	Single-Anonymous	
Issue & Article Type	Regular Issue	

Transparent peer review is a publishing model that increases openness in the scientific review process by making elements of peer review visible to readers.

The decision and comments are published alongside the manuscript and peer reviews.

Jun-2025: Silent Request for updated Files

Available from	Jun-2025
Impacted Module	Research Exchange Screening
Impacted Roles	Editorial Assistants/Journal Admins/Managing Editors
Overview	To support workflows where updated files need to be requested without informing the author (e.g., post-copyediting adjustments), a checkbox labeled "Do not notify author" has been added to the Request Files decision form. When selected, the request will be processed internally without triggering an email or notification to the author.

Request Files

Notification preference

☐ Do not notify author (internal request only, author will not be informed)

When unchecked, an email with the selected reasons will be composed and sent to the author.

Jun-2025: Journal name filter added to editor dashboard

Available from	Jun-2025
Impacted Module	Research Exchange Review
Impacted Roles	Editors
Overview	A new filter has been added to all editor dashboards to allow filtering by a specific journal.

WILEY

Search

Filters

Journal

All

Scope

My assigned manuscripts376

All manuscripts432

Priority

Action required72

In progress210

Finalized94

All376

Status

All

Action required

Journal

All

ID 6464474

Manuscript title

Author name

Article Type: Research Article

Academic Editor: Unassigned

Reviewer Reports: 0 Invited

Journal: Journal Name

Submitted on: 11 Jan 2024 (1 month ago)

ASSIGN AE V1

ID 9322878

Manuscript title

Author name

Article Type: Review Article

Academic Editor: Unassigned

Reviewer Reports: 0 Invited

Journal: Journal Name

Submitted on: 28 Nov 2023 (3 months ago)

ASSIGN AE V1

Sort by

Last update (oldest first)

Jun-2025: Continuous Medical Education (CME) credit supported for reviewers

Available from	Jun-2025
Impacted Module	Research Exchange Review
Impacted Roles	Reviewers
Overview	Wiley issues certificates to reviewers who submit reports to CME journals, in accordance with ACCME and AMA criteria. You have the option to receive a certificate by completing a short questionnaire about how reviewing a manuscript contributed to your education in the field.

Jun-2025: New filters on the author invitation log in Commission

Available from	Jun-2025
Impacted Module	Research Exchange Review
Impacted Roles	Editors Editorial Assistants/Journal Admins/Managing Editors Journal Managers
Overview	A new search bar now allows users to quickly locate authors by entering their name or email address. Additionally, an invitation status filter has been introduced to display the number of invitations at each stage. This filter also enables users to take action directly from the list, streamlining the invitation management process.

Once invitations have been sent, the **Invitation Log** will be updated. To help find and manage invitations users can:

- 1. Use the **search bar** to find a specific author by name or email
- 2. Filter by invitation **status** to see the number of invitations with each status

Invitation log (27 authors)

Author

Search by author name or email

Q

OR

Status

All (27)

▼

All (27)

Article submitted (0)

Article overdue (0)

Accepted (0)

Pending response (26)

Declined (0)

Withdrawn (0)

Response overdue (1)

Author Name

Author Email

Author Name

Author Email

Author Name

Author Email

Jun-2025: Decision-making editors can decide on Table of Contents

Available from	Jun-2025
Impacted Module	Research Exchange Review
Impacted Roles	Editors Editorial Assistants/Journal Admins/Managing Editors Journal Managers
Overview	A new dedicated text box for editors making an Accept decision to provide the production team with notes for organizing the table of contents.


Table of Contents (?)

Enter table of contents comments

 Not visible to authors and reviewers.

Jun-2025: Decision-making editors can edit reviewer comments in decision email

Available from	Jun-2025
Impacted Module	Research Exchange Review
Impacted Roles	Editors Editorial Assistants/Journal Admins/Managing Editors Journal Managers
Overview	When a decision-making editor previews the decision email template, the review module automatically pulls in any typed reviewer comments and a link to any files the reviewer has uploaded. Anything that was typed by the reviewer can be edited, anything in the file that was uploaded cannot.



May-2025: New email templates for re-invited reviewers

Available from	May-2025
Impacted Module	Research Exchange Review
Impacted Roles	Editors Editorial Assistants/Journal Admins/Managing Editors Journal Managers
Overview	Two templates have been deployed to ensure that when a reviewer is re-invited to review a manuscript, the text of the email clearly states that they are reviewing a revised manuscript. This is an improvement to increase the likelihood of the invite being accepted. One template is specific to double anonymous journals and does not include the author name.

May-2025: Author-led manuscript linking

Available from	May-2025
Impacted Module	Research Exchange Submission
Impacted Roles	Authors
Overview	There are cases where it's important to be able to track two or more papers simultaneously through the peer review process and to the point of export to production. In the Submission module, the author can link their submission to a published paper.


May-2025: Authorship changes are now identified

Available from	May-2025
Impacted Module	Research Exchange Screening
Impacted Roles	Editorial Assistants/Journal Admins/Managing Editors
Overview	When a submission returns to the Screening module for revision checks, the platform identifies and displays any changes to authorship between versions. This helps better understand submission updates and spot potential misconduct.

These changes will be displayed in the **Authorship Changes** tab in the **Author Identity Verification** section. For each version of the manuscript, it will identify the following:

- Number of authors added, the reason for the authorship change, their first name, last name, and email address
- Number of authors removed, the reason for the authorship change, their first name, last name, and email address

Details such as country, affiliation, and ORCID ID will be listed in the Current Authors tab, where their identity can be verified against the Research Exchange database following the author identity verification instructions in the Screening guide.



The screenshot displays the Wiley Manuscript ID: 1092123 interface. The top navigation bar includes the Wiley logo, the manuscript ID, a search icon, and a user profile icon (EA T.). The main navigation sidebar on the left shows 'Manuscripts' and 'Archived Manuscripts'. The top navigation tabs are 'Pre-screening Checks', 'Info Validation', 'Author Identity Verification', 'Manuscript Info', and 'Activity & Messages'. The 'Author Identity Verification' tab is active, and the 'Authorship Changes' sub-tab is selected, indicated by an orange border. The 'Current Authors' tab shows 3 authors, while the 'Authorship Changes' tab shows 0 changes. The 'Version 1' section is expanded, showing a yellow warning box with the message: 'Authorship changes reason: Change in research participation during manuscript development.' Below this, there are two sections: 'ADDED • 2 author(s)' and 'REMOVED • 2 author(s)'. The 'ADDED' section lists two authors: 'First Name: Author Last Name: Two' with email 'name2@email.com', and 'First Name: Author Last Name: Three' with email 'name3@email.com'. The 'REMOVED' section lists two authors: 'First Name: Annie' with last name 'Author' and email 'name4@email.com'.

Apr-2025: Bulk Invitations can be sent for commissions

Available from	Apr-2025
Impacted Module	Research Exchange Commission
Impacted Roles	Editors Editorial Assistants/Journal Admins/Managing Editors Journal Managers
Overview	As opposed to inviting authors one by one, an editorial board member or an administrative member can now opt to send invitations to authors in bulk. This new feature will simplify the process, save time, and enhance the accuracy and coordination of the invited workflow.

From the Commission details page, navigate to the Author invitation section and click the bulk invitation icon.

DASHBOARD / COMMISSION DETAILS

Edit Commission

Close Commission

Commission ID: 1000007

DRAFT

Commission Name (for Internal use only)

Commissioning Editor
Training Chief

Preliminary title
Article Title (visible to authors and can be changed)

Response to invitation due date
17 Dec 2024

Article type
Research Article

Manuscript submission due date
02 Jan 2025

Journal: Training Journal 1

Created: 03 Dec 2024 Updated: 03 Dec 2024

Author Invitation

Title

First name *

Last name *

Email *

☐ Customise invitation ?

Invite Author

Clear

Invitation log (0)

About invitation log



No authors invited yet.
Send individual invitations or upload the author list.

There will be a template to download, complete, and upload into the platform. Full instructions can be found in the [Research Exchange Commission guide](#).

Apr-2025: Commission invitation due dates can be set for each individual

Available from	Apr-2025
Impacted Module	Research Exchange Commission
Impacted Roles	Editors Editorial Assistants/Journal Admins/Managing Editors Journal Managers
Overview	When a commission is created, the creator is asked to set the invitation due date and article submission date for every author invited. Now, editors and admin can send invitations with customized due dates.

From the Commission details page, navigate to the Author invitation section and click the Customize invitation checkbox. The invitation can be customized with different invitation due date, submission due date, article type, and preliminary article title to the standard settings for that commission.

Author Invitation

Title

First name *

Last name *

Email *

Dr.

Peer

Reviewer

researchexchangetraining+reviewertwo@wiley.co

Customise invitation ?

Response to invitation due date: * ?

Manuscript submission due date: * ?

2025-05-01

2026-03-01

Article type * ?

Research Article

Preliminary article title ?

Preliminary article title

25 / 300

Invite Author

Clear

Full instructions can be found in the [Research Exchange Commission guide](#).

Sam Parker - Admin

X

 ORCID
  Semantic Scholar
Score  Current affiliation

0.58 Hindawi (United Kingdom), London

Keywords 

Mathematics, Data science, Environmental health, Operating system, Cancer, Medicine, World Wide Web, Pathology, Psychiatry, Psychology, Biology, Law, Health care, Computer science, Machine learning, Political science, Public health, Population, Nursing, Disease, Artificial intelligence, Medical education, Philosophy, Engineering, Economics, Business, Family medicine, Economic growth, Medical emergency, Internal medicine

Engagement	Reviewed Manuscripts (0)	Published Articles (175)	Retractions (0)
 The following metrics & titles are based on data from our own publishing platform.			
CURRENTLY REVIEWING 1 manuscript(s)		LATEST REVIEW REPORT No report	

Apr-2025: Enhanced information for reviewers found via search

Available from	Apr-2025
Impacted Module	Research Exchange Review
Impacted Roles	Editors Editorial Assistants/Journal Admins/Managing Editors Journal Managers
Overview	In the Review Module, the 'Reviewer Invitation' section now offers enhanced information and metrics when clicking a reviewer's name under the reviewer search tab.

In the Review module when a reviewer's name is selected from the 'Reviewer Search' tab, a pop-out draw of information will appear, specific to that user. The following has been added:

- Affiliation and keywords generated from their publication history
- A link to their ORCID profile (if linked)
- Engagement and performance metrics based on their activity in Research Exchange
- Metrics on currently reviewing and reviewed manuscripts, including:
 - How many manuscripts they are currently reviewing for the journal
 - How many manuscripts they have previously reviewed for the journal
 - A count of manuscripts reviewed for other journals
- Metrics related to their publication history and links to published articles
- Retracted papers that they have authored
 - Papers the reviewer has authored that have been retracted

Mar-2025: Decision-making Editors can now make any decision after a recommendation

Available from	Mar-2025
Impacted Module	Research Exchange Review
Impacted Roles	Editors Editorial Assistants/Journal Admins/Managing Editors Journal Managers
Overview	In the Review module, decision-making editors now have the authority to make any decision regardless of the Academic Editor's recommendations. The only instance in which a decision-making editor needs to return the manuscript to the Academic Editor is if further peer review is deemed necessary. This applies to manuscripts still with the Academic Editor awaiting a recommendation and not to those already awaiting a decision from the decision-making editor.

Mar-2025: Enhanced 'Activity Logs and Emails' section

Available from	Mar-2025
Impacted Module	Research Exchange Review
Impacted Roles	Editors Editorial Assistants/Journal Admins/Managing Editors Journal Managers
Overview	The Activity Logs and Emails section in the review module has been updated. This now includes "From" and "To" labels that show the names of the individuals involved. When hovered over, names will display email addresses and a convenient button to copy the email address of the individual. If there are multiple emails of the same type (for example, reviewer reminders), they will be grouped into an accordion format. The email preview now includes a header, displaying the title, and the "From" and "To" fields along with the list of senders/recipients. At the top right of the Activity Log, you can now search by name, email, or subject line, and the list will filter based on your query. There is a new filtering system at the top left, allowing for filtering by role within the "To" and "From" categories. Additionally, each entry/email will be grouped under the version number to help distinguish similar emails for different versions of the manuscript.

Activity Logs and Emails

Filter
From: Filter by role
To: Filter by role
☐ Show logs with emails only

Search by Subject line, Name or Email address

Manuscript published
From: System To: EA Training EA
March 27, 2025 at 13:14 (1 hour ago)

Decision to accept
From: EA Training EA To: AE Training AE
March 27, 2025 at 13:14 (1 hour ago)

First automatic reminder to make decision or recommendation
From: System To: AE Training AE
March 26, 2025 at 13:37 (1 day ago)

Research Exchange Reviewer REVIEWER1 submitted a report
March 26, 2025 at 13:37 (1 day ago)

Research Exchange Reviewer REVIEWER1 accepted invitation to review
March 26, 2025 at 13:36 (1 day ago)

Invitation to review
From: AE Training AE To: Research Exchange Reviewer REVIEWER1
March 26, 2025 at 13:36 (1 day ago)

Invite reviewers
From: EA Training EA To: AE Training AE
March 26, 2025 at 13:35 (1 day ago)

Editor accepted invitation to work on the manuscript
From: EA Training EA To: Training Chief ASSE
March 26, 2025 at 13:35 (1 day ago)

1009632: Manuscript decision finalized
From : System
To : EA Training <researchexchangetraining+ea@wiley.com> EA

WILEY

Dear Mr. Training

The manuscript titled "AGEC_Demo Manuscript-Anupama_27th March xxxa)" by Annie Author has been accepted for publication by AE Training.

To review this decision, please visit the manuscript details page

MANUSCRIPT DETAILS

Kind regards,
Training Journal 2

Mar-2025: Enhanced Reviewer Suggestion information and metrics

Available from	Mar-2025
Impacted Module	Research Exchange Review
Impacted Roles	Editors Editorial Assistants/Journal Admins/Managing Editors Journal Managers
Overview	In the Review Module, the 'Reviewer Invitation' section now offers enhanced information and metrics when clicking a reviewer's name under the reviewer suggestions tab.

In the Review module when a reviewer's name is selected from the 'Reviewer Suggestion' tab, a pop-out draw of information will appear, specific to that user. The following has been added:

- Reviewed manuscripts tab shows:
 - Metrics relating to how many manuscripts the reviewer is currently working on, total number of reviewed manuscripts, and when they last submitted a review report
 - How many manuscripts they are currently reviewing for the journal
 - How many manuscripts they have previously reviewed for the journal
 - A count of manuscripts reviewed for other journals
- Retractions tab shows:
 - Papers the reviewer has authored that have been retracted

Engagement
Reviewed Manuscripts (50)
Published Articles (231)
Retractions (0)

The following metrics & titles are based on data from our own publishing platform.

CURRENTLY REVIEWING
1

REVIEWED MANUSCRIPTS
50

LATEST REVIEWER REPORT
2 day(s) ago

Currently Reviewing in this Journal (2)

Demo Manuscript-03172024-TJ2 (2 TIER AE WolperB)
Annie Author
In progress | Training Journal 2

Demo Manuscript_CO (B)
John Wiley, Peter Wiley
In progress | Training Journal 2

Previous Reviewed in this Journal (1)

This is the Title of my Manuscript_DRS_ECO Demo (A)
Annie Author
Publish decision made November 2024 | Training Journal 2

Reviewed in Other Journals (49)

Research Exchange training journal 1 (1)

Mar-2025: Reviewers can submit reports after the submission deadline has passed

Available from	Mar-2025
Impacted Module	Research Exchange Review
Impacted Roles	Editors Editorial Assistants/Journal Admins/Managing Editors Journal Managers Reviewers
Overview	If a reviewer accepts the invitation, they will not be automatically removed from the manuscript past the due date. They will only be removed when a decision is made on that manuscript and they have not yet submitted their review. Once a decision has been made, an email indicating 'your review is no longer needed' will be sent to any reviewers who have not yet submitted a report.

Feb-2025: Automatically list previous reviewers on revised manuscripts

Available from	Feb-2025
Impacted Module	Research Exchange Review
Impacted Roles	Editors Editorial Assistants/Journal Admins/Managing Editors Journal Managers
Overview	Editorial staff can easily identify and re-invite reviewers who submitted reports on previous versions of a manuscript in Research Exchange Review, streamlining the review process without toggling between versions. This is now available on all revisions currently in the platform.

On the article details page of the manuscript, a new **Previous Version Reviewers** tab can be found under

Reviewer Invitation

0 of 1 Required reports submitted0 Invited0 Agreed0 Pending0 Declined0 Expired

Previous Version Reviewers (1)

Suggested Reviewers(35)

Search Reviewers

Invite Reviewer Manually

About Previous Version Reviewers

Reviewer information

Reviewer activity

56%

REVIEWED PREVIOUS VERSION

Reviewer Name

Affiliation

Email Address

Currently reviewing: 1

Pending invitations: 3

Completed reviews: 18

Invite reviewer

the 'Reviewer Invitation' section:

Feb-2025: Reviewer PDF

Available from	Feb-2025
Impacted Module	Research Exchange Submission, Screening, Review
Impacted Roles	Editors Editorial Assistants/Journal Admins/Managing Editors Authors Journal Managers Reviewers
Overview	Research Exchange creates a composite PDF of all author-submitted files required for peer review. Instead of having to download individual manuscript files in a variety of formats, users can download a single PDF. Authors can choose to generate this at submission, or the platform will automatically generate it after submission. PDF generation can take several minutes, depending on the number/size of files in the submission. A spinner is shown while the PDF is being compiled.

Author view in the Submission module:

Complete my submission

You're about to send your submission to the journal for review. After you complete submission, you will not be able to make changes unless your manuscript is returned by the editorial office.

Build Reviewer PDF

The Reviewer PDF combines your manuscript and submission files into one file. This PDF is used by the editorial office and during peer review to assess your submission. You have the option to view the Reviewer PDF before it's sent to the editorial office, but it's not required to complete submission. Building the Reviewer PDF can take up to 10 minutes, depending on the number and size of your files.

[Build Reviewer PDF](#)

Editor and admin view in the Review module:

Files 7

Reviewer PDF

54f760a4-9c03-4768-9629-c7ab5693c024.pdf
297.09 KB

Title page

Title Page - Test.docx
26.51 KB

Anonymized main document - MS Word

Manuscript Title - Test.docx
60.74 KB

Supplementary material for review and publication

Supplementary Materials 1 - Test.docx
25.79 KB

Supplementary Materials 2 - Test.docx
25.79 KB

For any issues with the pdf creation, authors are advised to contact submissionhelp@wiley.com

Feb-2025: Editors can edit review comments in the decision letter preview

Available from	Feb-2025
Impacted Module	Research Exchange Review
Impacted Roles	Editors Editorial Assistants/Journal Admins/Managing Editors Journal Managers
Overview	In the Review module, editors can now edit reviewer comments in the decision letter preview, where it is needed to edit out sensitive or inappropriate comments. The edited comments will be sent to the author. Original comments will still be retained in the platform.

Editor and admin view in the Review module:

Editorial Decision: Accept

Training Journal 2

P.S. – You can help your research get the attention it deserves! [Wiley Editing Services](#) offers professional video abstract and infographic creation to help you promote your research. You can also read Wiley's [free Promotion Guide](#) for best-practices on effectively promoting your work.

Reviewer comments:

“

Reviewer 1 Comments to the Author

I've attached my report file. I had a few concerns. I think it needs X, Y, and Z in order to have a clearer and more progressive argumentative structure. For example....

[Download the report file.](#)

This email was sent to the recipient by John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
111 River Street, Hoboken, NJ 07030 USA. 877-762-2974.
For more information, please see our [privacy policy](#).

The Accept decision is final.

Revert to default template

Cancel

Send Decision Email

For any issues with this feature, editors and admins are advised to contact reviewhelp@wiley.com

Feb-2025: Editors can preview decision emails before sending

Available from	Feb-2025
Impacted Module	Research Exchange Review
Impacted Roles	Editors Editorial Assistants/Journal Admins/Managing Editors Journal Managers
Overview	In the Review module, decision-making Editors will now see email previews for all decisions. Once a decision has been made, anyone with access to that manuscript can click ‘View decision/recommendation letter’ under the ‘Editorial Components’ heading to see a preview of the sent email.

Editor and admin view in the Review module:

Your Editorial Decision

i

Research accepted for publication in the journal must be:

- Ethically sound;
- Scientifically valid;
- Technically accurate in its methods and results;
- In scope;
- Representative of a specific advance, or replication, or null/negative result, which adds to the literature of the field;
- As reproducible as possible.
- Journals that are not categorized as sound science may also consider novelty or perceived impact/interest when making a decision.

All manuscripts require independent reviewer report(s) that provide constructive, robust feedback relating to the science and research presented. Further reviewers should be invited if the current report(s) are not sufficient.

Decision * ?

Accept

Best practice is to accept a paper with a minimum of 2 independent reviewer reports (Wiley Code of Conduct)

Comment To Production

Not visible to authors and reviewers.

Preview Decision Email

Jan-2025: Enhanced Activity Log shows all emails sent during the lifecycle of a manuscript


Available from	Jan-2025
Impacted Module	Research Exchange Review
Impacted Roles	Editors

	Editorial Assistants/Journal Admins/Managing Editors Journal Managers
Overview	In the Review module, the Activity Log on each manuscript’s article details page will now show all the emails sent during the lifecycle of the manuscript during the peer review process.

Editor and admin view in the Review module:

2024

Dec-2024: Reviewers can upload files as part of their review



Available from	Dec-2024
Impacted Module	Research Exchange Review
Impacted Roles	Reviewers All Editorial Board Members
Overview	In the Review module, reviewers can now upload a file as part of their review, in addition to providing text input and answering custom questions. All editorial board members will have access to these uploaded files and can download them for review. For authors, the decision email they receive will include the reviewer comments and a

Research-Exchange_FAQs.pdf 404.91 KB Scanning for viruses

i Your report and recommendation will be visible to the other reviewers involved once a decision is taken.

Research-Exchange_FAQs.pdf 404.91 KB Uploaded   

i Your report and recommendation will be visible to the other reviewers involved once a decision is taken.

link to download the reviewer files. Each file is protected with our Connect-based authorization, ensuring that only authors whose Connect email address matches the one on the manuscript can download the files, preventing unauthorized access.

1. Underneath the 'Your Report' text box, click **Upload Report File**. You will be prompted to upload one document. Research Exchange Review accepts Word and pdf files. The file and the metadata will be anonymized.
2. The platform will automatically scan the uploaded document for viruses.
3. Once uploaded, the reviewer will have the option to view the document, download the document,

Recommendation * 
Choose in the list 

Your Report *
Write your report here

+ Upload Report File

i Your report and recommendation will be visible to the other reviewers involved once a decision is taken.

+ Add Confidential Note for the Editorial Team

Submit Report

or remove the document if it needs to be replaced with a different file.

4. Once submitted, editors, editorial assistants/journal administrators/managing editors, and journal managers will be able to download the file.

For any issues with the file upload functionality, reviewers and editorial board members will need to reach out to reviewhelp@wiley.com

Reviewer Report:

Uploaded file

Research-Exchange-Review_Administrator-Guide_V6.0.docx

40 MB



Manage report for editorial recommendation/decision ⓘ

✔ Report is used for editorial recommendation/decision.

Dec-2024: Editors can view Reviewer email addresses in the Invitation Log

Available from	Dec-2024
Impacted Module	Research Exchange Review
Impacted Roles	Editors Editorial Assistants/Journal Admins/Managing Editors Journal Managers
Overview	In the Review module, Editors now have access to reviewers' email addresses, streamlining the process of searching and inviting reviewers. This enhancement helps prevent confusion when selecting reviewers, particularly those with similar names or multiple accounts using different email addresses.

Reviewer Invitation

2 Invited0 Agreed0 Submitted0 Pending0 Declined2 Expired

Suggested reviewers (30)

Search reviewers

Invite reviewer manually

Search by

Keywords

Name or Email

About Search

Name or email

reviewer two

Search

Clear

Results (4)

Reviewer activity ?

Review Two

John Wiley & Sons (United Kingdom), Chichester

hindawiqa+researchexchangetraining+reviewertwo@hindawi.com

Currently reviewing: 0

Pending invitations: 2

Completed reviews: 0

Invite reviewer

Review Two

John Wiley & Sons (United Kingdom), Chichester

researchexchangetraining+reviewertwo@wiley.com

Currently reviewing: 1

Pending invitations: 0

Completed reviews: 10

Invite reviewer

Dec-2024: Research Exchange Commissions Platform – Invited Workflow

Available from	Dec-2024
Impacted Module	Research Exchange Commissions
Impacted Roles	Editors Editorial Assistants/Journal Admins/Managing Editors Journal Managers
Overview	This newly developed workflow has been launched with a brand-new platform called Research Exchange Commissions, which connects with the other Submission, Screening, and Review platforms in the Research Exchange suite. It allows editors, journal admins, journal managers, and SCA colleagues to send invitations to researchers asking them to write articles for their journals.

Platform user guide available on the Research Exchange Knowledge Hub: [Research Exchange Commissions Guide](#)

WILEY

Commission

New Commission

Research Exchange ▾

Sort by

Date created ▾

Order by

Newest first ▾

Showing commissions: 1-1 of 1

Commission ID: 6527590

DRAFT

Commission Name (Internal)

Commissioning Editor: Training Chief

Article type: Research Article

Preliminary article title

Preliminary article title

Journal: Training Journal 1

Created: 15 Dec 2024 Updated: 15 Dec 2024

<


1

>


Nov-2024: Extend Individual Reviewer Report Deadlines

Available from	Nov-2024
Impacted Module	Research Exchange Review
Impacted Roles	Editors Editorial Assistants/Journal Admins/Managing Editors Journal Managers
Overview	Once a reviewer has accepted the invitation to peer review a manuscript, the deadline to submit their report can be extended. Extensions can be granted multiple times for any number of days, as required. Auto-notifications to inform reviewers of updated deadlines are in development.


1. Log in to [Research Exchange Review](#) and locate the manuscript from the dashboard.
2. Go to the 'Invitation Log' section, find a reviewer who has 'Accepted' their invitation, and click 'Extend deadline' underneath the invitation metrics.



3. Enter the number of days for the extension and click 'Extend Deadline'.



4. Confirm the extension by clicking 'Extend'.



To summarize:

- Reviewers will receive a series of reminders. If the deadline has not been extended before the deadline passes, they will no longer have access to the manuscript in their dashboard, and their status in the invitation log will change to 'report overdue'. They will need to be re-invited.
- All members of the journal's editorial board can carry out this task in Research Exchange Review for a Reviewer.
- Deadlines can only be extended after the reviewer accepts the invitation. Deadlines can be extended at any time, multiple times, and for any number of days as required.
- Standard reviewer deadlines are configured at the journal level, with an additional grace period of 10 days until the reviewer is removed from the manuscript. Please reach out to your journal contact to configure the peer review turnaround time for your journal from the system default.
- The default configuration is 14 days for all journals and Gold OA journals with the exception of:
 - 21 days for Life Sciences journals
 - 30 days for SSH, Maths, Stats, or Economics journals

Nov-2024: Triage Editors can assign themselves as the Handling Editor

Available from	Nov-2024
Impacted Module	Research Exchange Review
Impacted Roles	Editors Editorial Assistants/Journal Admins/Managing Editors

	Journal Managers
Overview	In two-tier workflows, Triage Editors can assign themselves the additional role of a Handling Editor on a manuscript. They no longer need two accounts; all manuscripts can be seen from the same login.

1. Log in to [Research Exchange Review](#) and locate the manuscript from the dashboard.
2. Select 'Assign Academic Editor' section from the Article Details page or 'Reassign Academic Editor' for any existing manuscripts.
3. Select 'Assign myself as Handling Editor' button on the right side of the page. They will be prompted with an instruction advising them that the change cannot be reversed. The manuscript is now assigned to their Triage Editor account.

Assign Academic Editor

Search by name or email

Assign myself as Handling Editor

Journal Editorial Board

Full name	Email	Expertise	Workload
Training Academic	researchexchangetraining+aeditor2@wiley.com	No keywords found for this editor	0
AE Training	researchexchangetraining+aeditor1@wiley.com	No keywords found for this editor	9

Are you sure you want to assign yourself as the Handling Editor?
You will not be able to revert this change or reassign another Editor on this manuscript.

CANCEL
ASSIGN

To summarize:

- The Triage Editor can assign themselves to handle a manuscript, as soon as the manuscript comes into Review.
- The manuscript will change to a single-tier workflow (example [peer review model 5](#)) where the Triage Editor will make a recommendation and final decision on the manuscript.

- The change to the manuscript's peer review model to a single tier is irreversible; once Single Tier has been applied, it will continue as such for the entirety of its lifespan in Review.
- If reassignment of the Academic Editor is necessary, **ONLY** the Editorial Assistant/Managing Editor/Journal Administrator can action this. The new Academic Editor will be required to handle the manuscript and make the final decision, and the Triage Editor can no longer make the final decision.
- This new functionality is automatically available for all journals with two tier workflows from 05-Nov-2024. Updates to user guides will follow shortly.

Sep-2024: Return to Draft & Refuse to Consider Reasons

Available from	Sep-2024
Impacted Module	Research Exchange Screening
Impacted Roles	Editorial Assistants/Journal Admins/Managing Editors
Overview	The Screening module will now show a series of reasons for refusal to consider or return to draft in addition to a free text 'Comments' box.

Chosen reasons and comments will be included in the email to the author. More than one reason can be selected and best practice is to select all that apply and write comments in the free text box. More information can be found:

- [Editorial Assistant Guide](#)
- [Journal Administrator Guide](#)

Refuse to Consider Manuscript

Select reasons for manuscript refusal *

These reasons will be summarised and included in the email to the author.

Authorship Concerns

☐ Authorship or contributorship misrepresentation(s)
☐ Conflict(s) of interest/competing interest(s) or undisclosed conflict of interest
☐ Banned author(s) and/or their affiliated institution(s)
☐ Sanctioned author(s) and/or their affiliated institution(s)
☐ Author identity concerns
☐ Author concurrent submission limit reached
☐ Other authorship concerns

Integrity Concerns

☐ Simultaneous submission to multiple journals
☐ Suspected data falsification/fabrication
☐ Suspected figure concerns
☐ Suspected misconduct or violation
☐ High textual similarity/plagiarism with other sources
☐ High textual similarity/plagiarism with own sources
☐ Problematic phrases
☐ Reference concerns
☐ Self citation
☐ Suspected citation manipulation
☐ Suspicious keywords
☐ Suspected machine generated content
☐ Concerns related to (lack of) supporting documentation on request
☐ Missing or inappropriate declarations statement
☐ Other ethical concerns
☐ Other research concerns

Peer Review Misconduct

☐ Peer review duplication
☐ Editor mishandling
☐ Suspicious review activity
☐ Suspicious agreement rates
☐ Suspicious turnaround times
☐ Unusual user activity
☐ Other peer review concerns

Quality Concerns

☐ Article type not accepted
☐ Extensive language editing required
☐ Failure to disclose previous submission(s) or related articles under consideration/in press
☐ Out of scope
☐ Incomplete submission
☐ Manuscript previously rejected with no changes
☐ Word count limits reached
☐ Other issues with manuscript quality

Void

☐ Duplicate submission
☐ Unreal submission
☐ Other void reasons

Additional comments to the author

Enter your comments

The "Refuse to Consider" action is a final decision. Do you want to continue?

CANCEL

REFUSE TO CONSIDER

213

RESEARCH EXCHANGE RELEASE NOTES

Return to Draft



Select reasons for returning the manuscript *

These reasons will be summarised and included in the email to the author.

Authorship

- ☐ Authorship has changed between versions
- ☐ System and manuscript file author lists mismatch
- ☐ Author identity clarification
- ☐ Found in conference/preprint with different author list
- ☐ Authors are from countries under sanction
- ☐ Author institution clarification

Formatting Requirements

- ☐ Tracked changes to be removed
- ☐ Tracked changes to be added
- ☐ Main document is not anonymised
- ☐ Separate title page was not provided
- ☐ Figure/Table issues
- ☐ Abstract issues
- ☐ Header and footer issues
- ☐ Language and translation

Manuscript Sections and Statements

- ☐ Reference issues
- ☐ Data Availability Statement issues
- ☐ Ethics Statement issues
- ☐ Consent Statement issues
- ☐ Conflict of Interest Statement issues
- ☐ Contributorship Statement issues
- ☐ Funding Statement issues
- ☐ Research guideline/checklist missing

Other

- ☐ Journal specific requirement
- ☐ Cover letter clarification

Quality Concerns

- ☐ iThenticate score is above the journal threshold
- ☐ Submission similarity with another manuscript in the system

Additional comments to the author

Enter your comments

CANCEL

RETURN TO DRAFT

Sep-2024: Automatic Screening for Author Names in Double Anonymous Manuscripts


Available from	Sep-2024
Impacted Module	Research Exchange Screening
Impacted Roles	Editorial Assistants/Journal Admins/Managing Editors
Overview	A new feature was released to support checks for double anonymous manuscripts in the Screening module.

To support checks for double anonymous manuscripts in the Screening module, the system will automatically search the manuscript for author names that have been recorded at Submission, and display a warning if they are found.

The search excludes the references section and it uses ‘fuzzy matching’ so the author names don't have to appear exactly as they're captured at Submission.

If screeners find author names mentioned in the manuscript file for a double anonymous journal, they can choose the ‘Return To Draft’ option and ask the authors to remove their names and/or move them to the title page file. More information can be found:

- [Editorial Assistant Guide](#)
- [Journal Administrator Guide](#)



The screenshot displays the Wiley submission platform interface. The main area shows a manuscript draft with the title "This is the Title of my Manuscript" and the address "John Wiley & Sons, 111 River St, Hoboken, NJ 07030". The abstract and introduction sections are visible. On the right sidebar, the "Author Submitted File(s)" section is highlighted with an orange box. It shows the "Main Manuscript" file and the screening results for a "Double-Anonymous Peer Review Journal". The automated check indicates "Named individuals not present in the manuscript." with a green checkmark. A list of manual checks required is provided, including Affiliations, Conflict of Interest Statement, Data Availability Statement, Funding Statement, Acknowledgments Statement, Ethical Statements, and Other identifiable information. The sidebar also includes a section for "Your Observations" with a checkbox for "Manuscript is not anonymised appropriately" and a "+ Add Note" button. At the bottom of the sidebar, there are three buttons: "Return to Draft", "Refuse to Consider", and "Approve Manuscript".

Aug-2024: Editorial Oversight

Available from	Aug-2024
Impacted Module	Research Exchange Review

Impacted Roles	Editors Editorial Assistants/Journal Admins/Managing Editors Journal Managers
Overview	Triage Editors and Academic Editors can be assigned the ‘observer capability’ which enables them to see all of the manuscripts submitted to the journal.

Triage Editors and Academic Editors can be assigned ‘observer capability’ enabling them to see all manuscripts submitted to the journal. By default, this permission is set as inactive and will need to be assigned manually by Wiley Journal Managers for editors who require this oversight. Editors that have this capability enabled can choose to view manuscripts assigned to them, or all manuscripts, from within their dashboard. For manuscripts that are not assigned to them, they will not be able to take action.

Example of how this will display in the Editor Dashboard once enabled:

